

2018 Census External Data Quality Panel: Minutes of Meeting on 9 November 2018

Ţ
9 November 2018, 9am to 3:30pm
Stats NZ, Auckland Office
48 Greys Avenue,
Auckland Central
Richard Bedford - Chair
Tahu Kukutai (via audio conference from about 11am)
Donna Cormack
Alison Reid
Thomas Lumley
Len Cook
Barry Milne
lan Cope (in person)
Auckland
Kathy Connolly, General Manager Census
Vince Galvin, Chief Methodologist
Gareth Meech, Senior Manager Census Customer Focus and Secretariat
Steph Prosser, Senior Analyst Census
Adele Quinn, Manager Census Analytics
Christine Bycroft, Principal Statistician
Christchurch via v/c for selected sessions
Chris Hodgins, Manager Census Geography project
Alex Bayley, Senior Manager Census Respondent Focus

Meeting minutes

9-10am - In-committee meeting and feedback

The Chair reported back that the level of detail in the draft minutes from the 2nd meeting is better than the first meeting and is a good model for the subsequent minutes. One change was suggested by a panel member in regards to the sentence around going back into the field and once draft changes were made, the Chair accepted the minutes as approved. The action points list was revisited before the body of the meeting started and this has been updated at the end of these minutes. The Chair agreed to work with the secretariat to confirm which actions could be closed in the week of 12 November.

The Chair reported that the panel had discussed the legal obligations of Stats NZ to the collection and publication of accurate census data. The panel wants assurance from Stats NZ that procedures/methodologies to improve the quality of census data are legal in the context of legislation including Stats Act, Electoral Act and Privacy Act. The panel needs to know they are giving advice that does not breach the requirements of any act or any commitments that have already been made. The panel strongly recommended that suitable advice is sought by Stats NZ that informs the panel.

The panel also discussed a draft proposal for the content of their report that had been circulated to panel members prior to the meeting. The Chair will send a copy of a revised version of this proposal to the secretariat and Kathy and this will become the working draft that will help determine the priority of information and data the panel need to complete the report.



The Chair noted that the panel will soon need to have additional meetings to start forming and writing their independent report. The Chair will suggest additional dates and times prior to the December meeting.

The secretariat advised that a solution for allowing panel members access to presentations, papers and data prior to meetings has been approved and will hopefully be in place by 16 November. As previously advised, all panel members will need RealMe usernames to access the solution.

AP3-1: Each panel member to ensure they have a functioning RealMe username by 23 November.

A set of guidelines to access the system and set expectations on how workspace members should work to reduce risk of the data being shared will be developed.

AP3-2: The secretariat to develop and distribute guidelines when the file sharing solution is in place.

The Chair requested that panel members and Stats NZ people hold both 13 and 14 February and 5 and 6 March for the dates of the February and March meetings respectively. The Chair is working with the 2018 Census external reviewers to set up a date to share findings in February and some panel members need more time to confirm the March dates.

AP3-3: The secretariat and Chair to agree and distribute future meeting dates by 23 November.

Progress on actions from the meeting on 23 October was summarised verbally and details are documented in the new action log at the end of these minutes.

AP3-4: Correct minutes from 23 Oct meeting adding a note to the sentence 'that we could test the model accuracy' in addition to collecting more data from respondents.

10:15am to 3pm - Imputation approach and updated data, Christine and Adele

Stats NZ presented many but not all of the slides prepared for the meeting because of time constraints. The slides and discussion covered:

- a summary of the problems we are facing
- constraints
- presentation of national and regional level data on Māori ethnicity, Māori descent and iwi counts dwelling frame quality metrics
- -mitigations for non-response adjustment specifically use of administrative data sources and imputation methods
- programme timelines and
- options to going back into the field.

We had also planned to discuss the quality assurance framework, recent customer engagement and update the panel on the progress and issues faced by the Post Enumeration Survey (but we ran out of time).



In the discussion that accompanied the Stats NZ presentation, Panel members made a number of requests for further information to be provided at subsequent meetings. These requests are itemised below as specific action points.

AP3-5: More information about what which administrative data sources are to be used and whether their use in this context is what respondents and data suppliers would expect - or have been advised. The term IDI is used but this is de-identified data and we are linking using identified data. Is this described in the Privacy Impact Assessment and what do our data providers (both source and intermediaries understand about this particular use). Gareth to add this item to agenda for December meeting.

AP3-6: Check the table stating the percentage of the IDI_ERP using different admin sources. Data does not look right. Christine to check and get back to panel in week of 23 November.

Note that there are (at least) two different stories about Māori ethnic group response rates in Northland compared to Auckland and that Stats will need good analysis to tell the data story.

AP3-7: More information needed about the sources and component of admin data used to help fill gaps in Māori descent data. Christine to check and get back to panel in week of 23 November.

Note that concerns were raised about the lack of other admin sources of iwi data and in particular new iwi added to the classification since the 2013 Census. There was a brief discussion about the impact on users of this data in particular for Treaty settlement processes. Comprehensive engagement will be needed with iwi.

