

From: [redacted] [mailto:[redacted]@pce.parliament.nz]
Sent: Thursday, 9 December 2010 3:26 p.m.
To: Harry Broad
Subject: Information needs

Dear [redacted]

That was an interesting hearing at the LG&E committee today.

As discussed a wee while ago, we would like to get some specific information from DOC for the report we are currently preparing around 1080. Please find below a list of the type of information we require. I would be more than happy to discuss these information needs with you or relevant staff directly should you have any questions or further ideas.

It would be great to receive this information before the end of the year.

Kind regards,
[redacted]

1. GIS shape files or feature classes showing overall areas of pest management (split by pest species if available - the most recent year or if they differ for the last several years)


2. GIS shape files or feature classes showing areas that have been controlled by using aerial applications of 1080 vs. areas that have been managed by ground control for the last several years (perhaps the last 5 years?)
3. Total spent on aerial versus ground based control by Conservancy
4. Total area (hectares) treated by aerial versus ground control by Conservancy
5. Standard aerial application rates over time (i.e. how much bait/substance was applied by hectare in standard procedures over that last few decades)
6. GIS shape files or feather classes for project kaka (flight lines/grid and map)
7. Image of GPS monitor in helicopter guiding the flight paths on 1080 operations
8. Any photos or images you would be happy for us to use in report (source acknowledged) – ideas: possums, rat, stoat, nest predation by mammal pests, mohua, kaka, kokako, 1080 operations etc etc

[REDACTED]
Office of the Parliamentary Commissioner for the Environment
PO Box 10-241
WELLINGTON
Phone: +64 +4 471 1669
DDI: +64 [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]@pce.parliament.nz

www.pce.parliament.nz

Attention:

This e-mail (and attachments) is confidential and may be legally privileged.



From: [redacted] [mailto:[redacted]@pce.parliament.nz]
Sent: Thursday, 9 December 2010 3:26 p.m.
To: Harry Broad
Subject: Information needs

Dear [redacted]

That was an interesting hearing at the LG&E committee today.

As discussed a wee while ago, we would like to get some specific information from DOC for the report we are currently preparing around 1080. Please find below a list of the type of information we require. I would be more than happy to discuss these information needs with you or relevant staff directly should you have any questions or further ideas.

It would be great to receive this information before the end of the year.

Kind regards,
[redacted]

1. GIS shape files or feature classes showing overall areas of pest management (split by pest species if available - the most recent year or if they differ for the last several years)

12/15/2014

12/15/2014

12/15/2014

12/15/2014

12/15/2014

12/15/2014

12/15/2014

2. GIS shape files or feature classes showing areas that have been controlled by using aerial applications of 1080 vs. areas that have been managed by ground control for the last several years (perhaps the last 5 years?)
3. Total spent on aerial versus ground based control by Conservancy
4. Total area (hectares) treated by aerial versus ground control by Conservancy
5. Standard aerial application rates over time (i.e. how much bait/substance was applied by hectare in standard procedures over that last few decades)
6. GIS shape files or feather classes for project kaka (flight lines/grid and map)
7. Image of GPS monitor in helicopter guiding the flight paths on 1080 operations
8. Any photos or images you would be happy for us to use in report (source acknowledged) – ideas: possums, rat, stoat, nest predation by mammal pests, mohua, kaka, kokako, 1080 operations etc etc

[REDACTED]
Office of the Parliamentary Commissioner for the Environment
PO Box 10-241
WELLINGTON
Phone: +64 +4 471 1669
DDI: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]@pce.parliament.nz

www.pce.parliament.nz


Attention:

This e-mail (and attachments) is confidential and may be legally privileged.

1

1000

1000



From: [redacted] [mailto:[redacted]@pce.parliament.nz]
Sent: Thursday, 9 December 2010 3:26 p.m.
To: [redacted]
Subject: Information needs

Dear [redacted]

That was an interesting hearing at the LG&E committee today.

