



Prime Minister

Minister for Arts, Culture & Heritage

Minister for Child Poverty Reduction

Minister for National Security & Intelligence

24 JAN 2019

Andy Hooley

Email: fyi-request-11891-7fa692e7@requests.fyi.org.nz

Ref: CSA OIA 19-007

Dear Andy Hooley

Official Information Act request for Communications with the Prime Minister's Chief Science Advisor relating to 5G

Thank you for your Official Information Act 1982 (the Act) request, received on 14 December 2019. You requested:

"...all the communications sent to and from the Chief Science Advisor that involve the subject of 5G including the communications that first initiated the involvement of Professor Juliet Gerrard to promote 5G as being safe..."

The Office of the Prime Minister's Chief Science Advisor has provided advice to enable a response to this request. I can confirm that there was no instruction "to promote 5G as being safe" rather, the Chief Science Advisor synthesised the available scientific evidence on safety with no pre-determined view.

Please find **attached** copies of the documents identified as relevant to your request. The information provided for meetings with the Prime Minister and emails summarising action points from those meetings each covered various matters so parts of these communications with no relevance to 5G have been removed as marked.

The attached documents are:

- **Document 01** Letter (20/02/2019) and relevant documentation from the Chief Science Advisor to the Prime Minister for meeting to be held on 1/03/2019.
- **Document 02** Letter (30/05/2019) and relevant documentation from the Chief Science Advisor to the Prime Minister for meeting to be held on 7/06/2019.
- **Document 03** Letter (29/08/2019) and relevant documentation from the Chief Science Advisor to the Prime Minister for meeting to be held on 6/09/2019.
- **Document 04** Letter (31/10/2019) and relevant documentation from the Chief Science Advisor to the Prime Minister for meeting to be held on 8/11/2019.
- **Document 05** Letter (28/11/2019) from the Chief Science Advisor to Minister of Broadcasting, Communications and Digital Media (Hon Kris Faafoi) enclosing a copy of information about to be published on the Chief Science Advisor's website. This information is also available on the website at: www.pmcsa.ac.nz/our-projects/hot-topics/5g-in-aotearoa-new-zealand.

In addition to removing information not related to 5G, some parts have been withheld as marked in the documents released to you under the following sections of the Act:

- Section 6(a) as the making available of that information would be likely *"to prejudice the security or defence of New Zealand or the international relations of the Government of New Zealand"*.
- Some contact details have been withheld under section 9(2)(a) as the withholding the information is necessary *"to protect the privacy of natural persons, including that of deceased natural persons"*.
- Section 9(2)(g)(i), as withholding the information is necessary to *"maintain the effective conduct of public affairs through the free and frank expression of opinions by or between or to Ministers of the Crown or members of an organisation or officers and employees of any department or organisation in the course of their duty."*

The only other information identified were minor mentions of 5G in two emails from the Chief Science Advisor to the Office of the Prime Minister and DPMC following meetings. These emails have been withheld under section 9(2)(g)(i) of the Act.

Where information has been withheld under section 9 of the Act, no public interest in releasing the withheld information has been identified that would be sufficient to override the reasons for withholding it.

You are entitled to ask the Ombudsman to review this response under section 28(3) of the Official Information Act. You can contact the Ombudsman online via the Ombudsman website, by email (info@ombudsman.parliament.nz) or by post to The Ombudsman, PO Box 10152, Wellington 6143. Further details can be found on the Ombudsman website at: www.ombudsman.parliament.nz.

Yours sincerely

A handwritten signature in blue ink, consisting of a stylized 'R' followed by a long horizontal line that ends in a small loop.

Raj Nahna
Chief of Staff

- Enc:
- 4 letters and supporting documentation from the Chief Science Advisor relating to 5G
 - Letter and enclosure from the Chief Science Advisor to the Minister of BCDM



Office of the Prime Minister's Chief Science Advisor
Kaitohutohu Mātanga Pūtaiao Matua ki te Pirimia

Professor Juliet A. Gerrard FRSNZ

1-11 Short Street

Auckland 1010

Phone: +64 (9) 923 6318

Email: s9(2)(a)

20 February 2019

Dear Prime Minister

Ahead of our meeting on March 1st, here is a suggested agenda, with some accompanying information for discussion.

Not relevant to your request

8. *Information sheets - 5G?*

Not relevant to your request

I look forward to catching up.


Yours faithfully

Juliet

8. Information sheets - 5G?

In the background, we continue to put together accessible information sheets on issues of the day, to build resource on our website and provide balanced comments on various topics. One topic that it might be prudent to address in this context is the safety of 5G. The Office is picking up a small but steady number of questions on this topic. The Ministry of Health and MBIE puts out some information and we could build on this work if it becomes a priority.

