From: To: **Grant Fletcher**

Subject: FW: Rapid transit definition for RLTP Date: Friday, 29 January 2021 1:09:00 pm

Attachments: image001.png

image002.png

Do we need to discuss this further? Cheers, Joe

From: Andrew Wharton <xxxxxx.xxxxxx@xxx.xxxx.xx>

Sent: Friday, 29 January 2021 11:09 am

<xxxxx.xxxxxxx@xx.xxxx.xx>; Stewart McKenzie <Stewart.McKenzie@poriruacity.govt.nz>; xxxxx.xxxxxx@xxxx.xxxx.xx; Hamish Wesney <xxxxxx.xxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxx.xxxx.nz>; John McSweeney <xxxx.xxxxxxxx@xxx.xxxx; Kate Pascall <Kate.Pascall@wcc.govt.nz> Cc: Amy Helm <xxx.xxxx@xx.xxxx; Amy Kearse <xxx.xxxxx@xxxx.xxx; Joe Hewitt <xxx.xxxxx@xxx.xxxx; Sherilyn Hinton <xxxxxxxxxx@xxx.xxxxxxx

Subject: RE: Rapid transit definition for RLTP

Hi all,

Sherilyn's, Kate's and my view is still that identifying rapid transit networks, services and stops is the role of the Regional Land Transport Plan. This is a regional rail network cutting across council boundaries, with services determined by national agency and regional council investments. It's the RMA plans' role to determine the appropriate land use controls around the train stations and other public transport stops.

We are concerned that the RLTP's lack of clarity in identifying rapid transit stations will cause extra challenges on definitions that each council will have to address separately. Whether a rail line section and its stations are rapid transit is a question of fact and interpretation. This should be identified consistently and regionally - not subject to the vagaries of individual district plan Section 32 analyses, submissions and separate decisions. Saying that the relevant Councils should identify rapid transit stops in our view risks differing interpretations of the GPS definition along the same rail line!

Because of this we don't support Jason's changes to the RLTP text, though we agree with the sentiment in the email.

A possible way forward:

I hear that the time for further edits to the draft RLTP may have passed. But there may be an opportunity for the Council land use and transport planners to get together to take a hard look at the data on upgrades and frequency changes, to address Kapiti and Porirua's concerns. Then we could lodge a joint Council staff submission on whether any stops, or sections of rail line, are/are not currently rapid transit stops.

Regarding Matt's comment that the rail and service upgrades may not be enough to be judged "reliable and high capacity", again this is something the RLTP should sort out as this judgement is based on the RLTP's improvement works, and projected frequency. In the interim, if it's unclear whether "planned" RLTP investments in reliability/capacity, and Metlink's increases in train frequency, will elevate the Kapiti Line to rapid transit status in the medium term, then there may

be an option to end the rapid transit part of the Kapiti line prior to Pukerua Bay, for now. Something to discuss further.

Regards,

Andrew Wharton

Principal Advisor LGWM Interface | Wellington City Council 021 365 051

Sent: 28 January 2021 5:12 PM

To: Fleur Matthews <<u>xxxxx.xxxxxxx@xx.xxxxxxx</u>>; Andrew Wharton

<<a href="mailto:syst

Cc: Amy Helm <<u>xxx.xxxx@xx.xxxx.xx</u>>; Amy Kearse <<u>xxx.xxxxxx@xxxx.xxxxxx</u>>

Subject: RE: Rapid transit definition for RLTP

Hi everyone

Thanks for the opportunity to comment and very useful to be aware of others views too.

To us, it feels a bit rushed for the RLTP to get too black and white about this.

We'd prefer more indicative wording for now until the case is clearer that the RLTP is likely to include the right investments at the right time to keep up with future growth in our district. Stewart's questions around frequency also seem pertinent to us – including whether there are or are not investments planned to substantively improve frequency (and capacity) up the Manawatu line to the Otaki station.

I attach some amendments for your consideration, accepting that there may be other ways to achieve the outcome we're seeking.

Cheers

Jason

PS: perhaps just semantics – this isn't about the RLTP "defining" these terms is it? I think we are talking about how the NPS-UD definitions in question might be applied to the specific context of the Wellington region railway system.

Jason Holland

District Planning Manager

Kāpiti Coast District Council Tel 04 296 7792 Mobile **From:** Fleur Matthews [mailto:xxxxx.xxxxxxx@xx.xxxxxxx]

Sent: Thursday, 28 January 2021 2:54 PM

To: Andrew Wharton <<u>xxxxxxxxxxxxx@xxx.xxxxxxx</u>>; Stewart McKenzie

McSweeney <<u>xxxx.xxxxxxxx@xxx.xxxxxxxxxxxx</u>>; Kate Pascall <<u>xxxx.xxxxxxx@xxx.xxxxxxx</u>>

Cc: Amy Helm <<u>xxx.xxxx@xx.xxxx.xx</u>>; Amy Kearse <<u>xxx.xxxxx@xxxx.xxxxxx</u>>

Subject: RE: Rapid transit definition for RLTP

Kia ora Andrew et al

Thanks for all your work so far on this. The reason the TAG wanted to remove the station names was because some TAs were of the view that potentially not all of the stops on these lines would be considered rapid transit stops as per the NPS UD definition. So adding in the suggested additions below would be counterproductive to the concerns raised. Does that make sense?

Fleur

Sent: Thursday, 28 January 2021 1:31 PM

Subject: RE: Rapid transit definition for RLTP

Hi Fleur and friends,

Kate Pascall and I agree it's a good idea to fix up the Map 6 by removing the station names and icons. This should also remove the issue of the circle highlighting Tawa, Linden, Kenepuru and Porirua stations ... but missing Takapu Road and Redwood stations. Also the stations in the Johnsonville line and some stations in Porirua City are missing.

