

14 August 2012

C58512

Joshua Grainger fyi-request-216-34c90e50@requests.fyi.org.nz

Dear Mr Grainger

## Official Information Act request

Thank you for your email of 7 May 2012 requesting information on unlawful detentions and wrongful releases in New Zealand prisons. We apologise for the delay in providing you with a response.

Prisoners are on occasion unlawfully detained past their correct Statutory Release Date (SRD) or released before their SRD due to administrative reasons. These can arise where judges or court staff have incorrectly recorded dates on the warrant which directs the detention of prisoners, or there has been a miscalculation by prison staff of "time served". This type of miscalculation often relates to periods of remand in prison prior to trial and sentence, where a prisoner is subsequently convicted on multiple charges.

While the number of errors are low, any error is disappointing and the public are right to be concerned that these errors can occur. The Department manages a large number of prisoners in custody every year and although the current prisoner population is around 8,500, last year we held over 21,000 individual prisoners in custody (including those on remand). We continually review our processes to reduce the opportunity for errors to occur.

You have requested under the Official Information Act 1982 (OIA) the following information:

1. The total number of wrongful releases across all prisons during the same target period that Serco was assessed against

The target period that Serco was assessed against was 1 August 2011 to 29 February 2012. In this period, the total number of wrongful releases from the Department of Corrections' prisons was five. This equates to approximately 0.04% of the total number of prisoners held in custody during the seven month reporting period.

2. The total number of wrongful imprisonments across all prisons during the same target period that Serco was assessed against

The total number of prisoners detained unlawfully by the Department of Corrections was six, this equates to approximately 0.05% of the total number of prisoners held in custody during the seven month reporting period.

 Any reports, correspondence, emails, papers, or other documents, or other information that relate to the one wrongful imprisonment and/or two wrongful releases that occurred at Serco prison or any following investigation.

The following documentation is enclosed:

- Two Performance Notice Forms from Chief Executive Ray Smith to Serco regarding the wrongful release of a prisoner (PN003, PN005)
- Performance Notice Form from Chief Executive Ray Smith, regarding the unlawful detention of a prisoner (PN004).

You will note, however, that some information in these reports has been withheld under section 9(2)(a) of the OIA, to protect the privacy of natural persons. We do not consider any public interest aspects would outweigh the need to ensure personal privacy is not compromised.

4. Any reports, correspondence, emails, papers, or other documents or information that related to the last wrongful release and wrongful imprisonment that occurred at a Department of Corrections ran prison or any following investigation.

The documentation regarding the wrongful release and unlawful detention from a Department of Corrections' run prison is not able to be released, as the detailed information contained within the documents could, if released, adversely impact on the safe security of prisoners.

Having carefully considered your request, we consider it would be best addressed by providing you with a summary of the information, as described in section 16(1)(e) of the OIA.

Wrongful release - the last wrongful release was on 28 October 2011. The release occurred from Whanganui District Court following the prisoner's court appearance. On the day, the prisoner was appearing at the Court on a shoplifting charge that was dismissed by the Judge. The escorting Corrections Officers did not check the relevant documentation and were not aware the prisoner was serving a prison sentence for other charges and was required to return to the prison.

The prisoner was released from the court in error and the Police were notified immediately. The prisoner's outstanding charges were not for violent offences and the prisoner was returned to Prison three weeks later.

Such events are extremely rare but unfortunately in this case human error meant a prisoner was released. A disciplinary process was undertaken following the incident and appropriate action was taken.

**Unlawful detention** - the last unlawful detention was on 23 February 2012. On that date, a staff member identified an issue with the information that had been input into the database of prisoner information. The database indicated a release date of 8 January 2012 for the prisoner; however a release date had been entered by Court staff showing 10 March 2012.