Not relevant to your request





Office of the Prime Minister's Chief Science Advisor
Kaitohutohu Mātanga Pūtaiao Matua ki te Pirimia

Professor Juliet Gerrard FRSNZ, HonFRSC

1-11 Short Street

Auckland 1010

Phone: +64 (9) 923 6318

Email: [s9\(2\)\(a\)](mailto:s9(2)(a)@pmcsa.ac.nz)

30th May 2019

Dear Prime Minister

Ahead of our meeting on June 7th, here is a suggested agenda, with some accompanying information for discussion and updates.

For priority discussion

Not relevant to your request

Updates for information and discussion as required

4. 5G.

Not relevant to your request

Yours faithfully

Juliet


Not relevant to your request



4. 5G

Earlier this year, we briefly discussed the possibility of a public-facing information sheet on 5G. This is currently on the back burner as my arranged briefing with the national security people was delayed due to Christchurch.

Not relevant to your request





Office of the Prime Minister's Chief Science Advisor
Kaitohutohu Mātanga Pūtaiao Matua ki te Pirimia

Professor Juliet Gerrard FRSNZ, HonFRSC

Phone: s9(2)(a)

Email: s9(2)(a)

29 August 2019

Dear Jacinda,

I am looking forward to our meeting in Auckland on September 6th. Here is a suggested agenda.


Not relevant to your request

3. 5G

We have previously discussed some public-facing information on the roll out of 5G. The MoH in consultation with Ian Town, the new CSA for MoH, published the attached information recently, and I have drawn attention to this on my website and on social media. It focuses on health concerns and international standards, but does not cover the basics of what 5G is or security concerns. I could cover these off in a reflection piece on my website a little later in the year, closer to the roll out s6(a)

Not relevant to your request

Not relevant to your request



Yours,

Juliet

N

Item 3

5G

5G and Health

August 2019

The next generation of mobile phone services, usually referred to as 5G (as in fifth generation), will address consumer needs for higher data transfer rates and capacity, and lower latency (effectively 'dead time' in transmitting messages). Its commercial introduction in New Zealand is expected in 2020; limited test installations will operate before then.

5G is simply a new application of radio technology. Existing research on the possible health effects of radiofrequency (RF) fields applies as much to 5G as to any other radio system in use. 5G transmitters are covered by the New Zealand RF field exposure standard,¹ and 5G cellsites will have to comply with Resource Management Act (RMA) 1991 rules about cellsites. These rules include compliance with the exposure standard.

A lot of research investigating the possible health effects of RF fields has been published, and health and scientific bodies around the world have reviewed such research thoroughly. The Ministry of Health website has links to some recent reviews.² In reviewing the research, health and scientific bodies take a systematic approach, to be as objective as possible in their conclusions. They consider and evaluate both positive and negative studies (that is, studies that report effects as well as ones that do not) their strengths and weaknesses, and they consider studies that have followed good laboratory practices to be more informative, and give such studies more weight in their overall evaluations. The Health Council of the Netherlands' review of animal studies on the effects of RF fields on cancer, to which there is a link on the Ministry website, provides an example.

5G frequencies

Each radio service is allocated a frequency to prevent it interfering with other services. 5G services in New Zealand will initially use frequencies around 3.5 GHz. This is similar to frequencies used by existing cellsites. Higher frequencies around 26 GHz (sometimes referred to as millimetre waves or mmWaves) will be introduced later, especially where high data rates or capacity are needed. Millimetre waves have been widely used for many years for point-to-point communication links. Existing research into the health effects of RF fields covers all the frequency bands proposed for 5G; as noted above, the New Zealand Standard for RF field exposure also covers them.

¹ NZS 2772.1:1999 *Radiofrequency fields – Maximum exposure levels – 3 kHz to 300 GHz*. See Ministry of Health. 2019. URL: <https://www.health.govt.nz/our-work/environmental-health/non-ionising-radiation/radiofrequency-field-exposure-standard> (accessed 24 July 2019).

² Ministry of Health. 2019. *Research into Non-ionising Radiation*. URL: <https://www.health.govt.nz/our-work/environmental-health/non-ionising-radiation/research-non-ionising-radiation> (accessed 24 July 2019).

The New Zealand exposure standard

At the frequencies used by cell sites, the quantity most relevant to determining whether a radio signal has harmful effects is the signal's intensity, rather than its frequency. Exposures to high-intensity radio signals at any frequency can cause harmful effects, which is why the New Zealand exposure Standard limits public exposures to levels at least 50 times below those at which harm might occur. These limits protect people of all ages, including children.

The New Zealand exposure Standard's limits are recommended by the International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection, which the World Health Organization recognises for its independence and expertise in this area. The Commission has reviewed and reaffirmed these limits periodically (most recently in 2017);³ it bases any changes to them on the findings of health research, and not the requirements of telecommunications or other industries. Compliance with the set limits is mandated under the RMA.