AP3-8: For future data presentations, request that any data created to Territorial Authority level, that we include Auckland Local Boards. Gareth to note for future meetings.

Chris Hodgins joined the conference and presented slides on the dwelling frame quality. There was a lot of information displayed, so panel members will need time to review it once it can be uploaded into sharepoint.

AP3-9: More information needed about the sub-types of Non-Private Dwellings (NPDs). Gareth to check when this detail will be available for the panel and add to sharepoint folder when ready. By end of Dec.

A session on data mitigations was presented by Christine. There was recap of the strategies planned and how Stats is progressing towards implementation.

Panel led a set of questions about the reference to the IDI in our methods and made the point that the IDI is a de-identified research dataset – whereas we are using a set of data from the IDI that initially uses identifying information to link individuals (before being de-identified for census dataset use).

AP3-10: Clarification is needed about what data is used in the census administration enumeration (referred to as IDI data in the presentation) and a list of the current variables being imputed, where the data comes from, whether the data suppliers are aware of its use and whether it uses identifiable or de-identified person data. Christine and Kathy to provide information to panel in time for the Dec meeting.



Kathy then led a discussion about the timelines the programme is working towards. Stats will be updating customers by mid-December about the first release dates. The panel made several suggestions including whether and when an ERP could be produced that was 'informed by the census'.

Engagement with iwi and Māori was discussed This census could/should be the catalyst for a forward looking discussion on how to produce robust iwi and Māori data across the Official Statistics System (OSS). Some of the panel members suggested that Stats should engage strategic public relations support for this period. Other members emphasized the need for Stats NZ to be as open, transparent and proactive as possible in their communications.

AP3-11: The present criteria about the thresholds being used to make decisions about methods was requested. Gareth to work with Christine to update quality assurance framework presentation that was not able to presented due to a lack of time today.

Alex Bayley joined the meeting via video conference to discuss options that have been drafted about going back into the field. The panel asked questions and talked about possible pros and cons to each idea. Options to improve Māori and iwi data was discussed including the possible use of iwi held registers.

AP3-12: Panel members to think further about going back into the field and whether they had any further advice for us (as they didn't think they had time to appropriately digest and respond at the meeting). Richard to summarise before December meeting.

There was not time for the presentations on the quality assurance framework, the recent key customer engagement and PES update – due to the need to prioritise the data and methods discussions.

3pm to 3:30 Summary and December meeting priorities

The Chair opened a discussion on what areas would be priorities for the December meeting. These included:

- Presentation of the messages to be used in the update to the public in early December
- Communication messages that the panel could use
- Quality assurance framework and customer feedback
- PES update
- Updated data shown to date eg (people and dwelling counts, ethnicity, Māori descent and)

A realistic programme plan and timeline

- Present data on the birthplace data (and include 2013 and 2018 comparisons and IDI-ERP birthplace)
- Present data on gender (sex) and the intersex paper option that was available

AP3-13: Chair to agree priority of topics for Dec meeting by 23 November.

The next meetings dates are:

- 7 December, Auckland
- 13 and/or 14 February, Wellington
- 5 and/or 6 March, Auckland
- April date to be confirmed by 23 Nov.



- May and later dates to be confirmed at 7 Dec meeting.

Action log

Action Ref	Date	Description	Owner	Date	Progress	Date
ker	raised	Description	Owner	required	Progress	Date closed
AP 1- 1	29/8/18	TOR: Amend section of report where it states that the GS will sign off on the panel report. Include reference that advice on admin data is wanted - make this more explicit Add line to TOR around statutory requirements Add line to TOR around impacts of census data on iwi and Māori.	Gareth and Richard B	Before Oct meeting	23/10 TOR was finalised, distributed, reviewed and published 14 Sept. Can be closed.	Closed 14/9/18
AP 1- 2	29/8/18	Send independent ABS report to members.	Gareth	Before Oct meeting	23/10 ABS report not yet sent given the information is sensitive. Gareth to work with Kathy and Richard on how to give access or present at future meeting. Update at Nov meeting 9/11. Paper will be added to sharepoint folder once up and running. To close before Dec meeting. 6/12 Added to workspace/Shared Documents	Close
AP 1- 3	29/8/18	Set up some time to talk in next meeting to talk about electoral legislation and how it's calculated - and prepare something to send out.	Gareth	Before Oct meeting	23/10 Links to electoral calculation added to Oct meeting agenda. Electoral data update delivered in Oct meeting.	Closed 23/10/18
AP 1- 4	29/8/18	Create and send out template for conflicts of interest.	Gareth	Before Oct meeting	23/10 No existing template, but discussion at Oct meeting has led to AP 2-2 – to create a register. Will close this one.	Closed 23/10/18
AP 1- 5	29/8/18	Give information on how respondents were to meet their legal obligations.	Gareth	Before or at Oct meeting	23/10 Adele has prepared some information, to be discussed at the informal meeting on 30 Oct. 9/11 Short paper printed and added to pack at meeting. Additional paper SNZ presented to conference to be added to sharepoint. 6/12 Added to workspace/Shared Documents	Close
AP 1- 6	29/8/18	Complete declaration of secrecy docs for Tahu and Ian	Gareth	At Oct meeting	23/10 Ian and Tahu signed declarations at the Oct meeting. Close	Closed 23/10/18
AP 1- 7	29/8/18	Confirm dates of next meetings.	Richard	At Oct meeting	23/10 Nov, Dec, Feb and Mar dates confirmed. April date to be confirmed. Leave open 9/11 Feb and March dates will likely include additional meetings to work on panel report and meet with external review team.	Open