Corrections staff checked and found a Court loaded Criminal Record Number (CRN) belonging to another prisoner. Further checks on the prisoner's warrants and Judge's sentencing notes showed that he had been re-sentenced on a number of charges, effectively bringing remand time from 2010 into calculation. A new calculation of this prisoner's sentence, found that he should have been released on 28 December 2011. On confirming the correct date, the prisoner was released that day.

If you have any further questions about the information provided, please contact Corporate Affairs at <a href="mailto:commdesk@corrections.govt.nz">commdesk@corrections.govt.nz</a>

If you are not satisfied with this response, you have the right to make a complaint to the Ombudsmen, under section 28(3) of the OIA. Contact details for the Office of the Ombudsmen are:

Office of the Ombudsmen PO Box 10152 Wellington 6143

Yours sincerely

Jeanette Burns

Acting General Manager

**Prison Services** 



Prison Management Contract for Wt Eden Corrections Facility	/, ^
Seroo New Zealand Limited	<u>)</u>
Level 10	/
90 Arthur Street	
Sydney NSW 2060	
Australia	
Attaution ( )	
Attention: By e-mail	
PERFORMANCE NOTICE FORM	
The following Performance Notice is given under clause 25.1 of the Prison Management Contract for MI Eden Corrections Facility dated 1 February 2011 (Contract).	
1. Performance Notice Number:	
HIMITAN THE PROPERTY OF THE PR	
2. Performance Notice Name:  Breach of Contract - Compilance with Custodial Service Regularment -	
Unlawful Release of Prisoner	
3, Date: 17/01/2012	
the state of the s	
1. Detaile of Breich	
n. plailso(9) breached:	
Olausa BVI	
Schedule 2, Parl A; Service Requirement 1.4; and	
(Schedule 2, Part B; Performance Measure no. 5 (required level: Zero)	
b. Events or of roumstances which constitute breacht	
and pulsary was released from detention at MECF where he	
was being hald on an interim recall. The New Zealand Parole Board (NZPB) was due to hear the	
récallor 2% September 2011.	
The Prisoner's statutory release date (SRD) was scheduled for 16/02/2012, yet as a result of keying	
ariors in the integrated Ottender Management System (1995), the line into the country of 186 days. This	
resulted in IOMS calculating the SRD to be 18/09/2011 and the Contractor releasing the Prisoner	
าเกโลฟโปโง.	
to the management of releaser discharge (1.4 of Schedule 2,	
and At eliminate that the Contractor must consol, yearly and comply will the following was a con-	
our equipment united that about to the building halls released .	
By conducting further enquiries (e.g. reviewing sentencing notes in the system detailing the 186	
days spent in remand ouslody, or identifying the SRD on the Prisoner's previous release licence of 16/02/2012) as mandated by the Contract, the Contract would have been alerted to the	
Inacouracy of the SRD in IOMS and averted unlawfully releasing the prisoner,	
and the contract the Contract Will be deemed to have	
A THE CONTRACT AS SEED DAYLAND MINDS RIGHTING, HILLIARD HILL ADDITIONAL HANDAY MY TO THE	
to the contrary within 8 Working Days after receipt by the Contractor of this Performance	
Notice	



<b>Б</b> ,	Specified time for resolution:	The Crown acknowledges that, as a result of the nature of this breach, a full remedy is not possible.  However, the Crown does require you follow the performance rectification process in accordance with clauses 25.2(a),(o),(d) and (e) by 16/1/2012.
6,	Specific deduction	Yea-the specific deduction of \$26,000 le involved.
7, 110011111111	Final warning:	No.
8lgno	d by the Chief Executive	
Ray Snt	( ( ) )	(elanaturo)
conac	ot acknowledgement. Please fax or e-mel ollons ([04 460 3213], [	It aligned copy of the notice back to the Department of circollons.govt.nz]).
\$HONE	112 pecely 20 1 23 01 201	(Signplute)
2)		



. . .