Many countries (including Australia, Canada, France and Germany) use exposure limits similar to those in New Zealand. Some countries or cities have adopted much stricter limits; generally in order to limit overall exposures, rather than on the basis of a health evaluation. Brussels, for example, had strict limits that were subsequently increased to accommodate 4G cell sites. An expert committee convened by the Environment-Brussels concluded that the research did not demonstrate any health effects below limits of the type used in New Zealand.⁴

Exposures from 5G sites

5G will make increased use of beam-forming antennas. Instead of transmitting fixed radio beams that cover a 120-degree-wide sector, 5G antennas will usually produce a large number of discrete radio beams. These cover a much smaller area, and are powered up and directed where and when they are needed (and turned off when they are not). In other words, when a user is downloading data from a 5G site, the radio signal carrying the data is directed towards that user, and is not spread out over a wide area – mostly in directions where it is not needed – as currently occurs. The beam is turned off when the data has been transferred. This, alongside the faster rates at which data is transferred, will most likely result in lower exposures than if existing technologies were used.

Measurements at laboratory and operational 5G sites in Australia have shown that exposures to 5G signals are similar to, or lower than, those from existing cell sites, and small fractions of the public limit in the standard. These measurements were made with the 5G site loaded by downloading high-resolution video or carrying out a speed test.

³ International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection. 2017. *Revision of the HF Guidelines*. Munich: International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection. URL: <http://www.icnirp.org/en/activities/news/news-article/revision-of-hf-guidelines-2017.html> (accessed 24 July 2019).

⁴ Bruxelles-Environnement. 2018. *Rapport du comité d'experts sur les radiations non ionisantes. 2017–2018*. Bruxelles-Environnement: Region de Bruxelles-Capitale. URL: http://document.environnement.brussels/opac_css/electfile/20180108_Radiation_report_2017_FR.PDF (accessed 24 July 2019).

As current cellsites are, 5G cellsites will be installed to meet user demand. If there is high demand in a particular area, more sites will be installed. Because each site will be serving a smaller area, they can operate at lower power, which also has the effect of lowering exposures.

What is the Ministry of Health doing?

To keep up to date with developments, the Ministry of Health convenes an expert advisory committee, known as the Interagency Committee on the Health Effects of Non-Ionising Fields, to review new research in this area. The Committee meets every six months. Where it has a reasonable suspicion of health hazards, or other significant issues, the Committee's terms of Reference require it to bring relevant information to the direct attention of the Minister of Health. The Committee will continue to monitor new research as it becomes available. In addition, the Ministry participates in a World Health Organization project⁵ to assess the health effects of electromagnetic fields.



August 2019
HP7227

⁵ World Health Organization. 2019. *Electromagnetic Fields*. URL: <https://www.who.int/peh-emf/en/> (accessed 24 July 2019).



Office of the Prime Minister's Chief Science Advisor
Kaitohutohu Mātanga Pūtaiao Matua ki te Pirimia

Professor Juliet Gerrard FRSNZ, HonFRSC

Phone: s9(2)(a)

Email: s9(2)(a)

31 October 2019


Dear Jacinda,

I am looking forward to our meeting in Auckland on November 8th. I've included quite a few updates below, as we missed our October meeting. I've put the higher priority ones (from my perspective) first, but obviously really happy to discuss any of them in detail as required.

Not relevant to your request



Not relevant to your request



5. 5G update

The CSAs for MoH (Ian Town) and MBIE (Gary Evans) and I met with Kris Faafoi this month, along with officials from MBIE to ensure that we are cross-referencing our different public-facing information on the roll out of 5G. We have agreed that my Office will expand the information on our web page, link through to relevant sites in government and beyond, nationally and internationally, and draw attention to this via social media. I will also put out a blog/reflection highlighting our efforts in the New Year as the 5G roll out progresses. We have engaged respectfully with all those who have approached us with concerns, and also with the telcos, and have strenuously emphasised our independence to all parties.

~~s9(2)(g)(i)~~




I have provided them with links to the website and our contact details if they require further independent information.

Not relevant to your request



Not relevant to your request



Yours,

Juliet



Office of the Prime Minister's Chief Science Advisor
Kaitohutohu Mātanga Pūtaiao Matua ki te Pirimia

Professor Juliet Gerrard FRSNZ, HonFRSC
1-11 Short Street
Auckland 1010
Phone: +64 (9) 923 6318
Email: s9(2)(a)

28 November 2019

Dear Kris

Following on from our meeting a few weeks ago, we have completed our accessible information pack on 5G.

This complements the Ministry of Health material which you have already seen, and the MBIE material (aimed at the media) and is aimed at a very general audience to address common concerns.

We attach a pdf of the web-based material here, and the website, which will also include an FAQ section, and will be available from tomorrow: <http://pmcsa.blogs.auckland.ac.nz/our-projects/hot-topics/5g-in-aotearoa-new-zealand/>.

I also gave a talk on this at the Local Government New Zealand Rural and Provincial group which received some favourable social media coverage.

We will send this information through to the advisors who we briefed earlier this month; we are very happy to answer any questions.

All the best

Juliet

cc: Prime Minister, Jacinda Ardern

5G in Aotearoa New Zealand

What is 5G?

5G stands for 5th generation. It's the latest cellular network after 2G, 3G, and 4G. The 5G network is more technologically advanced and implementation has begun throughout the world and here in Aotearoa New Zealand.