	22/2/12			- c	00/100	
AP 1-	29/8/18	Collate and send out	Gareth	Before	23/10 Summary not provided at	Open Close
8		information about languages		Oct	Oct meeting. Will target Nov	
		(what was considered &		meeting	meeting.	
		priorities).			9/11 Some information was	
					provided with the October	
					agenda. To be added to	
					Sharepoint folder before Dec	
					meeting. Additional information	
					wanted on how the languages	
					were selected.	
					6/12 Added to shared folder	
AP 1-	29/8/18	Find out the response rate of	Gareth	At Oct	23/10 Ran out of time for PES	Open
9		the PES		meeting	update. To be prioritised in next	/Close
					2 meetings.	
					9/11 Ran out of time at 9 Nov	
					meeting, but will be added to	
					later meeting date.	
					6/12 PES added to agenda for	
					Dec meeting	
AP 1-	29/8/18	Find out the methodology of	Richard S	Before	23/10 Summary of information	Closed
10		the independent agency that		Oct	attached to October meeting	23/10/18
		measured the 'awareness' KPI.		meeting	agenda.	
AP 1-	29/8/18	Prepare information for critical	Gareth	Before	23/10 Not specifically discussed	Closed
11		triggers.		Nov	at meeting. Gareth to discuss	9/11
		686.13.		meeting	whether this fits with the panel	3,11
				meeting	or better with the external	
					review and advise panel.	
					9/11 Richard will work with the	
					external reviewers on what the	
					panel will or not need to	
					produce their findings. Close	
AP 1-	29/8/18	Provide information of bias.	Gareth	At Oct	23/10 presentation of method	Closed
	29/6/16	Provide information of bias.	Garetii		The state of the s	
12				meeting	and the pro and cons of	9/11
					approaches only touched on at	
					Oct meeting. This will be subject	
					to Nov meeting content. To	
					close after that meeting	
					9/11 Some information about	
					bias was discussed as part of the	
					mitigations sessions. Close	
AP 1-	29/8/18	Provide rough indication of	Gareth	At Oct	23/10 Māori descent and	Closed
13		Māori response rates for next		meeting	ethnicity counts presented at	23/10/18
		meeting.			October meeting. Will be	
					subject to future discussions,	
					but will close this action point.	
Meetir	ng 2 actions		1			
AP 2-	23/10/18	Revise minutes from the first	Richard	By 9	9/11 To be completed by 23	Open
0		meeting and present to for	and	Nov	Nov.	
Y		confirmation at the meeting on	Gareth			
		9 November.				
AP 2-	23/10/18	Work out way to give access to	Kathy	By 9	9/11 DIA Sharepoint solution	Open Close
1		sensitive information outside	and	Nov	approved, but not yet	
		of the meeting room	Gareth		implemented. Target date 16	
		-			November.	
					6/12 Sharepoint solution	
					created and access given to	
					panel members	
AP 2-	23/10/18	Create and distribute a conflict	Gareth	By 9	9/11 To be completed and	Open
2	,,	of interest register		Nov	added to Sharepoint before Dec	⇒ - = · ·
-					meeting.	
	L		L	L	10.	



