

LGWM GOLDEN MILE MCA WORKSHOP – CYCLING LEVEL OF SERVICE

Presentation by David Huang

30 November 2020



Released under the Official Information Act 1982

Methodology

Trafitec Danish Roadway Segment Cycling LOS 2007 (a.k.a. **the Danish method**)

- **Consistent with the wider LGWM programme (e.g. City Streets)**
- **Most important:**
 - Width - space available for cycling**
 - Degree of separation from motor traffic and pedestrians**
- **Important:**
 - Traffic volume, speed, parking and bus stops all decrease ratings.**
- **Based on their relative improvement or deterioration in LOS compared to the base model, the three options are scored on a seven-point scale of -3 to 3**

The Danish Method

ROADWAY SEGMENT													
		FROM					TO					ROADLENGTH	
NO.	NAME OF ROAD	Road-ID	Km	Meter	Houseno.	Name of road	Road-ID	Km	Meter	Houseno.	Name of road	Road-ID	In kilometers
1	Lambton Quay - Base Model					Whitmore St					Grey St		0.610
2	Willis Street - Base Model					Willeston St					Manners St		0.320
3	Manners Street - Base Model					Willis St					Taranaki St		0.515
4	Courtenay Place - Base Model					Taranaki St					Cambridge Tce		0.430
5	Lambton Quay - Option 1					Whitmore St					Grey St		0.610
6	Willis Street - Option 1					Willeston St					Manners St		0.320
7	Manners Street - Option 1					Willis St					Taranaki St		0.515
8	Courtenay Place - Option 1					Taranaki St					Cambridge Tce		0.430
9	Lambton Quay - Option 2					Whitmore St					Grey St		0.610
10	Willis Street - Option 2					Willeston St					Manners St		0.320
11	Manners Street - Option 2					Willis St					Taranaki St		0.515
12	Courtenay Place - Option 2					Taranaki St					Cambridge Tce		0.430
13	Lambton Quay - Option 3					Whitmore St					Grey St		0.610
14	Willis Street - Option 3					Willeston St					Manners St		0.320
15	Manners Street - Option 3					Willis St					Taranaki St		0.515
16	Courtenay Place - Option 3					Taranaki St					Cambridge Tce		0.430

The Danish Method – Continued

Inputs:

IMPORTANT DATA		
MOTORISED VEHICLES IN BOTH DIRECTIONS	AVERAGE SPEED	LAND USE ON BOTH ROADSIDES
Only one number in one of the three columns	Of motor vehicles	1=Residential, > 50% residence in ground floor 2=Shopping, > 30% shops in ground floor 3=Mixed, other roads in urban area 4=Rural fields, mostly surrounding fields 5=Rural forrest, mostly surrounding forrest
AADT Weekday 6-18 o'clock Weekday peakhour	In km/h	

AVERAGE CROSS SECTION - IN METERS - ONE NUMBER IN EVERY COLUMN

Sidewalk	Buffer area between sidewalk and bicycle facility	Bicycle track	Bicycle lane / paved shoulder	Buffer area between bicycle facility and drive lane
	E.g. dividing verge, parking, bus stop, etc. 3 or more parked cars per 100 meter of roadside is to be entered as a 2 meter wide buffer area	Both one- and two-way traffic	Including white dividing line Minimum 0.9 meters wide	E.g. dividing verge, parking, bus stop, etc. 3 or more parked cars per 100 meter of roadside is to be entered as a 2 meter wide buffer area

The Danish Method – Continued

Inputs:

LESS IMPORTANT DATA (AUTOMATICALLY CALCULATED IF NOT ENTERED)					
SIDEWALK PAVEMENT 0 = No sidewalk 1 = Sidewalk of concrete flags 2 = Sidewalk of asphalt	CROSS SECTION IN METERS	DUMMIES - IF IT EXISTS THEN 1, OTHERWISE 0			
	Nearest drive lane Including bicycle lane / paved shoulder of less than 0.9 meters and edge lines	Median 0 = no median 1 = median exist	Drive lanes 0 = 1-3 drive lanes 1 = 4 or more drive lanes	Bus stop 0 = no bus stop 1 = bus stop exist	Trees and bigger plantings 0 = no or few plantings 1 = one or more trees / bigger plantings per 50 meters of road

