



1 September 2022

Angela

By email: fyi-request-20132-81d2b7ae@requests.fyi.org.nz

Dear Angela

**Official information request for information under the Official Information Act 1982
dated 4 August 2022**

Our Ref: OIA353/1

1. I refer to your official information request dated 4 August 2022, seeking information under the Official Information Act 1982 (**OIA**). I set out my response to your specific questions below.

Requests for opinion

2. In your request, you have asked the following opinions of Crown Law:
 - 2.1 *Please can Crown Law advise the position held by Crown Law regarding individual Rangatira of lawfully constituted Hapu who rebutted the Covid-19 offer within 72hours timeframe of the offer having been made by way of various public broadcasts, social media, etc in 2020?*
 - 2.2 *Does Crown Law hold the legal opinion that those who rebutted the Covid-19 offer are not subject to the restrictions imposed?*
 - 2.3 *Has Crown Law had to deal with any individual rebuttals and what is the position on Crown Law regarding the individuals who rebutted the offer to contract?*
 - 2.4 *If One is not compliant to form, and One did not surrender, nor cede their sovereignty to a corporation, yet is being enslaved even though slavery is abominable in all its forms, due to being conveyed shortly after the birth registration, can Crown Law advise what remedy exists for non statutory, unincorporated EW44 individuals to secede from a harmful government who continues to promote the Covid-19 ideology and is in contempt of our Native Pa Kooti?*
 - 2.5 *If you prescribe things and act at ministering and magistrating, then remedy must be forthcoming, please send through all information held by Crown Law office that contains the remedy for those wishing to secede from a foreign corporation and exist as sovereigns on our whenua taonga tuku iho in perfect peace and harmony with the Divine*

Creator c.f Secret Commissions Act.

3. Information must be held (be in existence) when it is requested and the answer to these questions would involve the creation of information in order to respond to your request.¹ There is no obligation under the OIA for an agency to form an opinion, provide an explanation or create information to answer a request.²
4. Therefore, we refuse these requests under section 18(e) of the OIA because the requested documents do not exist.

Requests for information that does not exist or would require substantial collation or research

5. In your request, you have asked the following:
 - 5.1 *Has Crown Law any emails, correspondence, notes and information on any individuals who advised by way of email or other communication they did not buy into, nor contract with the NZ Government Covid-19 offer and ideology?*
 - 5.2 *Can Crown Law please provide any correspondence between Crown Law and the Registrar General of BDM dealing with the numbers of accusations of enslavement and forgery of individual birth documents by those tasked with the handling of this information?*
 - 5.3 *Can Crown Law please provide the contract with wet ink whereby individual New Zealand people or sovereign tangatawhenua have agreed to be enslaved and made into emissions in PPSR legislation?*
 - 5.4 *Can Crown Law provide the number of individual requests to secede from the corporation that is New Zealand?*
 - 5.5 *Can Crown Law provide any documentation, contract or consent by any individual living in NZ that obligates them to be yoked to the dead? By the dead I am referring to the Corporation HER MAJESTY QUEEN IN RIGHT OF NEW ZEALAND that came about as the result of the NZ constitution Act.*
 - 5.6 *The NZ constitution Act did not receive royal assent and therefore the corporation that gave itself power operates without a constitution, where was the agreement by the people of New Zealand and the ascendants of the Tipuna who did not sign Te Tiriti 1840 consent to the creation of this belligerent entity?*
6. We have interpreted this request broadly as a request for correspondence from people with a “sovereign citizen” belief relating to the COVID-19 pandemic. Our preliminary searches of Crown Law’s database using search terms from your request have not uncovered any correspondence received on those themes and saved to our central database since 2020. While it is possible that other, less precise search terms would uncover information broadly relevant to your

¹ Official Information Act 1982, s 2.

² Office of the Ombudsman *The OIA for Ministers and Agencies: a guide to processing official information requests* (April 2019) at 6.

request held by Crown Law, such information cannot be made available without substantial collation or research.

7. Therefore, we refuse your request under section 18(e) and 18(f) of the OIA because the requested documents either not exist or would require substantial collation or research to make available.
8. The “sovereign citizen” belief system underpinning your request has been regularly dismissed by New Zealand’s courts as meritless. Your request has a vast scope but appears to have the unserious purpose of supporting “sovereign citizen” arguments. As a result, we also refuse your request under section 18(h) on the grounds that it is trivial or vexatious.

Right to seek an investigation and review

9. You have the right to seek an investigation and review by the Ombudsman of this decision. Information about how to make a complaint is available at www.ombudsman.parliament.nz or freephone 0800 802 602.

Yours faithfully

Crown Law

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Matt McKillop', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Matt McKillop
Crown Counsel