From: S 9(2)(a)
To: James Kaye

Cc: Hannah Saggs; Jurgita Klein; Lydia Haigh; Norman Collier; Kevin Stevens; Graham O"Connell

Subject: Re: URGEWNT- follow up - For review and further input please: re OIA-10263 and OIA-10279 re ASM traffic

impact assessments

Date: Wednesday, 20 July 2022 2:13:55 PM

CAUTION: The sender of this email is from outside Waka Kotahi. Do not click links, attachments, or reply unless you recognise the sender's email address and know the content is safe. Hi James.

Sure thing. I looked into this 2 weeks ago for Graham O'Connell. I provided him with my answer, which he was running past 9(2)(a) to confirm. I've cc-ed him to see where that's at, so hopefully he can provide an update!

Cheers,

s 9(2)(a)

From: James Kaye <xxxxx.xxxx@xxxx.xxxxxxx>

Sent: Wednesday, July 20, 2022 2:11 PM

To: \$ 9(2)(a) @resolvegroup.co.nz>

Subject: Re: URGEWNT- follow up - For review and further input please: re OIA-10263 and OIA-10279 re ASM traffic impact assessments

Hi s 9(2)(a)

Can you please help with below?

From: Lydia Haigh <xxxxx.xxxxx@xxxx.xxxxxxx

Sent: Wednesday, July 20, 2022 2:03 PM

To: Norman Collier <xxxxxxxxxxx@xxxx.xxxx; Kevin Stevens <xxxxx.xxxxxx@xxxx.xxx; James Kaye <xxxxx.xxxx@xxxx.xxxx.xx>;

Cc: Hannah Saggs <xxxxxxxxxxxx@xxxx.xxxxx; Jurgita Klein

Subject: FW: URGEWNT- follow up - For review and further input please: re OIA-10263 and OIA-10279 re ASM traffic impact assessments

Hey Norm / james / kevin,

I just got ^{\$ 9(2)(a)} out of office – do you know anyone else at Resolve that we can reach out to ASAP on this?

Thanks,

From: Lydia Haigh

Sent: Wednesday, 20 July 2022 2:01 pm

Cc: Jurgita Klein <xxxxxxxx.xxxx@xxxx.xxxx.xx>

Subject: FW: URGEWNT- follow up - For review and further input please: re OIA-10263 and OIA-

10279 re ASM traffic impact assessments

Importance: High

Hey ^{s 9(2)(a)}

We are trying to finalise an OIA response to 9(2)(a) but its proving tricky as he has queried where we got a figure of 17,000 vehicles per day and 9(2)(a) said its not from him... could you please take a look at the attached ASAP (this is due back today & still needs legal review!) and let us know if you recall if Resolve did the calculation?

Thanks,

Lydia.

Sent: Monday, 18 July 2022 5:24 pm

Subject: URGEWNT- follow up - For review and further input please: re OIA-10263 and OIA-

10279 re ASM traffic impact assessments

Importance: High

Hello all

Just following up on this request which is also due to go to the Minister for noting on Wednesday. I had some comments provided by ASM's 9(2)(a) — they are on the attached draft. Kathryn's email is also attached. I have not heard back from anyone else. Could we please finalise this response as it also needs to go to Robyn for her approval ASAP.

Graham, have you had any luck in finding more about the 17,000 vehicles number from the Nov 2021 I&D paper?

Our draft is attached and the two new ASM traffic analysis reports which we will be releasing are in the links below (the June 2021 one is there for your reference only – already has it).

AHB_Active_Mode_Provision_-_Traffic_Impact_Assessment,_June_2021.pdf
Out of Scope

Attachment 1 - ASM-AHB_cycle_traffic_analysis_Phase 1_v2.pdf

Attachment 2 - ASM-AHB_cycle_traffic_analysis_P2_v3.2.pdf

Kind regards

Jurgita

From: Jurgita Klein

Sent: Thursday, 7 July 2022 5:32 PM

To: \$ 9(2)(a) @asm.nzta.govt.nz>; Norman Collier

<<u>xxxxxx.xxxxxx@xxxx.xxxxxx</u>>; Kevin Stevens <<u>xxxxx.xxxxxx@xxxx.xxxxxx</u>>; Kathryn King

<<u>xxxxxxx.xxxx@xxxx.xxxx.xx</u>>

Subject: For review and further input please: re OIA-10263 and OIA-10279 re ASM traffic impact assessments

Importance: High

Hello all

I attach our draft response to both OIAs 10263 and 10279 concerning the Traffic Impact Assessments produced by ASM.