	_
Prison Management Contract for Mt Eden Corrections Facility	\
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	\
Serço New Zealand Limited	Į,
Level 10	
90 Arthur Street	
Sydney	
NSW 2060 Australia	
Musitalia	
Attention:	
Delivery; By e-mall	
PERFORMANCE NOTICE FORW	
The following Performance Nolice is given under clause 26.1 of the Prison Management Contract for MI Eden	
The following Performance Notice is given virtue of the following Perf	
Collification to represent the second	
PNOQ4	
1. Performance Notice Numbers	
Breach of Contract - Compliance with	
2. Performance Notice Name: Breach of Contract - Compliance with Custodial Service Requirement -	
Unlawful Detention of Prisoner	
The state of the s	
3. Date: 17/01/2012	
THE PARTY OF THE P	
4. Dolaile of Broad	
With the state of	
a, plausolal breauhod:	
Olause 8.15	
Solvedule 2, Part B; Performance Measure no. 2	
(a)\\\	
4 - 🔨 Servere za sjekurkivitete milan balletitat makkir	
On 14 September 2011, Prisoner was sentenced at Walland District Volumes (JPD) was received at MECF. Upon arrival at MECF, Prisoner Judicial Proceedings Date (JPD) was received at MECF. Upon arrival at MECF, Prisoner Judicial Proceedings Date (JPD) was	
abstract from 9/6/2011 to 11/08/2011 by the trightention of the original from	
release date as 9 June 2012.	
On a Colober, Justice ordered Mr rolease indicating that all the matters that he contained to on 14 September were in fact related, and the JPD should have been 9/6/2011, was sentenced to on 14 September were in fact related, and the JPD should have been 9/6/2011,	
was sentenced to on 14 September water I read Offender Management System (IOMS).	
the pre-sentence determined days (1907 system) the pre-sentence determined for 22 days longer than he was duly released on 6 October 2011 having been detained for 22 days longer than he	
of Colors base	
In accordance with clause 26.4 of the Contract, the Contractor will be declined to the Crown accepted the accuracy of this Performance Notice, unless the Contractor notifies the Crown	
accepted the accuracy of this Performance Notice, unless the Contractor of this Performance to the contractor of this Performance	
Notice:	
·····	



The Crown acknowledges that, as a result of the nature of this breach, a full Specified time for resolution: ő, remedy is not possible. However, the Crown does require you follow the performance realification process in accordance with clauses 26.2(a),(b),(d) and (e) by 16/1/2012. Yes - the specific deduction of \$25,000 le invoked. Specific deduction: g. Final warning: Signed by the Chief Executive Ray 8milh (Signature) ...... 17/01/2012 Receipt acknowledgement. Please fax or emails aligned copy of the notice back to the Department of Corrections ([04 460 3213); [ @corrections.govt.nz]). Receipt nokpowiedued (Signaluro) ...... 23 01 2012.



ANSON A
Prison Wanagement Contract for Wit Eden Corrections Facility
Serco New Zealand Limited Level 10 90 Arthur Street Sydney NSW 2080
Attention:
Delivery; By e-mail  PERFORMANCE NOTICE FORM
The following Performance Notice is given under clause 26,1 of the Prison Management Contract for Mt Eden Corrections Facility dated 1 February 2011 (Contract).
1. Porformance Notice Number:
2. Performance Notice Name:    Breach of Contract - Compliance with Oustodial Service Requirement - Unlawful Release of Prisoner   Unlawful Release   Unlawful Releas
3. Date: 17/01/2012
4. Details of Breached  a. Clause 8, 17
Solledule 2, Part A; Service Requirement 1.4; Schedule 2, Part B; Performance Measure no. 5 (required level: Zero)
b. Events or circumstances which constitute breach: On 16 November 2011, Prisoner was given a perole date of 12 December 2011 by the New Zealand Parole Board (NZPB).
-Although there was no mention of the Prisoner's release in the Integrated Offender ManagementAlthough there was no mention of the Prisoner Delegate Authority of the Department of Orthogone Wilnessed the Prisoner being released from custody.
MEOF contacted Mr who handed himself back into custody on 8 December 2011, WEOF
The contracted service requirement for the management of prisoner discharge (1.4 of Schlodie Art Part A) stipulates that the Contractor must a) "ensure the Prisoner has served that Prisoner's sentence, as calculated by the Contractor"; and b) "confirm the Prisoner's release date in IOMS (or sentence, as calculated by the Contractor"; and b) "confirm the Prisoner's release date in IOMS (or in accordance with a New Zealand Parole Board decision)".
Therefore the facts above Indicate that a breach of 1.4 of Schedule 2, Part A of the contract had been and Serco has occurred.
In accordance with clause 26.4 of the Contract, the Contractor will be deemed to have accepted the accuracy of this Performance Notice, unless the Contractor notities the Grown to the contrary within 6 Working Days after receipt by the Contractor of this Performance Notice.