					6/12 Added to	
					Sharepoint/Shared Documents –	
					7/12 Leave action open for	
					panel members to fill in prior to Feb 2019 meeting	
AP 2-	23/10/18	More information requested	Gareth	At 9 Nov	Topic for 9 Nov meeting.	Closed
3	23/10/10	about the components and	Garctii	meeting	9/11 Presented on at Nov	9/11/18
		quality of the dwelling frame			meeting. Close.	3, 22, 23
		including mail-out and list				
		leave approaches, what was				
		added and deleted during				
		operations.				
AP 2-	23/10/18	More information requested	Gareth	By Dec	9/11 Information presented that	Open
4		about what investigations we		meeting	include 2013 response rates and	
		are doing into 2013 counts and			counts. Gareth to check with	
		response rates – are we			Richard whether this should be	
		assuming that 2013 is correct?			closed by 23 Nov. 6/12 Gareth to talk to Richard	
AP 2-	23/10/18	Send apartment strategies (and	Gareth	By 9	9/11 To be completed and	Open Close
5	23/10/18	other targeted strategies) as	and	Nov	added to Sharepoint before Dec	Open close
		background to next meeting.	Steph	1101	meeting.	
					6/12 2018 Census Targeted area	
					slides added to workspace	
AP 2-	23/10/18	Need more detail about the	Gareth	Ву 9	Sessions at 30 Oct meeting and	Open
6		totality and components of the	and	Nov	priority at full 9 Nov meeting.	
		imputation methods initially	Richard		9/11 Information presented at	
		planned and now being	10 10	' //	meeting. Gareth to check with	
		implemented.	71.1		Richard whether this should be	
				1/2.	closed by 23 Nov. 6/12 Gareth to talk to Richard	
AP 2-	23/10/18	Next time data is presented,	Gareth	For Nov	25/10 – Will note for future	Closed
7	23/10/10	include like for like	and	meeting	meetings	25/10
,		comparisons between ERPs	Adele	, meeting		23, 10
		and Census usually resident				
		and Census usually resident counts – we have used 2013				
		counts – we have used 2013				
		counts – we have used 2013 census usual residence counts and 2018 ERP as benchmarks in same tables.				
AP 2-	23/10/18	counts – we have used 2013 census usual residence counts and 2018 ERP as benchmarks in same tables. Next time maps are produced,	Gareth	Ву 9	25/10 – Will note for future	Closed
AP 2- 8	23/10/18	counts – we have used 2013 census usual residence counts and 2018 ERP as benchmarks in same tables. Next time maps are produced, try to use the same colours and	Gareth	By 9 Nov	25/10 – Will note for future meetings	Closed 25/10
	23/10/18	counts – we have used 2013 census usual residence counts and 2018 ERP as benchmarks in same tables. Next time maps are produced, try to use the same colours and more detailed response rate	Gareth			
	23/10/18	counts – we have used 2013 census usual residence counts and 2018 ERP as benchmarks in same tables. Next time maps are produced, try to use the same colours and more detailed response rate breakdowns. Also ensure	Gareth			
	23/10/18	counts – we have used 2013 census usual residence counts and 2018 ERP as benchmarks in same tables. Next time maps are produced, try to use the same colours and more detailed response rate breakdowns. Also ensure furture TA breakdowns include	Gareth			
	23/10/18	counts – we have used 2013 census usual residence counts and 2018 ERP as benchmarks in same tables. Next time maps are produced, try to use the same colours and more detailed response rate breakdowns. Also ensure furture TA breakdowns include Auckland Local Boards in	Gareth			
8		counts – we have used 2013 census usual residence counts and 2018 ERP as benchmarks in same tables. Next time maps are produced, try to use the same colours and more detailed response rate breakdowns. Also ensure furture TA breakdowns include Auckland Local Boards in future.		Nov	meetings	25/10
	23/10/18	counts – we have used 2013 census usual residence counts and 2018 ERP as benchmarks in same tables. Next time maps are produced, try to use the same colours and more detailed response rate breakdowns. Also ensure furture TA breakdowns include Auckland Local Boards in future. Ensure labels on all graphs are	Gareth	Nov By 9	meetings 25/10 – Will note for future	25/10 Closed
8 AP 2-		counts – we have used 2013 census usual residence counts and 2018 ERP as benchmarks in same tables. Next time maps are produced, try to use the same colours and more detailed response rate breakdowns. Also ensure furture TA breakdowns include Auckland Local Boards in future.		Nov	meetings	25/10
8 AP 2-		counts – we have used 2013 census usual residence counts and 2018 ERP as benchmarks in same tables. Next time maps are produced, try to use the same colours and more detailed response rate breakdowns. Also ensure furture TA breakdowns include Auckland Local Boards in future. Ensure labels on all graphs are clear and any footnotes noted		Nov By 9	meetings 25/10 – Will note for future	25/10 Closed
AP 2- 9		counts – we have used 2013 census usual residence counts and 2018 ERP as benchmarks in same tables. Next time maps are produced, try to use the same colours and more detailed response rate breakdowns. Also ensure furture TA breakdowns include Auckland Local Boards in future. Ensure labels on all graphs are clear and any footnotes noted with graphs. To note for next		Nov By 9	25/10 – Will note for future meetings Topic for December meeting	25/10 Closed
AP 2- 9	23/10/18	counts – we have used 2013 census usual residence counts and 2018 ERP as benchmarks in same tables. Next time maps are produced, try to use the same colours and more detailed response rate breakdowns. Also ensure furture TA breakdowns include Auckland Local Boards in future. Ensure labels on all graphs are clear and any footnotes noted with graphs. To note for next meetings.	Gareth	By 9 Nov	25/10 – Will note for future meetings Topic for December meeting 9/11 To be presented at Dec	25/10 Closed 25/10