PEDESTRIAN TRAFFIC ON NEAREST ROADSIDE	BICYCLE & MOPED TRAFFIC IN BOTH DIRECTIONS	PARKED CARS PER 100 METER
Only one number in one of the three columns See technical report for specifications.	Only one number in one of the three columns	Only on-street parking
PEDpedestrian model PEDbicycle model Weekday peakhour (traditional traffic count)	AADT Weekday 6-18 o'clock Weekday peakhour	TOTAL Only nearest roadside

Key assumptions

- Option 1
 - Closes some side streets (Reduced general traffic, ability for cycle to filter through)
 - Largely the same as Base Model
- Option 2
 - People on bikes continue to be able to ride on parts of Lambton Quay and Courtenay Place
 - Closes some side streets, ability for bikes to filter through
 - Less traffic due to removal of general traffic
- Option 3
 - Opportunity to provide a protected cycle facility (e.g. a two-way cycleway)
 - Closes some side streets, ability for bikes to filter through
 - Less traffic due to removal of general traffic

Evaluation outcomes

Key assumption: 30km/h for all sections

		A	B	CJ	CN	CO	CP	CQ	CR	CS	CT	CU	CV
		BICYCLE LEVEL OF SERVICE											
		LEVEL OF SERVICE			SATISFACTION: LEVEL AND SPLIT ON RATING CATEGORIES							SERVICE SUM	
		NO.	NAME OF ROAD	A-F	User	Level	Very satisfied	Moderately satisfied	A little satisfied	A little dissatisfied	Moderately dissatisfied	Very dissatisfied	Number
Base Model	6	1	Lambton Quay - Ba	E	Poor	4.7	1%	5%	11%	17%	33%	32%	-71
	7	2	Willis Street - Base	E	Poor	4.7	1%	5%	12%	18%	33%	31%	-36
	8	3	Manners Street - B	E	Poor	4.6	1%	6%	12%	18%	33%	30%	-56
	9	4	Courtenay Place -	B	Good	1.8	48%	36%	10%	3%	2%	1%	71
Option 1	10	5	Lambton Quay - O	B	Good	2.0	35%	40%	16%	5%	3%	1%	85
	11	6	Willis Street - Opti	B	Good	2.0	38%	40%	14%	5%	2%	1%	47
	12	7	Manners Street - C	B	Good	1.9	41%	39%	13%	4%	2%	1%	78
	13	8	Courtenay Place -	B	Good	2.1	34%	41%	16%	5%	3%	1%	60
Option 2	14	9	Lambton Quay - O	A	Good	1.6	57%	31%	8%	2%	1%	0%	108
	15	10	Willis Street - Opti	B	Good	1.9	41%	39%	13%	4%	2%	1%	48
	16	11	Manners Street - C	B	Good	1.9	41%	39%	13%	4%	2%	1%	78
	17	12	Courtenay Place -	B	Good	1.9	40%	39%	13%	4%	2%	1%	64
Option 3	18	13	Lambton Quay - O	A	Good	1.0	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	366
	19	14	Willis Street - Opti	B	Good	1.9	41%	39%	13%	4%	2%	1%	48
	20	15	Manners Street - C	B	Good	1.9	41%	39%	13%	4%	2%	1%	78
	21	16	Courtenay Place -	A	Good	1.0	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	258

Evaluation outcomes

Key assumption: when set "Average speed" at 37km/h

	A	B	CJ	CN	CO	CP	CQ	CR	CS	CT	CU	CV
	ROADWAY SEGMENT		BICYCLE LEVEL OF SERVICE									
			LEVEL OF SERVICE		SATISFACTION: LEVEL AND SPLIT ON RATING CATEGORIES						SERVICE SUM	
	NO.	NAME OF ROAD	A-F	User	Level	Very satisfied	Moderately satisfied	A little satisfied	A little dissatisfied	Moderately dissatisfied	Very dissatisfied	Number
Base Model	6	Lambton Quay - Base	E	Poor	4.7	1%	5%	11%	17%	33%	32%	-71
	7	Willis Street - Base	E	Poor	4.7	1%	5%	12%	18%	33%	31%	-36
	8	Manners Street - Base	E	Poor	4.6	1%	6%	12%	18%	33%	30%	-56
	9	Courtenay Place - Base	B	Good	1.8	48%	36%	10%	3%	2%	1%	71
Option 1	10	Lambton Quay - Option 1	E	Poor	4.7	1%	5%	11%	17%	33%	33%	-72
	11	Willis Street - Option 1	E	Poor	4.6	1%	6%	13%	19%	33%	29%	-35
	12	Manners Street - Option 1	E	Average	4.6	1%	6%	14%	19%	32%	27%	-52
	13	Courtenay Place - Option 1	E	Poor	4.8	1%	5%	11%	17%	33%	33%	-51
Option 2	14	Lambton Quay - Option 2	D	Average	4.1	3%	11%	21%	23%	27%	16%	-33
	15	Willis Street - Option 2	E	Average	4.6	1%	6%	13%	19%	32%	27%	-33
	16	Manners Street - Option 2	E	Average	4.6	1%	6%	14%	19%	32%	27%	-52
	17	Courtenay Place - Option 2	E	Average	4.6	1%	6%	13%	19%	32%	28%	-45
Option 3	18	Lambton Quay - Option 3	A	Good	1.0	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	366
	19	Willis Street - Option 3	E	Average	4.6	1%	6%	13%	19%	32%	27%	-33
	20	Manners Street - Option 3	E	Average	4.6	1%	6%	14%	19%	32%	27%	-52
	21	Courtenay Place - Option 3	A	Good	1.0	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	258