All cellular networks use radio waves to transmit data. Like light, radio waves are a type of electromagnetic radiation. Radio waves have been used in NZ for radio since the 1920s and cell phones [since the 1980s](#). Like waves breaking on the beach, radio waves can vary in intensity (how big they are) and in frequency (how often they arrive, which is related to how far they are apart, wavelength). The frequency (or wavelength) and intensity of an electromagnetic wave determines how much data the waves can carry and how strong the signal will be, as well as whether the waves are harmless or not.

The initial roll out of 5G in NZ will use frequencies in the [same range as 4G](#). In the future, the network will use a higher frequency (lower wavelength waves) - known as millimetre waves. These are closer in frequency to those used for satellite communications and some vehicle radars. Millimetre waves are also used in microwave ovens but at much higher intensity so they can heat food.

Millimetre waves don't penetrate obstacles as easily, so 5G networks will need more towers than 4G to achieve the same coverage. Although this will not generate enough intensity to create noticeable heating, there will still be [international standards in place](#) to limit overall exposure, and monitoring to double check that this doesn't happen. The standards are set with a large safety margin to ensure that the total exposure to radio waves is comfortably below safety thresholds for impacts from heating. NZ follows these international standards.

There have been some concerns about the security of the 5G network, because it is more reliant on software than 4G and uses cloud computing. NZ's Government Communications Security Bureau (GCSB) is aware of this and will act to [prevent and minimise security risks](#) to our communication technologies as we adapt to the new technology.

The currently available [scientific evidence](#) makes it extremely unlikely that there will be any adverse effects on human or environmental health. NZ needs to continue to monitor the risks of exposure and ensure that they are within the international safety standard, as well as keeping a close watch on any new research.

Roll out in New Zealand

Trials and roll out

In NZ 5G is already being trialled by Spark and Vodafone, and infrastructure is being set up for wider launches in late 2019 and into 2020. A small number of businesses in Alexandra have been able to trial [Spark's 5G](#), and the company plans to extend it to at least five more regional towns this year and next. Vodafone intends to roll 5G out to Auckland, Wellington, Christchurch and Queenstown in December 2019.


Even though 5G is being rolled out, most devices currently available lack 5G capability. Unlike 4G, the 5G transmitters are only active on demand (see *5G, beamforming and massive MIMO*), so the potential for exposure will be extremely low until consumers purchase 5G capable phones with 5G plans. A few phone models that have been released in 2019 are [5G capable](#), but this will be more common in phones released from 2020 onwards.



Frequencies to be used

The rollout will use similar wavelengths to those used for 2G, 3G and 4G. For example, the trial in Alexandra used the 2.6 GHz band, which is used in other parts of the country for 4G. The intention is for a slightly higher [3.5 GHz wavelength to be used in more extensive rollouts](#). Using different wavelengths for different networks is important to avoid interference, causing issues with reception.

Further into the future, higher frequency bands (like 26 GHz) may be used. This wavelength is known as millimetre waves. Radio frequency use is regulated in NZ to avoid issues with interference, to coordinate internationally, and because it's a commercial resource. The government will need to decide if and how they will allocate this spectrum. There is currently some use in this frequency band ([such as radars used by some vehicles](#)), but it is otherwise unused in NZ.



Network infrastructure

Rolling out 5G will require new infrastructure. There are opportunities for telecommunication companies to share infrastructure to reduce costs and make 5G more accessible, particularly in rural areas. There is already a [Rural Connectivity Group](#) established between operators so they can share resources and expand coverage for 4G, and this forum could potentially be used to roll out and expand 5G as well. Sharing infrastructure would reduce the number of structures that would be installed, which could reduce some aesthetic concerns. [Visual impacts](#) are also regulated by the Ministry for the Environment.

Potential benefits

5G is seen as an attractive technology because:

- **It is faster.** 5G will have faster upload and download speeds compared to 4G. For companies that need to access large datasets, the shorter download speeds will have massive [productivity benefits](#). A trial in Alexandra, Otago reported speeds [5-20 times faster](#) than existing 4G.
- **It has lower latency.** 5G will have a shorter delay before you can access the content you are downloading – with entertainment benefits (e.g. streaming and gaming) as well as educational ones (e.g. downloading multi-media resources in schools). It will also enable new technologies and applications in transport (including driverless cars), medicine and other areas.
- **It offers more connectivity.** More devices will be able to connect to each other – the [‘Internet of Things’](#) – like better remote control of the gadgets in your house from your phone.
- **It has a larger network capacity.** 5G will have a greater capacity for volume of traffic so e.g. more people can livestream the same event without buffering issues.



NZ is physically isolated and 5G enables better connectivity with the rest of the world. Adopting 5G in line with the international community will ensure communication channels are compatible, enable research and development of new technologies, and enable use of new tools to help improve our lives.

Within NZ, rural connectivity is an ongoing issue. 5G offers potential for improvement over services currently available if significant investment and cooperation between the network operators is in place. The Rural Connectivity Group could play a role in bringing 5G to rural areas.

