POSITION IMPACT ANALYSIS

EMBARGOED

| Ex | planation | The purpose of the Position Impact Assessment (PIA) is to assess the impact of the positions within the proposed new organisational structure with current positions. The PIA does this by reviewing information about the current and proposed positions with position descriptions |
|----|-----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | being the primary source of information. The PIA focuses on any points of difference and so does not detail the full content information for each position, i.e. all accountabilities, skills and experience. So where an accountability or skill is the same between the current and the |
| | | proposed position this is not shown. |
| | | |

| | Proposed New Position | | | ng Positions | |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Position Title | Group Manager | PRFO PRFO | DPRFO | AM | AAM |
| Business Area | Service Delivery | Service Delivery | Service Delivery | Service Delivery | Urban |
| Reports to | District Manager 72 Group Managers nationally working across 17 | Region Manager Rural 18 rural districts | PRFO 18 rural districts | Fire Region Manager 24 urban areas | AM 24 urban areas |
| Location | districts | To fural districts | 10 Turar districts | 24 dibali aleas | 24 dipari aleas |
| Tier | Tier 5 | Tier 4 | Tier 5 | Tier 4 | Tier 5 |
| | Ranges from 15 to 20 direct reports (incl volunteer | Ranges from 2 to 10 direct reports | Staff responsibility: Nil | Ranges from 8 to 47 direct reports | Ranges from 0 to 7 direct reports |
| Number of direct / indirect | | Ranges from 47 to 400 indirect reports (volunteers | | Ranges from 100 to 600 indirect reports (incl paid and | Ranges from 0 to 150 indirect reports |
| reports | Ranges from 50 to 250 indirect reports (incl volunteers) | only) | | volunteers) | |
| Job Band | Band 17 | Band 18 | Band 16 | Band 18/19 | Band 17 |
| | \$120,306 | \$140,356 (18) | \$101,132 | \$140,356 (18) \$150,383 (19) | \$120,306 |
| | •Responsible for leading and managing a number of | Management, coordination and delivery of | Contributes to ensuring operational performance & | •Leads and manages urban paid staff and volunteer | Manages urban paid brigades within a specific |
| | urban and rural volunteer and paid brigades and | programmes ensuring the operational performance of | management of rural fire across a district | brigades | geographical area to deliver core fire services |
| | stations undertaking response activities within a district - both natural and built environments | rural fire within a specified geographical area | •Responsible for operational performance & management within defined geographic area &/or | Delivery of core fire services Manage the operations and administration of the urban | •Co-ordinates across urban paid staff and volunteer brigades to ensure effective delivery of core fire services |
| Differences in purpose | Contributes to supporting risk reduction and | | specific portfolio across the 4 R's | fire area | bingares to ensure effective delivery of core file services |
| position | community readiness and recovery functions and | | Contributes to management, co-ordination & delivery | | • |
| | activities in designated location | | of operational activities across the rural district | | |
| | | | | | |
| | -Ctrong facus an collective leadership accountabilities | Manages the appretions and administration of a vival | Management of sural fire agrees a district and | Managas an Juhan aras | -Manages urban staff at atations |
| | •Strong focus on collective leadership accountabilities as member of District Leadership Team | •Manages the operations and administration of a rural fire district | Management of rural fire across a district and responsible for the 4R's in a specified geographical | Manages an urban area Manages relationship with CFOs of urban volunteer | Manages urban staff at stations Does not manage urban volunteer brigades |
| | Manages all staff and volunteers in designated | Has direct engagement with rural volunteers | area - no management of urban activities | brigades | Does not generally manage volunteer support staff or |
| | location | •Undertakes response and risk reduction activities, | Provides leadership, guidance and support to rural | •Has a AAM who directly manages urban paid brigades | business support staff |
| | Manages staff undertaking urban and rural functions | including compliance and enforcement; not a focus on | volunteer leaders (Controllers) & operational personnel | Geographical area of AM much larger than for a Group | •Limited direct engagement with communities for risk |
| | •Key conduit between local communities and | community readiness and recovery | •Does not manage volunteer support staff or business | Manager | reduction or readiness activities |
| | organisation •Works with District CRR team to support | Manages rural staff and rural volunteers across a rural fire district | support staff •Does not manage paid brigades | | No specific community relationship and engagement accountabilities |
| Differences in key | engagement with communities | Directly manages business support staff | Undertakes rural fire control activities & manages | (()) | Position has strong internal focus |
| accountabilities | •Works with Wildfire Specialists & District RR team to | , 3 | equipment - tactical activity | | •Undertakes response in urban areas |
| | understand current & predicted fire danger | | Does not manage urban staff or urban brigades | | Does not manage rural staff or rural brigades |
| | •Manages capability, capacity & provision of | | | | |
| | specialist wildfire response expertise •Works closely with volunteer leaders to build their | | | | |
| | capability to lead & manage brigades | | | | |
| | | | ** | | |
| | | | | | |
| | M 45 00 F 4 1 F | PDEO: 1:1 E II OM | DDEDO: (C. OM | AM: 1: L r: II OM | LAME: C. OH |