- Responses provided by ASM are incorporated into the response. My comments questions are on the draft.
- Some questions require us to form opinion, I've answered them accordingly and will check them with legal.
- We will release the new Phase 1 and Phase 2 reports with ASM personal details redacted (marked up docs attached).
- We still need to figure out how to answer questions 1 and 2. I&D Committee paper was produced by transport Services and I was advised that Kathryn was instrumental in drafting it. Kathryn, would you please be able to advise. Phase 2 report is using similar figures in section 7 (slide 52). However, the original June 2021 report (also attached) does not have any references of 17,000 in it and we are now in an awkward position because our previous response to \$\frac{9(2)(a)}{2}\$ referred him to the June 2021 report. It would be great to get some understanding re this.

This OIA has been extended. It would be great if you could please review and provide additional information by COB Tuesday **12 July**. If you have any questions or comments in the meantime, please let me know ASAP.

Many thanks for your help.

Kind regards

Jurgita

From: \$ 9(2)(a) @asm.nzta.govt.nz>

Sent: Thursday, 30 June 2022 10:41 AM

To: Jurgita Klein <xxxxxxxx.xxxx@xxxx.xxxx.xx Lydia Haigh < xxxxx.xxxxx@xxxx.xxxx.xx >; \$ 9(2)(a) @asm.nzta.govt.nz>; Hannah Saggs <xxxxxxxxxxx@xxxxxxxxxxx >: \$ 9(2)(a) @asm.nzta.govt.nz>: \$ 9(2) @asm.nzta.govt.nz>; s 9(2)(a) @asm.nzta.govt!nz> Subject: Re: URGENT: follow up re OIA-10263 \$ 9(2)(a CAUTION: The sender of this email is from outside Waka Kotahi. Do not click links, attachments, or reply unless you recognise the sender's email address and know the content is safe. Jurgita, Please find my responses added to the table attached. - I will send you the Phase 1 and Phase 2 reports later today after making Graham's suggested changes. issuing these reports should provide info that supersedes some of the questions being asked. - please add to register. Regards, / Technical Advisor Traffic Engineering and Optimisation Auckland System Management @asm.nzta.govt.nz / w www.nzta.govt.nz/asm From: s 9(2)(a) @asm.nzta.govt.nz> **Sent:** 30 June 2022 08:33 **Cc:** Norman Collier <<u>xxxxxx.xxxxxx@xxxx.xxxxxx</u>>; James Kaye <<u>xxxxx.xxxxx@xxxx.xxxxxx</u>>; @asm.nzta.govt.nz>; Hannah @asm.nzta.govt.nz> Subject: Re: URGENT: follow up re OIA-10263 5 9(2)(a Apologies Jurgita, I was tied up yesterday - I will take a look at this this morning and let you know if any more time is needed. / Technical Advisor Traffic Engineering and Optimisation Auckland System Management

@asm.nzta.govt.nz / w www.nzta.govt.nz/asm

/ Technical Advisor – traffic engineering and optimisation

Auckland System Management

M s 9(2)(a)

E s 9(2)(a)

@asm.nzta.govt.nz / w www.nzta.govt.nz/asm

?

Sent: 29 June 2022 11:26

To: \$ 9(2)(a) @asm.nzta.govt.nz>

Cc: Norman Collier <<u>xxxxxx.xxxxxx@xxxx.xxxxxxx</u>>; James Kaye <<u>xxxxx.xxxxx@xxxx.xxxxxx</u>>;

Lydia Haigh <<u>xxxxx.xxxxx@xxxx.xxxxxx</u>>; s 9(2)(a) <u>@asm.nzta.govt.nz</u>>; Hannak

Subject: URGENT: follow up re OIA-10263 \$ 9(2)(a)

Hi^{s 9(2)(a)} and all

Sorry to bug you again, but I really need to get these two OIAs about the traffic impact assessment going – I only have a couple of days until they are due. The questions that need answering are I the attached table. Could you please provide information to enable me to draft responses to these questions.

If you need a couple of extra days – I'm happy to extend these OIAs, but please let me know how long you need (however, given that these requests are not for a large volume of information/documents I will only be able to extend for a short time).