As discussed a wee while ago, we would like to get some specific information from DOC for the report we are currently preparing around 1080. Please find below a list of the type of information we require. I would be more than happy to discuss these information needs with you or relevant staff directly should you have any questions or further ideas.

It would be great to receive this information before the end of the year.

Kind regards,
[redacted]

1. GIS shape files or feature classes showing overall areas of pest management (split by pest species if available - the most recent year or if they differ for the last several years)

2. GIS shape files or feature classes showing areas that have been controlled by using aerial applications of 1080 vs. areas that have been managed by ground control for the last several years (perhaps the last 5 years?)
3. Total spent on aerial versus ground based control by Conservancy
4. Total area (hectares) treated by aerial versus ground control by Conservancy
5. Standard aerial application rates over time (i.e. how much bait/substance was applied by hectare in standard procedures over that last few decades)
6. GIS shape files or feather classes for project kaka (flight lines/grid and map)
7. Image of GPS monitor in helicopter guiding the flight paths on 1080 operations
8. Any photos or images you would be happy for us to use in report (source acknowledged) – ideas: possums, rat, stoat, nest predation by mammal pests, mohua, kaka, kokako, 1080 operations etc etc


[REDACTED]
Office of the Parliamentary Commissioner for the Environment
PO Box 10-241
WELLINGTON
Phone: +64 +4 471 1669
DDI: +64 + [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]@pce.parliament.nz

www.pce.parliament.nz

Attention:

This e-mail (and attachments) is confidential and may be legally privileged.




1. Introduction

2. Methodology

3. Results

4. Discussion

5. Conclusion




1992-1993


1992-1993

1992-1993

1992-1993

1992-1993





From: [redacted] [mailto:[redacted]@pce.parliament.nz]
Sent: Thursday, 27 January 2011 4:59 p.m.
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: Project kaka catch up

Kia ora [redacted]

I hope all's well in your neck of the woods and you've been having fun in the hills.

Several months ago we made contact with your team about having a briefing on Project Kaka but somehow we got sidetracked....so I'm re-visiting the thought!

Is there any chance we could arrange a meeting in the next couple of weeks to discuss the ins and outs of project kaka? We are especially interested in the research objectives of the project and how the Department is approaching monitoring and research into the effects of using 1080. And we are also interested in talking through the planning process and compliance requirements etc for the operation. Although this may seem mundane, it's useful for us to have a good picture of how all the thinking, planning and follow up works in reality.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Nga mihi,

[redacted]
Office of the Parliamentary Commissioner for the Environment
Reserve Bank Building, 2 The Terrace
PO Box 10-241, Wellington
Phone: [redacted]
[redacted]@pce.parliament.nz

Attention:

This e-mail (and attachments) is confidential and may be legally privileged.

1. Introduction


2. Methodology

3. Results and Discussion

4. Conclusion

5. References

6. Appendix



1. Introduction

2. Methodology

3. Results


4. Discussion

Attention:


This e-mail (and attachments) is confidential and may be legally privileged.














1. The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions and activities. It emphasizes the need for transparency and accountability in financial reporting.


2. The second part of the document outlines the various methods and techniques used to collect and analyze data. It includes a detailed description of the experimental procedures and the statistical tools employed.

3. The third part of the document presents the results of the study, showing the trends and patterns observed in the data. It includes several tables and graphs to illustrate the findings.


4. The fourth part of the document discusses the implications of the results and provides recommendations for future research. It highlights the areas where further investigation is needed to improve the understanding of the subject matter.
































































10/10/10
























































[REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, 26 January 2011 12:36 p.m.

To: [REDACTED]

Subject: RE: Information needs

Hi [REDACTED]

yes I did receive this excel sheet, thank you.

I think I had a slightly different output in mind - by that I thought it wasn't going to be GIS based but based on Conservancies operational plans and budget. I hope the monetary figures I have received for pest management efforts by Conservancies will match your figures. Also it would be great to compare aerial 1080 drops with ground-control carried out for possums, rats and stoats only.

Anyway, I think it would be great to discuss all this over the phone - I just tried your line but you are probably out for lunch.