| | Manages 15 - 20 direct reports -operation and support staff and urban and rural volunteer leaders | PRFO is a higher tier than GM PRFO is higher band than GM position | •DPFRO is same tier as GM •DPRFO is lower job band than GM | AM is higher tier than GM AM is higher job band than GM | AAM is same tier as GM AAM is same job band as GM |
| | •Manages 200 - 1200 indirect reports (paid staff, | PRFO manages rural staff and rural volunteers | •DPRFO has no direct reports | Manages AAMs and range of other support and risk | •AAM manages less direct reports than GM |
| Differences in job hierarchy, | urban and rural volunteers and contractors) | •PRFO manages two core positions - DPRFO & BSC | | reduction staff; does not manage managers | •AAM manages less indirect reports than GM |
| | Member of District Leadership Team | | | responsible for specific areas of work - risk reduction, | |
| | •Leads and manages diverse range of positions - | | | community readiness and recovery | |
| | SSO, VSO, BSC, CFO and Controller | | <i>O</i> ₁ | Manages urban staff and urban volunteers | |
| | | | | | |
| | •Experience engaging and partnering with Maori & iwi | •Emphasis on operational and practical skills in | Capability requirements mainly technical | •Emphasis on operational & practical skills in | •Emphasis on operational & practical skills in management |
| | •Experience working with communities to achieve outcomes | operational rural fire management •Less emphasis on leadership, managerial or core | Less emphasis on leadership, managerial or core public sector leadership requirements | management of fire risk •Less emphasis on leadership, managerial or core | of fire risk •Less emphasis on leadership, managerial or core public |
| | •Stronger emphasis on knowing socioeconomic | public sector leadership requirements | public sector leadership requirements | public service sector requirements | service sector requirements |
| Differences in key | characteristics of district | pasio socio: isaasio:iip roquii siriorite | . (2) | pasio service sector requirements | Solving seeds requirements |
| qualifications, experience & skills | •Core public sector leader capabilities - machinery of | | N N | | |
| Skills | Govt, legislative & regulatory interpretation & | | | | |
| | stakeholder engagement | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | •Budget and delegations to be confirmed | •Budget \$50k - \$1.8m | •\$5k Opex | •Budget for lower band AM \$1.2m - \$9.3m | •\$5k Opex |
| Biff. | | •HR delegations attached | •\$5k Purchase card monthly limit. | Budget for higher AM band \$9.3m - \$25m | •\$5k Purchase card monthly limit. |
| Differences in delegations | | | •HR delegations attached | | •HR delegations attached |
| | | | | | |
| | •The Group Manager position would be accountable | The accountabilities of the PRFO would be absorbed | •The accountabilities of the DPRFO would be absorbed | | The accountabilities of the AAM would be absorbed into |
| | for leading and managing a number of paid and | into the proposed new position of District Manager | into the proposed new positions of: | absorbed into the proposed new position of District | and split across the proposed new positions of Group |
| | volunteer brigades and stations undertaking response activities within a district encompassing natural and | which would operate at a strategic level and have broader accountability for both the built and natural | Group Manager, which would be accountable for leading and managing a number of paid and volunteer | Manager which would operate at a strategic level and have broader accountability for both the built and | Manager. This new position would have a broader remit of leading and managing all stations and volunteer urban and |
| | built environments. | environments. The Group Manager position would be | urban and rural brigades and stations and contributing | natural environments. The Group Manager position | rural brigades and support work across the 4 R's initially in |
| | •The Group Manager would contribute to supporting | accountable for leading and managing a number of | to supporting risk reduction and community readiness | would lead and manage a number of paid and volunteer | a designated location. As well as holding a 'functional |
| | risk reduction and community readiness activities | brigades and stations undertaking response activities | and recovery activities within the community | brigades and stations undertaking response activities | portfolio' within the District. The AAM has no specific |
| | within their communities. | within a district and contributing to supporting risk | Risk Reduction Senior/Advisors, which would be | within the district and contribute to supporting risk | community relationship and engagement accountabilities |
| | The Group Manager would manage across the built and natural environments | reduction and community readiness and recovery activities within their communities. The Group | accountable for undertaking risk reduction activities in | reduction and community readiness within their | currently. |
| Summary comments | | Manager position sits at a lower tier than the PRFO | the community Community Readiness and Recovery | communities. The Group Manager position sits at a lower tier than the Area Manager position. | |
| | | position. | Senior/Advisors, which would be accountable for | as and are rade manager position. | |
| | | | engaging and working with communities to build | | |
| | | | readiness and recovery | | |
| | | | Fach of those proposed new positions would be | | |
| | | | Each of these proposed new positions would be accountable for both the built and natural | | |
| | | | environments. | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Overall preliminary | | Significant change | Significant change | Significant change | Significant change |
| assessment | | | | | |