To balance the removing of the stations from Map 6, can we please amend the text above in the fourth paragraph, for clarity, to read:

"The rapid transit network, stops and services for the Wellington region comprise the Kāpiti, Hutt, Melling and Johnsonville rail lines, and all stations on those lines." Of course my colleagues in other councils may recommend further changes; for example if Kapiti was concerned about this description and Map 6 so that stations north of Pukerua Bay are "passenger rail network" not "rapid transit network", I defer to those councils on this!

Regards,

Andrew Wharton

Principal Advisor LGWM Interface | Wellington City Council 021 365 051

From: Stewart McKenzie <<u>xxxxxxx.xxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxx</u>>

Sent: 28 January 2021 11:01 AM

To: Fleur Matthews <<u>xxxxx.xxxxxxxx@xx.xxxx.xx</u>>; Jason Holland

<<u>xxxxxx.xxxxx@xxxxxxxx.xxx</u>>; John McSweeney <<u>xxxx.xxxxxxx@xxx.xxxx.xx</u>>; Kate

Cc: Amy Helm <<u>xxx.xxxx@xx.xxxx.xx</u>>; Amy Kearse <<u>xxx.xxxxxx@xxxx.xxxxxx</u>>; Andrew

Wharton <<u>xxxxxx.xxxxxx</u> > **Subject:** RE: Rapid transit definition for RLTP

Kia Ora all,

For RMA land use planning purposes, PCC has been working under the assumption that the stops on the Kapiti Line within or very close to the District will meet the definition of a 'rapid transit stop' as defined by the NPS UD (the stops in question are Kenepuru, Porirua, Paremata, Mana, Plimmerton and Pukerua Bay). Trains run at a 20min frequency during peak times and during the day, with express services between Plimmerton - Wellington and Porirua - Wellington at peak times. Overall this frequency appears to support the assumption that these are 'rapid transit stops' servicing a 'rapid transit service'.

However, as services run at an hourly frequency at night and stop by midnight, there is a question mark over whether this service still meets the definition of a 'rapid transit service', or if night time frequency even needs to be considered. We are likely to be challenged on this through an RMA Schedule 1 process. We would be interested in knowing more about investment signalled through the RLTP aimed at increasing off-peak frequency and peak time capacity to accommodate growth on the Kapiti Line.

Bearing the above in mind, PCC can tentatively support the definition of rapid transit service proposed to be appended to the RLTP, although note this is not a formal endorsement from our Council.

Kind regards,

Stewart

Stewart McKenzie MNZPI

Manager Environment and City Planning
Kaiwhakahaere Taiao me te Whakamahere Tāone



Tel: 04 237 1376 | Mob:

poriruacity.govt.nz

Check out our Proposed District Plan

From: Fleur Matthews < xxxxx.xxxxxxx@xx.xxxxxxx >

Sent: Wednesday, 27 January 2021 1:35 PM

(xxxx.xxxxx@xxx.xxxxxx) <xxxx.xxxxx@xxx.xxxxxx.xx_>

Cc: Amy Helm <<u>xxx.xxxx@xx.xxxx.xx</u>>; Amy Kearse <<u>xxx.xxxxxx@xxxx.xxxxx</u>>; Andrew

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Rapid transit definition for RLTP

Importance: High

Kia ora koutou

The Regional Land Transport Plan TAG met this morning and discussed how the RLTP will define 'rapid transit' in the Wellington region, using the guidance on the NPS UD and various national transport documents. The TAG agreed to include the attached text, with amendments to the map to remove the names of the stops (so just referring to the names of the rail lines) and remove the purple lines. This document was developed by the GW Transport team with input from WCC, Waka Kotahi and me.

Can you please provide any feedback by **5pm tomorrow (Thursday 28 January)**? Apologies for the short turnaround time.

The attached text and map will be included in an Appendix to the RLTP in a section called 'Our Transport System'. It will be one of a series of maps.

Keep in mind the context for this definition and that being considered a rapid transit stop doesn't automatically mean 6 storeys, and likewise, an area with good transport links (that's not strictly rapid transit) can be intensified as appropriate. We will need to continue working on this wider picture to ensure we have a regionally consistent approach to it.

Ngā mihi Fleur



Fleur Matthews (she/her)

Kaitaki-a-tīma | Team Leader, Environmental Policy

Greater Wellington Te Pane Matua Taiao

100 Cuba Street, Te Aro, Wellington 6011

Follow us online: Facebook | Twitter | gw.govt.nz

ATTENTION: This correspondence is confidential and intended for the named recipient(s) only. If

you are not the named recipient and receive this correspondence in error, you must not copy, distribute or take any action in reliance on it and you should delete it from your system and notify the sender immediately. Unless otherwise stated, any views or opinions expressed are solely those of the author, and do not represent those of the organisation.

Disclaimer

The content of this email is confidential, may be legally privileged and is intended only for the person named above. If this email is not addressed to you, you must not use, disclose or distribute any of the content. If you have received this email by mistake, please notify the sender by return email and delete the email. Thank you.

ATTENTION: This correspondence is confidential and intended for the named recipient(s) only. If you are not the named recipient and receive this correspondence in error, you must not copy, distribute or take any action in reliance on it and you should delete it from your system and notify the sender immediately. Unless otherwise stated, any views or opinions expressed are solely those of the author, and do not represent those of the organisation.