I'll try again later.

Kind regards,
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

From: [redacted] [mailto:[redacted]@pce.parliament.nz]
Sent: Thursday, 20 January 2011 12:17 p.m.
To: [redacted]
Subject: RE: Information needs

Hello [redacted]
yes thank you I have. I haven't had a chance to open them up yet though.
Thank you so much for getting this lot of work together.
[redacted]



From: [redacted] [mailto:[redacted]@pce.parliament.nz]
Sent: Thursday, 9 December 2010 3:26 p.m.
To: [redacted]
Subject: Information needs

Dear [redacted]

That was an interesting hearing at the LG&E committee today.

As discussed a wee while ago, we would like to get some specific information from DOC for the report we are currently preparing around 1080. Please find below a list of the type of information

we require. I would be more than happy to discuss these information needs with you or relevant staff directly should you have any questions or further ideas.

It would be great to receive this information before the end of the year.

Kind regards,

[Redacted]

1. GIS shape files or feature classes showing overall areas of pest management (split by pest species if available - the most recent year or if they differ for the last several years)
2. GIS shape files or feature classes showing areas that have been controlled by using aerial applications of 1080 vs. areas that have been managed by ground control for the last several years (perhaps the last 5 years?)
3. Total spent on aerial versus ground based control by Conservancy
4. Total area (hectares) treated by aerial versus ground control by Conservancy
5. Standard aerial application rates over time (i.e. how much bait/substance was applied by hectare in standard procedures over that last few decades)
6. GIS shape files or feather classes for project kaka (flight lines/grid and map)
7. Image of GPS monitor in helicopter guiding the flight paths on 1080 operations
8. Any photos or images you would be happy for us to use in report (source acknowledged) – ideas: possums, rat, stoat, nest predation by mammal pests, mohua, kaka, kokako, 1080 operations etc etc

[Redacted]

Office of the Parliamentary Commissioner for the Environment
PO Box 10-241
WELLINGTON
Phone: +64 +4 471 1669
DDI: [Redacted]
[Redacted]@pce.parliament.nz

www.pce.parliament.nz

Attention:

This e-mail (and attachments) is confidential and may be legally privileged.

Attention:

This e-mail (and attachments) is confidential and may be legally privileged.

Attention:

This e-mail (and attachments) is confidential and may be legally privileged.

Small, illegible text or mark in the upper right corner.

Small, illegible text or mark in the lower right corner.





From: [redacted] [mailto:[redacted]@pce.parliament.nz]

Sent: Thursday, 24 February 2011 3:51 p.m.

To: [redacted]

Subject: RE: I hope everything okay with DOC in CHCH

Hello,
I'm so glad to hear Christchurch staff are safe (although I assume their houses would be affected to varying degrees).
Thanks for finding answers to those questions for me.
Do you mean you tend to not use brodifacoum in bait stations on the mainland either?
Thanks again,



[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]@pce.parliament.nz]

Sent: Thursday, 24 February 2011 3:42 p.m.

To: [REDACTED]

Subject: I hope everything okay with DOC in CHCH

Hello [REDACTED]

Could you please let me know how the national office in Christchurch has fared in regards to the earthquake. I hope they are all safe and well.

In the mean time, I have got a couple of general questions I'd like to ask you:

Do you know/can you get figures of how much pesticide DOC buys of all the different types?

What baits are usually used in bait stations - 1080, brodifacoum, or something else? Is there a general move away from brodifacoum?

Does DOC use carrot bait in forests at all? What is the protocol around that?

Thanks for your help,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Office of the Parliamentary Commissioner for the Environment

PO Box 10-241

WELLINGTON

Phone: +64 +4 471 1669

DDI: +[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]@pce.parliament.nz

www.pce.parliament.nz

Attention:

This e-mail (and attachments) is confidential and may be legally privileged.

Attention:

This e-mail (and attachments) is confidential and may be legally privileged.

1. Introduction

2. Methodology

3. Results

4. Discussion

5. Conclusion