Thank you. Hope to hear from you soon

Kind regards

Jurgita

Jurgita Klein

Principal Technical Advisor – Ministerial Services

Te Waka Kōtuia Engagement and Partnerships

DDI s 9(2)(a)

E .@.. / w <u>nzta.govt.nz</u>

Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency

Chews Lane Office / 50 Victoria Street

Private Bag 6995, Wellington 6141, New Zealand

From: Jurgita Klein

Sent: Wednesday, 22 June 2022 2:00 PM

Fo: \$ 9(2)(a) @asm.nzta.govt.nz>

Cc: Norman Collier <<u>xxxxxx.xxxxxx@xxxx.xxxxxx</u>>; James Kaye <<u>xxxxx.xxxx@xxxx.xxxxxx</u>>; Lydia Haigh <<u>xxxxx.xxxxx@xxxx.xxxxxxxxxxxx</u>>; **9(2)(a)** @asm.nzta.govt.nz>; Hannah

s 9(2)(a) @asm.nzta.govt.nz>

Subject: FW: OIA-10263 \$ 9(2)(a) for your advice please

Importance: High

Hi Andy

We have another OIA, which I have taken over from Caitlin. Also from \$\frac{5\,9(2)(a)}{2}\$ and it relates to the Traffic Impact Assessment June 2021 version which \$\frac{5\,9(2)(a)}{2}\$ has.

Initially, in his previous OIA, when he asked about the basis for the calculation of "greater than 17,000 vehicles per day or a 10% reduction", we said that this information is contained in the Traffic Impact Assessment June 2021. Was that a correct approach? If yes, would you be able to point us to the relevant pages in the June 2021 report?

So we now have two OIAs both asking about information contained in the Traffic Assessment Report, and it may be easier to combine the responses (if you think it is appropriate). I attach a word doc with the table containing all questions from about the report. It would be great if you/your team could please help me with the required responses, which we then can discuss if there are any questions.

Thank you

Kind regards

Jurgita

Jurgita Klein

Principal Technical Advisor – Ministerial Services

Te Waka Kōtuia Engagement and Partnerships

DDI = 9(2)(a)

E .@.. / W nzta.govt.nz

Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency

Chews Lane Office / 50 Victoria Street

Private Bag 6995, Wellington 6141, New Zealand

From Caitlin McInnarney

Sent: Tuesday, 7 June 2022 3:57 PM

Mark Sly <<u>xxxx.xxx@xxxx.xxxx.xx</u>>; Norman Collier <<u>xxxxxx.xxxxxx@xxxx.xxxxxx</u>>; Lydia Haigh <<u>xxxxx.xxxxx@xxxx.xxxxxx</u>>; Rosie Doherty <<u>xxxxx.xxxxxx@xxxx.xxxxxx</u>>

Subject: OIA-10263 § 9(2)(a) for your advice please

Hi all,

has sent in a follow-up OIA to OIA-9647, asking further questions to the response to OIA-9647. I have attached the OIA responsehere for your reference. The two documents that are referred to are the Resolve report and the AHB traffic impact assessment. I

understand that you were involved in the previous OIA response, so am hoping that you are also please able to help with responding to this one. Please let me know if this needs to go elsewhere

s 9(2)(a) questions are as follows:

1) Unfortunately, the response to Question 2 (provide the basis for the calculation of these figures: "greater than 17,000 vehicles per day or a 10% reduction" per page 2 of the Investment and Delivery paper "Auckland Harbour Bridge walking and cycling event" dated 22 November 2021) is inadequate.

Please specifically advise (on what page and actual content) of the reports provide the basis for the figures: "greater than 17,000 vehicles per day or a 10% reduction" (per the Investment and Delivery paper "Auckland Harbour Bridge walking and cycling event" dated 22 November 2021).

- 2) Furthermore, please advise to which pathway option, and under which level of traffic demand reduction scenario, does the "greater than 17,000 vehicles per day or a 10% reduction" apply to?
- 3) We note this accompanying Board paper presented at the 19 August 2021 Board meeting states: "We have therefore advised the Minister that we would wait for his feedback on the interim options before a decision is sought from the Board." Please provide a copy of the Minister's feedback. This refers to BRI-2265 which has been released as part of the Northern Pathway proactive release @Marilyn Jones, are you aware of any feedback that we received on BRI-2265?

Are you please able to advise on the above, and also if anyone else needs to see this? Please let me know if there are any questions or concerns, thank you

Ngā mihi nui Caitlin

Caitlin McInnarney

Phone: **s** 9(2)(a)

This message, together with any attachments, may contain information that is classified and/or subject to legal privilege. Any classification markings must be adhered to. If you are not the intended recipient, you must not peruse, disclose, disseminate, copy or use the message in any way. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by return email and then destroy the original message. This communication may be accessed or retained by Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency for information assurance purposes.