AP 2- 9	23/10/18	counts – we have used 2013 census usual residence counts and 2018 ERP as benchmarks in same tables. Next time maps are produced, try to use the same colours and more detailed response rate breakdowns. Also ensure furture TA breakdowns include Auckland Local Boards in future. Ensure labels on all graphs are clear and any footnotes noted with graphs. To note for next meetings.	Gareth	By 9 Nov	25/10 – Will note for future meetings Topic for December meeting 9/11 To be presented at Dec meeting.	25/10 Closed 25/10
AP 2- 9	23/10/18	counts – we have used 2013 census usual residence counts and 2018 ERP as benchmarks in same tables. Next time maps are produced, try to use the same colours and more detailed response rate breakdowns. Also ensure furture TA breakdowns include Auckland Local Boards in future. Ensure labels on all graphs are clear and any footnotes noted with graphs. To note for next meetings.	Gareth	By 9 Nov	meetings 25/10 – Will note for future meetings Topic for December meeting 9/11 To be presented at Dec meeting. 7/12 Data added to workspace	25/10 Closed 25/10
AP 2- 9 AP 2- 10	23/10/18	counts – we have used 2013 census usual residence counts and 2018 ERP as benchmarks in same tables. Next time maps are produced, try to use the same colours and more detailed response rate breakdowns. Also ensure furture TA breakdowns include Auckland Local Boards in future. Ensure labels on all graphs are clear and any footnotes noted with graphs. To note for next meetings. Request for data on birthplace.	Gareth	By 9 Nov By Dec meeting	25/10 – Will note for future meetings Topic for December meeting 9/11 To be presented at Dec meeting. 7/12 Data added to workspace by 7 Dec	25/10 Closed 25/10 Open
AP 2- 9 AP 2- 10	23/10/18	counts – we have used 2013 census usual residence counts and 2018 ERP as benchmarks in same tables. Next time maps are produced, try to use the same colours and more detailed response rate breakdowns. Also ensure furture TA breakdowns include Auckland Local Boards in future. Ensure labels on all graphs are clear and any footnotes noted with graphs. To note for next meetings. Request for data on birthplace.	Gareth	By 9 Nov By Dec meeting	25/10 – Will note for future meetings Topic for December meeting 9/11 To be presented at Dec meeting. 7/12 Data added to workspace by 7 Dec Discussion planned for 30 Oct	Closed 25/10 Open Closed
AP 2- 9 AP 2- 10	23/10/18	counts – we have used 2013 census usual residence counts and 2018 ERP as benchmarks in same tables. Next time maps are produced, try to use the same colours and more detailed response rate breakdowns. Also ensure furture TA breakdowns include Auckland Local Boards in future. Ensure labels on all graphs are clear and any footnotes noted with graphs. To note for next meetings. Request for data on birthplace. Discussion needed about the decisions to impute a larger	Gareth	By 9 Nov By Dec meeting	Topic for December meeting 9/11 To be presented at Decmeeting. 7/12 Data added to workspace by 7 Dec Discussion planned for 30 Octmeeting and then at 9 Nov	25/10 Closed 25/10 Open
AP 2- 9 AP 2- 10	23/10/18	counts – we have used 2013 census usual residence counts and 2018 ERP as benchmarks in same tables. Next time maps are produced, try to use the same colours and more detailed response rate breakdowns. Also ensure furture TA breakdowns include Auckland Local Boards in future. Ensure labels on all graphs are clear and any footnotes noted with graphs. To note for next meetings. Request for data on birthplace. Discussion needed about the decisions to impute a larger range of variables and impact	Gareth	By 9 Nov By Dec meeting	25/10 – Will note for future meetings Topic for December meeting 9/11 To be presented at Dec meeting. 7/12 Data added to workspace by 7 Dec Discussion planned for 30 Oct meeting and then at 9 Nov meeting	Closed 25/10 Open Closed
AP 2- 9 AP 2- 10	23/10/18	counts – we have used 2013 census usual residence counts and 2018 ERP as benchmarks in same tables. Next time maps are produced, try to use the same colours and more detailed response rate breakdowns. Also ensure furture TA breakdowns include Auckland Local Boards in future. Ensure labels on all graphs are clear and any footnotes noted with graphs. To note for next meetings. Request for data on birthplace. Discussion needed about the decisions to impute a larger range of variables and impact on not stated. Include ethnicity	Gareth	By 9 Nov By Dec meeting	Topic for December meeting 9/11 To be presented at Dec meeting. 7/12 Data added to workspace by 7 Dec Discussion planned for 30 Oct meeting and then at 9 Nov meeting 9/11 (Not final) Māori descent,	Closed 25/10 Open Closed
AP 2- 9 AP 2- 10	23/10/18	counts – we have used 2013 census usual residence counts and 2018 ERP as benchmarks in same tables. Next time maps are produced, try to use the same colours and more detailed response rate breakdowns. Also ensure furture TA breakdowns include Auckland Local Boards in future. Ensure labels on all graphs are clear and any footnotes noted with graphs. To note for next meetings. Request for data on birthplace. Discussion needed about the decisions to impute a larger range of variables and impact	Gareth	By 9 Nov By Dec meeting	25/10 – Will note for future meetings Topic for December meeting 9/11 To be presented at Dec meeting. 7/12 Data added to workspace by 7 Dec Discussion planned for 30 Oct meeting and then at 9 Nov meeting	Closed 25/10 Open Closed