Evaluation outcomes

Key assumption: when set "Average speed" at 40km/h

	A	B	CJ	CN	CO	CP	CQ	CR	CS	CT	CU	CV
	ROADWAY SEGMENT		BICYCLE LEVEL OF SERVICE									
			LEVEL OF SERVICE		SATISFACTION: LEVEL AND SPLIT ON RATING CATEGORIES							SERVICE SUM
	NO.	NAME OF ROAD	A-F	User	Level	Very satisfied	Moderately satisfied	A little satisfied	A little dissatisfied	Moderately dissatisfied	Very dissatisfied	Number
Base Model	6	Lambton Quay - Base	E	Poor	4.7	1%	5%	11%	17%	33%	32%	-71
	7	Willis Street - Base	E	Poor	4.7	1%	5%	12%	18%	33%	31%	-36
	8	Manners Street - Base	E	Poor	4.6	1%	6%	12%	18%	33%	30%	-56
	9	Courtenay Place - Base	B	Good	1.8	48%	36%	10%	3%	2%	1%	71
Option 1	10	Lambton Quay - Option 1	E	Poor	4.9	1%	4%	10%	16%	33%	37%	-79
	11	Willis Street - Option 1	E	Poor	4.8	1%	5%	11%	17%	33%	34%	-38
	12	Manners Street - Option 1	E	Poor	4.7	1%	5%	12%	18%	33%	31%	-58
	13	Courtenay Place - Option 1	E	Poor	4.9	1%	4%	9%	15%	33%	38%	-56
Option 2	14	Lambton Quay - Option 2	D	Average	4.2	2%	10%	19%	22%	29%	19%	-42
	15	Willis Street - Option 2	E	Poor	4.7	1%	5%	12%	18%	33%	31%	-36
	16	Manners Street - Option 2	E	Poor	4.7	1%	5%	12%	18%	33%	31%	-58
	17	Courtenay Place - Option 2	E	Poor	4.7	1%	5%	11%	17%	33%	32%	-50
Option 3	18	Lambton Quay - Option 3	A	Good	1.0	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	366
	19	Willis Street - Option 3	E	Poor	4.7	1%	5%	12%	18%	33%	31%	-36
	20	Manners Street - Option 3	E	Poor	4.7	1%	5%	12%	18%	33%	31%	-58
	21	Courtenay Place - Option 3	A	Good	1.0	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	258

Evaluation outcomes

Other Factors that Influenced the Scores

- Position of Bus Stops (in-lane or indented bus bays)
- Cycle Access (i.e. If cycle access is not allowed on Manners Street between Taranaki St and Lower Cuba St, negative impact)
- Loading Bays and Taxi Stands
- Intersection Treatments (Including closing side streets)

Evaluation outcomes – Cycling Level of Service

Lambton Quay Do Minimum	Lambton Quay Option 1	Lambton Quay Option 2	Lambton Quay Option 3
0	1	1	3

Willis Street Do Minimum	Willis Street Option 1	Willis Street Option 2	Willis Street Option 3
0	0	0	-1

Manners Steet Do Minimum	Manners Street All Options
0	-1

Courtenay Place Do Minimum	Courtenay Place Option 1	Courtenay Place Option 2	Courtenay Place Option 3
0	1	1	3

Comments

- Loading bays retention
- Loading bays & taxi bay retention
- Tory Street through movement by general traffic

Released under the Official Information Act 1982

Released under the Official Information Act 1982

Let's GET
Wellington
MOVING