The [‘Internet of Things’](#) already exists – it refers to devices talking to other devices. For example, wearing smart watches that connect to smart phones and can control smart home devices (like heating, lighting, and security systems). The internet of things is currently limited by the capacity, speed and responsiveness of the 4G network. 5G will be needed to enable new technologies such as [self-driving cars](#), [in-home elder and medical support](#), and use in industries such as [manufacturing](#) or [precision farming](#).

Why are some people concerned about 5G?

Caution about the expanding role of technology

5G presents exciting opportunities that have the potential to improve many areas of peoples' lives by facilitating more access to more technology. Some may see this expansion of technology as detrimental, raising issues of privacy, surveillance, and displacement of certain types of jobs. Any benefits must be weighed against these concerns.





Health

Radio waves used by 5G are a type of radiation, so it's reasonable to ask whether this poses a risk and, if it does, to ensure people are not exposed beyond safe thresholds. [Radiation](#) is the way that energy travels through space and there are many different types. [Light](#), for example, is a form of radiation that is so common that we often don't think of it in that way.

Radiation that has frequencies higher than that of visible light, like the [ultraviolet radiation](#) rays in sunlight, and X-rays, can damage the cells in our bodies, potentially causing cancer if exposure is high. These types of waves are called '[ionising radiation](#)', which reflects their ability to break the chemical bonds in molecules, which can damage cells. So exposure to sunlight is linked with skin cancer for those who spend a lot of time in the sun without protection.

In contrast, the radio waves used for 5G have frequencies that are ten thousand times too low to damage molecules (so are called '[non-ionising](#)'). The only fully documented way 5G radio waves can cause harm is through their heating effect and this can only happen at very high intensities.

Radio Waves & Radiation

-  Radio waves send out energy (radiation)
-  Very high exposure can cause heating (like a heater or lamp)
-  Strict NZ standards prevent us from being harmed
-  NZ monitors international developments and ensures that exposure standards keep us safe

Thermal effects of radio waves

Radio waves can heat our body if we are over-exposed to them. However, these effects can only occur when exposed directly to a very powerful source so that the heat builds up enough to damage tissue before it dissipates. 5G sources are simply not powerful enough to cause damage in this way.

As the thermal effects of radio waves are well-understood, the limits at which they can potentially begin to cause harm are [clearly defined](#). NZ has set its own standards, which are much lower than this limit (about 50 times lower). This means that there is a large safety margin built into our standard.



In practice, the public has a far lower radio wave exposure than the NZ limit. This low exposure is checked by [independent monitoring](#) undertaken at cell sites every year.

Thermal effects are well-understood and research in this area continues to be monitored. In NZ, the Ministry of Health has an [expert advisory committee](#) that monitors and reviews all new research. The World Health Organization (WHO) also continually investigates possible health effects, as well as other international groups like the Swedish

'No health risks with weak electromagnetic fields have been established...'

Radiation Safety Authority. The Swedish Authority released a robust and [comprehensive review](#) this year, quoting 'no health

risks with weak electromagnetic fields have been established...'. The authors emphasise the need for ongoing research as 5G begins rolling out globally, to ensure that this remains the case. The importance of ongoing research is actively supported [in NZ](#).



Could radiofrequency radiation be associated with cancer?

As one of the leading causes of death, a huge amount of research goes into trying to understand how to minimise the risk factors for developing cancer. For any individual, it is impossible to tell for sure what caused their cancer but, for populations as a whole, some exposures have strong connections – e.g. tobacco smoking and lung cancer. Because individuals are exposed to many, many different things during a lifetime, there are many substances ([known, probable or possible human carcinogens](#)) that are monitored by agencies.

Many researchers have explored possible connections between radio frequency radiation and cancer and as is often the case when there are many separate studies, a small number have reported an association between exposure and cancer, such as mobile phone use and brain tumour risk. There are also isolated lab studies where cells exposed to radio frequency show changes that could be associated with increased cancer risk. These studies are in the minority (e.g. [see here](#)). Significantly more high-quality studies have found no associations, including studies funded by cancer research organisations. Scientists have looked at all of the research and weighed up each study, looking at factors like the study design, level of association or certainty, and whether results

have been repeated by other studies. The [clear conclusion reached internationally](#), supported by health authorities in New Zealand, is that exposure to this type of radiation at levels experienced in New Zealand is not hazardous.

So why was radiofrequency radiation classified as a 'possible human carcinogen'?

Radiofrequency radiation was classified as a [possible human carcinogen](#) by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) in 2011. This category catches many commonly encountered things, such as [pickles](#) and [dry cleaning](#), so represents a low risk rating. To put this in perspective, even the classification above this, 'probable human carcinogens,' includes widely encountered activities including drinking [very hot drinks](#) and [working night shifts](#).