	AP 2- .2	23/10/18	Send summary table and links to Census Transformation papers (in SNZ archive site) as background to next meeting.	Gareth	For 9 Nov pre reading	9/11 Email sent in week before Nov meeting. Close	Closed 9/11/18
	AP 2- .3	23/10/18	More information needed about IDI household matching including whether there will be any more specific targeting of areas with the threshold cutoffs (have we considered using different cut-off rules for different parts of the population?).	Christine	By 9 Nov	9/11 Presentation on mitigation including imputations completed at Nov meeting. Gareth to talk with Richard about whether this should stay open. 6/12 Gareth to talk to Richard after dec meeting	Open
	AP 2- .4	23/10/18	Stats NZ needs to consider the public perception of the extended use of admin data and use of name and address to match to admin sources of personal information. Kathy to action and respond back before 9 Nov.	Kathy	By 9 Nov	9/11 Discussion at Nov meeting has led to additional need for information and new action point 3-10. Close.	Closed 11/9/18
	AP 2- .5	23/10/18	Require more information about the qualities of the ethnicity data in the IDI-ERP.	Christine	By 9 Nov	Pre reading to be sent and Christine to discuss at 9 Nov meeting. 9/11 Email with links sent prior to Nov meeting and additional info presented on 9/11. Close.	Closed 9/11/18
	AP 2- .6	23/10/18	Re electoral implications, more information is required about the representativeness of the data and impacts of imputations. A range of scenarios, including constitutional impacts for Māori, would be useful	Kathy and Gareth	By 9 Nov	Discussion planned for 9 Nov meeting. 9/11 No additional electoral data was presented (as not complete yet). Updated draft electoral calculations to be presented to panel in Dec meeting. 6/12 Electoral data has not yet been run – targeting Feb meeting update.	Open
	AP 2- .7	23/10/18	Request for more information about Plan C in advance of next meeting. Gareth to assign SNZ owner and agree priority with Richard.	Gareth	By 9 Nov	Discussion planned for 9 Nov meeting. 9/11 Presented to Nov meeting. Close.	Closed 9/11/18
	AP 2- .8	23/10/18	Need more information about the Post Enumeration Survey methods and outcomes under the different imputation scenarios.	Kathy and Brooke	By Dec meeting	Topic for December meeting. 9/11 PES to be included in Dec meeting agenda. 6/12 added to agenda	Open Close
1	AP 2- .9	23/10/18	Only some panel members are available to go to Chch on the 30 th . Confirm lan, Len, Thomas and Barry will be going. Gareth to arrange travel with the four.	Gareth	By 26 Oct	25/10 Travel being booked by Brenda	Closed 26 Oct
_	AP 3-	9/11/18	Each panel member to ensure	Panel	By 23	6/12 Panel members to update	Open Close
1	-		they have a functioning RealMe username.	members	Nov		
2	AP 3- !	9/11/18	Develop and distribute guidelines when file sharing solution is in place	Gareth	By 23 Nov	6/12 Brief guidelines provided via email	Open Close



