Island Biosecurity – Incursion Option Analysis

Options	Incident Name	Prepared by							
Analysis	Incident Location		Time	Date//					
Bosponso Objective	a (act by Incident Co	ntrollor							
Response Objective (set by Incident Controller)									
Previous options discarded because:									
Consider critical issues (most important first) for each option. If the outcome is unacceptable, the option is not viable.									
	Option 1	Option 2	Optio	n 3					
Strategy									
Briefly describe each option, show on attached map or diagram									
Issues									
May include: safety, resourcing, logistics, cost, environment, partnerships, weather, season, terrain, access, social, legal, scale.									
Impact of response effort									
Probability of Success									
Description of critic documentation)	al issues. Provide o	details of issues listed a	above if necessary	(or attach					
Compiler's comments on options and recommendation Name:									
Decision: Option		ne	Signed						
approved by Incident Controller									
IC comments:									

Island Biosecurity – Incursion Option Analysis *EXAMPLE*

Options Analysis	Incident Name	Wildlife island rat incursion	Prepared by	Planni intellig	ence	d	
	Incident Location	Wildlife island					
			Time 14:00	Date	15/	09/	19

Response Objective (set by Incident Controller)

Maintain ecosystem and species values on Wildlife Island by maintaining the island as pest free

Previous options discarded because: This is the first options analysis

Consider critical issues (most important first) for each option. If the outcome is unacceptable, the option is not viable.

	Option 1	Option 2	Option 3
Strategy Briefly describe each option, show on attached map or diagram	Aggressive Response. Commit resources and make this the priority work.	Passive Response – Repeat eradication if required. Assume that infrastructure and current resourcing will eliminate pest or detect if another eradication is required.	Walk Away. Accept that resources cannot be maintained, and the benefits are not worth the inputs.
Issues May include: safety, resourcing, logistics, cost, environment, partnerships, weather, season, terrain, access, social, legal, scale.	May be difficult to obtain staff initially and may rely heavily on a few key staff. \$10K-\$40K (dependent on transport and trap/gear costs. This option has the lowest environmental impact. Option will also put a drain on partners resourcing but will foster joint understanding and commitment to biosecurity.	Requires only standard effort. Low initially but potentially very expensive for an eradication. Moderate costs initially with some native animal losses, but very high if end up with an established pest population and/or an eradication. Partners will have reduced confidence.	Requires least effort for field work but could generate relationship work. Low financial cost. Highest environmental cost. Will have negative impact on sponsors and volunteers.
Impact of response effort	Re-directs resources from other priority work.	If an eradication is required it will be costly and success is not guaranteed.	This option does not meet the objective.
Probability of Success	High	Moderate	Moderate. Yes, we can walk away but there will be a high relationship/credibility cost.

Description of critical issues. Provide details of issues listed above if necessary (or attach documentation)

Need to target individual pest before a population can establish. Breeding will likely occur in spring with food resources available. Bird breeding and ground birds will be at risk if the pest remains on the island.

Compiler's comments on options and recommendation

Option 1: Aggressive Response – this will have the lowest resource and environmental cost and still meet the objective

Name: ...Bob Along.....

IC comments:

Accept the option 1 of aggressive response and acknowledge it will impact on XX and YY projects.

I require a weekly update on this project.

Obtain advice from biosecurity experts. Utilise the response to get community support and local media coverage.