The IARC decided on this classification based on studies mentioned [here](#) that did report an association with mobile phone use – notably studies by the [Hardell Group](#) and one by [Interphone](#). The associations found in the studies are not clear-cut. For example, some results have [not been able to be replicated](#), and others showed low levels of phone use had a [protective effect](#) (reduced risk). The classification is based on work on exposure of people to radiation from handsets not cell towers.

The IARC highlights possible hazardous substances but does not look at likely exposures there will be to that hazard, so the rankings err on the side of caution.

'...no adverse health effects have been established as being caused by mobile phone use.'

In contrast, health organisations and government bodies generally include real-world exposures to ascertain the actual risk. The World Health Organization has stated that 'to date, no adverse health effects have been established as being caused by mobile phone use'. The focus of health organisations is generally on lowering risks – [for example](#), by lowering exposure to things that could potentially have adverse health impacts at high levels.

The currently available [scientific evidence](#) makes it extremely unlikely that there will be any adverse effects on human or environmental health from radiofrequency. However, an association between radiofrequency and cancer cannot be completely ruled out and this is why the exposure standards are so precautionary. NZ needs to continue to monitor the risks of exposure and ensure that they are within the international safety standard, as well as keeping a close watch on any new research.

International Agency for Research on Cancer: Classifications & Examples

Carcinogenic	
Probably carcinogenic	
Possibly carcinogenic	
Not classifiable	
Probably not carcinogenic	No substances have been classified as probably not carcinogenic

What about other symptoms?

People have also expressed concern that radio waves could have other health impacts like headaches, difficulty concentrating, and impaired sleep quality. Neither short nor long term studies have shown conclusive [evidence](#) of any of these or other health effects. There have been repeated observations of a small effect on the electrical activity of the brain but there is no clear evidence that this is associated with any harm. The Australian government has responded to concerns by publishing tips on how to [reduce exposure at a personal level](#) to enable people to make a choice about their exposure. Examples include using speaker phone on mobile phone calls and reducing the amount of time using wireless devices.

What about exposure near cell towers?

Since the NZ standard limits potential exposure, it is important to check that this limit is not exceeded near cell sites, especially when new towers are being installed. To control this, the Ministry for the Environment has [additional standards](#) that cover activities like installing new poles and antennas, antennas on building, and adding small-cell units to existing structures. This ensures that potential exposure at all locations are significantly under safe limits.


Are there risks to the environment?

Bees and other small animals

Computer simulations find that insects will [absorb more heat](#) from radio waves at higher frequencies than they do from the lower ones currently widely used.

Changing the frequency of transmission could in theory make a difference to bees and other insects, however, it has not been shown that this small amount of extra heat absorption causes insect populations any harm or that insects would be more exposed in a real-world environment.

There are many natural sources of variation in environmental heat – like sunshine on a clear day compared to a cloudy one – and research has also shown that bees are being [adversely impacted by climate change](#). Bees are very important to our ecosystem so further research and monitoring around radiofrequency could be valuable even if the likelihood of real-world effects is low.



Aesthetics

Millimetre wave 5G signals need line of sight to work effectively, which means that there will need to be many more small transmitters, compared to the smaller number of larger towers we use for 3G and 4G. This is not a health or safety issue, but depending on the design of the towers, they may not be visually pleasing. As with other structures, visual effects are considered under the [Resource Management Act](#), managed by the Ministry for the Environment.

Plants


There are concerns that trees may be removed because they can weaken signals and reduce 5G coverage if they are in the signal path. Other objects can also prevent signals – buildings, walls, and other solid objects. Trees are offered protection in NZ under the [Resource Management Act](#).

Technological differences between 4G and 5G

Security

There are a few design differences that affect security in 5G networks, compared to previous generations. While 4G holds sensitive data on a chip, 5G moves this into the cloud so data can be accessed more readily. The diagram below shows a simplified representation of this difference. 5G networks also have a more complex network model that uses advanced computer processing. The difference in design creates potential security vulnerabilities as it becomes more difficult to define and protect sensitive information in defined parts of the network.

Security vulnerabilities can be managed by use of software and comprehensive management of networks. Research on security is ongoing in [NZ](#), as in [Australia](#), the [UK](#) and the [US](#).




5G, beamforming and massive MIMO

Another key difference in the 5G network compared to previous generations of cell networks is the use of beamforming technology. Beamforming is enabled by tech called MIMO (multiple input multiple output) base stations – these have many more antennae than 4G bases.

Beamforming is technology that directs radio waves to a target in a way that is more efficient and improves signal quality compared to 4G. The [signal only forms when it's needed](#) (like when data is being downloaded), so the potential for exposure is smaller compared to 4G.

Australian companies have [reported](#) that in practice, 5G signals are well below safety limits. This has also been found in [independent audits](#). While 5G may use more cell sites, the amount of exposure from each site will be lower as the number of sites grow. There is little evidence to suggest that exposures in NZ would significantly increase with 5G, and the regulation and monitoring in place already limits exposure.



5G and millimetre waves

See *Frequencies to be used*.