AP 3-	9/11/18	Agree and distribute future	Richard	By 23	6/12 Check with Richard	Open
3		meeting dates by 23 November	and	Nov		
			Gareth			
AP 3-	9/11/18	Correct minutes from 23 Oct	Gareth	By 23	6/12 added, new version to be	Open
4	3/11/10	meeting adding a note to the	Garctii	Nov	l .	Орен
4		_		INOV	uploaded after Dec meeting.	
		sentence 'that we could test				
		the model accuracy' in addition				
		to collecting more data from				
		respondents.				
AP 3-	9/11/18	More information about what	Gareth	By 7 Dec	6/12 Did not have time for Dec	Open
5	-, , -	admin data is used in the		,	agenda. Propose to present in	
		method and for what purpose.			Feb.	
					reb.	
		Privacy Impact Assessment				
		update. Add to agenda for				
		December.				
AP 3-	9/11/18	Check the table stating the	Christine	By 7 Dec		Open
6		percentage of the IDI_ERP				
		using different admin sources				
		as data does not look right.			W. Y/1	
AP 3-	9/11/18	More information needed	Christine	23 Nov	6/12 included in Dec	Onon
	9/11/10		Cilistile	25 NOV		Open
7		about the sources and			presentation	
		component of admin data used				
		to help fill gaps in Māori				
		descent data.				
AP 3-	9/11/18	For future data presentations,	Gareth	By 7 Dec	6/12 Noted	Open close
8		request that any data created	-			
		to Territorial Authority level,	7			
		that we include Auckland Local				
		Boards.	1871			
AP 3-	9/11/18	More information needed	Gareth	By 21	6/12 Info not yet available, can	Open
9	3/11/10	about the sub-types of Non-	Galetti	Dec	target Feb or Mar meeting	Орен
9			111	Dec	target rep or ivial infecting	
		Private Dwellings (NPDs)				
		Check when this detail will be				
		available for the panel and add				
		to sharepoint folder when				
AP 3-	9/11/18	to sharepoint folder when	Christine	By 7 Dec	6/12 More info in Dec	Open
	9/11/18	to sharepoint folder when ready. Clarification is needed about	Christine and	By 7 Dec	I	Open
AP 3- 10	9/11/18	to sharepoint folder when ready. Clarification is needed about what data is used in the census	and	By 7 Dec	presentation – Gareth to check	Open
	9/11/18	to sharepoint folder when ready. Clarification is needed about what data is used in the census administration enumeration		By 7 Dec	presentation – Gareth to check with Richard after Dec meeting	Open
	9/11/18	to sharepoint folder when ready. Clarification is needed about what data is used in the census administration enumeration (referred to as IDI data in the	and	By 7 Dec	presentation – Gareth to check	Open
	9/11/18	to sharepoint folder when ready. Clarification is needed about what data is used in the census administration enumeration (referred to as IDI data in the presentation), and a list of the	and	By 7 Dec	presentation – Gareth to check with Richard after Dec meeting	Open
	9/11/18	to sharepoint folder when ready. Clarification is needed about what data is used in the census administration enumeration (referred to as IDI data in the presentation), and a list of the current variables being	and	By 7 Dec	presentation – Gareth to check with Richard after Dec meeting	Open
	9/11/18	to sharepoint folder when ready. Clarification is needed about what data is used in the census administration enumeration (referred to as IDI data in the presentation), and a list of the current variables being imputed, where it comes from,	and	By 7 Dec	presentation – Gareth to check with Richard after Dec meeting	Open
	9/11/18	to sharepoint folder when ready. Clarification is needed about what data is used in the census administration enumeration (referred to as IDI data in the presentation), and a list of the current variables being imputed, where it comes from, whether the data suppliers are	and	By 7 Dec	presentation – Gareth to check with Richard after Dec meeting	Open
	9/11/18	to sharepoint folder when ready. Clarification is needed about what data is used in the census administration enumeration (referred to as IDI data in the presentation), and a list of the current variables being imputed, where it comes from, whether the data suppliers are aware of its use and whether it	and	By 7 Dec	presentation – Gareth to check with Richard after Dec meeting	Open
	9/11/18	to sharepoint folder when ready. Clarification is needed about what data is used in the census administration enumeration (referred to as IDI data in the presentation), and a list of the current variables being imputed, where it comes from, whether the data suppliers are aware of its use and whether it uses identifiable or de-	and	By 7 Dec	presentation – Gareth to check with Richard after Dec meeting	Open
	9/11/18	to sharepoint folder when ready. Clarification is needed about what data is used in the census administration enumeration (referred to as IDI data in the presentation), and a list of the current variables being imputed, where it comes from, whether the data suppliers are aware of its use and whether it	and	By 7 Dec	presentation – Gareth to check with Richard after Dec meeting	Open
	9/11/18	to sharepoint folder when ready. Clarification is needed about what data is used in the census administration enumeration (referred to as IDI data in the presentation), and a list of the current variables being imputed, where it comes from, whether the data suppliers are aware of its use and whether it uses identifiable or de-	and	By 7 Dec	presentation – Gareth to check with Richard after Dec meeting	Open
10 AP 3-		to sharepoint folder when ready. Clarification is needed about what data is used in the census administration enumeration (referred to as IDI data in the presentation), and a list of the current variables being imputed, where it comes from, whether the data suppliers are aware of its use and whether it uses identifiable or deidentified person data. Present criteria about the	and Kathy		presentation – Gareth to check with Richard after Dec meeting to see whether can close 6/12 Some information in Dec	,
10		to sharepoint folder when ready. Clarification is needed about what data is used in the census administration enumeration (referred to as IDI data in the presentation), and a list of the current variables being imputed, where it comes from, whether the data suppliers are aware of its use and whether it uses identifiable or deidentified person data. Present criteria about the thresholds being used to make	and Kathy Gareth and		presentation – Gareth to check with Richard after Dec meeting to see whether can close 6/12 Some information in Dec meeting present, more info to	,