Treaty of Waitangi

The 5G network could potentially use a higher frequency (the 26 GHz band), though the government would first need to allocate this spectrum to operators. There is an [unresolved Treaty claim](#) over radio spectrum, which the government will need to consider and resolve in allocating this spectrum. There have been [several past claims](#), asserting that radio spectrum is a natural resource (to which Māori have equal rights). Māori have created success and [increased participation](#) in the information and technology system previously through purchase of radio frequency in the 3G spectrum.

Resources

Clear science explainers

[Does 5G pose health risks?](#) – BBC News (15 July 2019).

[The Science of Why 5G Is \(Almost\) Certainly Safe For Humans](#) – Forbes (1 November 2019)

[Who should we trust about 5G?](#) – Newsroom (11 October 2019)

[Are mobile phones really bad for our health?](#)– NZ Herald (18 May 2019)

[What's the impact of 5G?](#) – BBC Click (15 November 2019). YouTube video.

[Australian radiation safety agency fires back at 5G health fearmongering](#) – ZDNet (18 November 2019)

[Expert discusses health issues around launch of 5G in New Zealand](#) – NewsHub (19 November 2019). An interview with scientist sharing his opinions and concerns about 5G.

NZ government info

[5G and Health](#) – Ministry of Health (August 2019). An overview of health information on 5G and Ministry of Health activities.

[5G questions and answers](#)– Ministry of Health (November 2019).

[Research into non-ionising radiation](#) – Ministry of Health. Summary of NZ's Interagency Committee on the Health Effects of Non-Ionising Fields, which monitors research into extremely low frequency electric and magnetic fields, and radiofrequency fields.

[Radiofrequency field exposure standard](#) – Ministry of Health. NZ standards on exposure.

[Independent cell site monitoring](#) - Ministry of Health. Information and results of independent cell site monitoring.

[Environmental standards for telecommunication facilities](#) – Ministry for the Environment. A guide that explains the national environmental standards: what they do, why they have been introduced, and how they affect you in your daily life.

[Preparing for 5G in NZ](#) – Radio Spectrum Management. Process of preparing for 5G.

[5G on track for 2020](#) – Beehive (February 2019). Press release on 5G spectrum allocation.

[Statement on 5G](#) – Government Communications Safety Bureau (November 2018). Statement on 5G and further information on what 5G is.

International government information

[UK briefing paper on 5G](#) – UK Parliament (September 2019). The briefing includes an explanation of 5G and its expected uses; policy challenges associated with 5G and information about the roll-out of 5G in the UK including forthcoming spectrum auctions.

[5G technologies: radio waves and health](#) – Public Health England (October 2019).

[5G: the new generation of the mobile phone network and health](#) - Australian Radiation Protection and Nuclear Safety Agency (ARPANSA) (March 2019). 5G, health, and standards.

[Misinformation about Australia's 5G network](#) – ARPANZA (June 2019).

[House of Representatives Standing Committee on Communications and the Arts: Inquiry into the deployment, adoption and application of 5G in Australia: Submission 330](#) – Submission on 5G in Australia.

[US policy on 5G technology](#) – US Foreign Press Centre (August 2019). Briefing on US policy on 5G technology.

[Overview of Risks Introduced by 5G Adoption in the United States](#) – Cyber and Infrastructure Security Bureau (July 2019). Overview of opportunities and challenges, implementation, deployment, and network security in the US.

Health

[5G and Health](#) – Ministry of Health (August 2019). An overview of health information on 5G and Ministry of Health activities.

[Research into non-ionising radiation](#) – Ministry of Health. Summary of NZ's Interagency Committee on the Health Effects of Non-Ionising Fields, which monitors research into extremely low frequency electric and magnetic fields, and radiofrequency fields.

[Recent Research on EMF and Health Risk](#) – Swedish Radiation Safety Authority (June 2019). Comprehensive review of new research by Swedish Radiation Safety Authority's Scientific Council on Electromagnetic Fields.

[Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields](#) – International Agency for Research on Cancer (2013). Monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risks to humans (Volume 102).

[United States information sheet](#) – National Cancer Institute (January 2019). Information on electromagnetic radiation.

[5G: the new generation of the mobile phone network and health](#) - Australian Radiation Protection and Nuclear Safety Agency (ARPANSA) (March 2019). 5G, health, and standards.

[Submission to the House of Representatives Standing Committee on Communications and the Arts Inquiry into 5G in Australia](#) - Australian Radiation Protection and Nuclear Safety Agency (ARPANSA)

[5G technologies: radio waves and health](#) – Public Health England (October 2019).

Standards and monitoring

[Radiofrequency field exposure standard](#) – Ministry of Health. NZ standards on exposure.

[Independent cell site monitoring](#) - Ministry of Health. Information and results of independent cell site monitoring.

[High frequency 100 kHz - 300 GHz](#) – International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection. International basis for NZ standards.

[Electromagnetic Fields Standards and Guidelines](#) - World Health Organization. Information on standards and guidelines internationally.

Security and innovation

[Statement on 5G](#) – Government Communications Safety Bureau (November 2018). Statement on 5G and further information on what 5G is.