10 AP 3		to sharepoint folder when ready. Clarification is needed about what data is used in the census administration enumeration (referred to as IDI data in the presentation), and a list of the current variables being imputed, where it comes from, whether the data suppliers are aware of its use and whether it uses identifiable or deidentified person data. Present criteria about the	and Kathy		presentation – Gareth to check with Richard after Dec meeting to see whether can close 6/12 Some information in Dec meeting present, more info to come after meeting. Leave	,
AP 3-11		to sharepoint folder when ready. Clarification is needed about what data is used in the census administration enumeration (referred to as IDI data in the presentation), and a list of the current variables being imputed, where it comes from, whether the data suppliers are aware of its use and whether it uses identifiable or deidentified person data. Present criteria about the thresholds being used to make decisions about methods.	and Kathy Gareth and Christine	By 7 Dec	presentation – Gareth to check with Richard after Dec meeting to see whether can close 6/12 Some information in Dec meeting present, more info to come after meeting. Leave open.	Open
AP3- 11		to sharepoint folder when ready. Clarification is needed about what data is used in the census administration enumeration (referred to as IDI data in the presentation), and a list of the current variables being imputed, where it comes from, whether the data suppliers are aware of its use and whether it uses identifiable or deidentified person data. Present criteria about the thresholds being used to make decisions about methods.	and Kathy Gareth and		presentation – Gareth to check with Richard after Dec meeting to see whether can close 6/12 Some information in Dec meeting present, more info to come after meeting. Leave open. 6/12 Check with panel about	,
10 AR 3- 11		to sharepoint folder when ready. Clarification is needed about what data is used in the census administration enumeration (referred to as IDI data in the presentation), and a list of the current variables being imputed, where it comes from, whether the data suppliers are aware of its use and whether it uses identifiable or deidentified person data. Present criteria about the thresholds being used to make decisions about methods. Panel members to think further about going back into the field	and Kathy Gareth and Christine	By 7 Dec	presentation – Gareth to check with Richard after Dec meeting to see whether can close 6/12 Some information in Dec meeting present, more info to come after meeting. Leave open. 6/12 Check with panel about whether there was any more	Open
AP3- 11		to sharepoint folder when ready. Clarification is needed about what data is used in the census administration enumeration (referred to as IDI data in the presentation), and a list of the current variables being imputed, where it comes from, whether the data suppliers are aware of its use and whether it uses identifiable or deidentified person data. Present criteria about the thresholds being used to make decisions about methods. Panel members to think further about going back into the field and whether they had any	and Kathy Gareth and Christine	By 7 Dec	presentation – Gareth to check with Richard after Dec meeting to see whether can close 6/12 Some information in Dec meeting present, more info to come after meeting. Leave open. 6/12 Check with panel about	Open
AP3- 11		to sharepoint folder when ready. Clarification is needed about what data is used in the census administration enumeration (referred to as IDI data in the presentation), and a list of the current variables being imputed, where it comes from, whether the data suppliers are aware of its use and whether it uses identifiable or deidentified person data. Present criteria about the thresholds being used to make decisions about methods. Panel members to think further about going back into the field	and Kathy Gareth and Christine	By 7 Dec	presentation – Gareth to check with Richard after Dec meeting to see whether can close 6/12 Some information in Dec meeting present, more info to come after meeting. Leave open. 6/12 Check with panel about whether there was any more	Open
AP 3- 11		to sharepoint folder when ready. Clarification is needed about what data is used in the census administration enumeration (referred to as IDI data in the presentation), and a list of the current variables being imputed, where it comes from, whether the data suppliers are aware of its use and whether it uses identifiable or deidentified person data. Present criteria about the thresholds being used to make decisions about methods. Panel members to think further about going back into the field and whether they had any	and Kathy Gareth and Christine	By 7 Dec	presentation – Gareth to check with Richard after Dec meeting to see whether can close 6/12 Some information in Dec meeting present, more info to come after meeting. Leave open. 6/12 Check with panel about whether there was any more	Open
AP3- 11		to sharepoint folder when ready. Clarification is needed about what data is used in the census administration enumeration (referred to as IDI data in the presentation), and a list of the current variables being imputed, where it comes from, whether the data suppliers are aware of its use and whether it uses identifiable or deidentified person data. Present criteria about the thresholds being used to make decisions about methods. Panel members to think further about going back into the field and whether they had any further advice for us (as they didn't think they had time to	and Kathy Gareth and Christine	By 7 Dec	presentation – Gareth to check with Richard after Dec meeting to see whether can close 6/12 Some information in Dec meeting present, more info to come after meeting. Leave open. 6/12 Check with panel about whether there was any more	Open
AP3- 11		to sharepoint folder when ready. Clarification is needed about what data is used in the census administration enumeration (referred to as IDI data in the presentation), and a list of the current variables being imputed, where it comes from, whether the data suppliers are aware of its use and whether it uses identifiable or deidentified person data. Present criteria about the thresholds being used to make decisions about methods. Panel members to think further about going back into the field and whether they had any further advice for us (as they	and Kathy Gareth and Christine	By 7 Dec	presentation – Gareth to check with Richard after Dec meeting to see whether can close 6/12 Some information in Dec meeting present, more info to come after meeting. Leave open. 6/12 Check with panel about whether there was any more	Open



AP 3- 13	9/11/18	Agree priority of topics for Dec meeting.	Richard	By 23 Nov	Close	Open/Close