[Telecoms supply chain](#) – UK Government (2019). Comprehensive review of supply arrangements for the UK telecoms Critical National Infrastructure.

[Overview of Risks Introduced by 5G Adoption in the United States](#) – Cyber and Infrastructure Security Bureau (July 2019). Overview of opportunities and challenges, implementation, deployment, and network security in the US.

[How will 5G shape innovation and security: A Primer](#) – Center for Strategic and International Studies, US (2018).

FAQs

How is 5G different from 4G?

See *Technological differences between 4G and 5G*.

What are the benefits of 5G?

See *Potential benefits*.

Where will 5G be?

5G is being implemented in countries throughout the world. In NZ it has been trialled in Alexandra, and there are plans to launch it in main cities in December 2019 (Auckland, Wellington, Christchurch and Queenstown), and at least [five regional towns in 2019/2020](#).

Which wavelengths will be used in NZ?

The wavelength 5G will use in NZ [will initially be 3.5 GHz](#). This is similar to wavelengths used for 2G, 3G and 4G. The wavelengths for each radio type must be different to each other to prevent reception issues.

In the future, a higher frequency (26 GHz) may be used. This higher wave type is known as millimetre waves. There is currently some use in this frequency band (such as radars used by some vehicles) but it is otherwise unused in NZ.

Will 5G interfere with weather sensors?

This is not currently a concern in NZ as 5G will use the 3.5 GHz band. [NIWA](#) has confirmed this use won't interfere with weather forecasts.

NZ 5G may expand into a higher band in the future – the 26 GHz band, which covers frequencies from 24.25 GHz to 28.35 GHz. The lower end of the band is close to the frequency that water absorbs at (23.8 GHz), which means 5G could [potentially cause interference to weather forecasting](#) if it's not carefully managed. This is a key area that is being researched and will be addressed in [international standards](#).

Will I be exposed to radiation?

See *Health*.

Will there be more exposure to radio waves?

It is difficult to say whether exposure will increase or decrease. The use of 5G beamforming technology allows radio waves to be directed to a target only when needed.

Australian companies have [reported](#) that in practice, 5G signals are well below safety limits. This has also been found in [independent audits](#). While 5G may use more cell sites, the amount of exposure from each site will be lower as the number of sites grow. There is little evidence to suggest that exposures in NZ would significantly increase with 5G, and the regulation and monitoring in place already limits exposure.

Is there more exposure further from towers?

Exposure to radiation decreases rapidly as distance from the source increases – this is true for cell towers, cell phones, and any other sources that emit radiofrequency radiation.

What standards do Telecommunication companies need to meet?

Thermal effects of radio waves are well-understood, so the [limits](#) at which they can potentially begin to cause harm are clearly defined. [NZ standards](#) have a built in safety margin and operators must ensure they meet these standards.

Who can use 5G?

The majority of devices currently available do not support 5G. A few phone models released in 2019 are 5G capable, but this capability will become more widespread in phones released in 2020 onwards. Even with 5G capable devices, 5G networks will not be available everywhere in NZ, and the phone plan used must cover 5G.

Why are people worried about security?

See *Security*.

Will 5G cause cancer?

See *Health*.

Why has Brussels stopped their 5G rollout?

The rollout of 5G in Brussels has been delayed for two main reasons:

- **Disagreement on how proceeds from auctioning radio bands used for 5G should be distributed.** The disagreement means the radio bands are not expected to be auctioned until around 2020.
- **Concerns that the antennae used for 5G may not be able to measure radiation output accurately.** This means it would not be known for certain whether 5G networks would not exceed radiation limits.

Since 2009, the Brussels region has had [stricter radiation standards](#) than most other countries. The stricter limits are not evidence based, rather due to the precautionary principle and public pressure. The stricter limits have previously caused issues with providing fast mobile internet in Brussels.

Although there are no 5G licenses for sale, Brussels has one operator that already has a license they can use for 5G networks (the 3.5 GHz band). They are working with a port to set up a [private 5G network](#) for use on their tugs, barges, and operations.

Why have scientists petitioned against 5G?

There are various international petitions against 5G that have been signed by people, including some scientists. However, as NZ and international reviews and research have found, it is unlikely that exposure within NZ limits is associated with adverse health effects.

Who is monitoring 5G safety?

Independent compliance monitoring is undertaken of cell sites in NZ. The exposures are generally [less than 1%](#) of that allowed under the Standard.

The Ministry of Health has an [expert advisory committee](#) that monitors and reviews new research. The committee meets every six months and must inform the Minister of Health of any reasonable suspicions of health hazards.

The World Health Organization (WHO) also continually [investigates possible health effects](#) of electromagnetic fields, including radiofrequency fields from radio transmitters.

Could 5G be used as a weapon?

5G use in NZ will not operate at frequencies or intensities that could be used as a weapon. All exposures must continue to be under NZ standards and these are independently and frequently monitored.

Will 5G harm bees and other insects?

See *Bees and other small animals*.