6. Specified time for resolution:	The Crown acknowledges that, as a result of the nature of this breach, a full remedy is not possible.  However, the Crown does regular you follow the performance recilification process in accordance with clauses 26.2(a).(b).(d), and (e) by 16/4/12.
6. Sheolilo deduction:	Yes the specific deduction of \$26,000 is thyoked.
7, Final warning:	No.
Signed by the Chief Executive  Ray Smith	(Signalure)
Receipt acknowledgement Please fax of e-mail a Corrections ((04.460.3213); ( @cor	a signed copy of the notice back to the Department of rections,govt.nz]).
1410HADA DESCRIVERO 013 03 01 /2012.	(\$ignature)

## PN003 - Unlawful Release of Prisoner

Summary of Serco's response: Upon Prisoner arrival at MECF on 26/8 after his interim recall, the adjustment (fixing the error in IOMS which based his SRD on a misplaced belief that he was in remand before sentencing when in fact he had been balled) attached to his warrant was no longer present as it must have been attached to a warrant which subsequently expired. Serco requested his paper file from SHCF, but it was still on route from SHCF when he was released on 14 September (his SRD).

Recommended Department response: The details of this event were discussed with the Department's Prison Services Operations Team. An operational summary of the circumstances surrounding the breach is given below:

When prisoner was received into MECF on the recall a manual sentence calculation should have been conducted. Once completed this should have been entered into IOMS under Offender notes, a check of the other notes would have shown there was a discrepancy in remand days. There are no notes within IOMS to show that a manual sentence calculation was completed by MECF staff, there are notes from the previous prisons identifying the correct sentence calculation. If MECF had done the calculation and note it would have alerted the Receiving Office staff to conducted a more thorough examination of the sentence.

Also a reference point would have been in prisoner. NZPB hearing outcome, where it states the conditions he released on and his sentence end date.

Also upon creating the release license a check should have been done against his old one to ensure correctness, this also would have shown a discrepancy which would have warranted turned investigation

As a result of these discussions, the Department wishes to uphold the Performance Notice and apply-the specific deduction immediately. Given that a material Service Obligation within the contractives breached (80 1.4) and the potential seriousness of a futble process fallure and the inherent risk to the safety of the New Zealand public the mitigating circumstances cited were not considered to be sufficient to relieve responsibility from the contractor.

## PN004 - Wrongful detention of Prisoner

Summary of Serco's response: Prisoner had been on remand for another offence (not the lead one) since June 2010, but judicial proceedings didn't start until August 2011. The SRD was changed to start from the Judicial Proceedings Date. The Crown, Defence and Court agreed that pre-sentence detention should be deducted from the sentence, but the judge wouldn't overturn the decision. Counsel made a habeus corpus application and he was subsequently released.

Recommended Department response: Serco complied with the direction given to them by the Department in retaining the Prisoner pending clarification of the Judicial Proceedings Date from the Court. Once the High Court accepted the Habeus Corpus application, the Prisoner was duly released. In light of these facts, PN004 will be discontinued and no penalty will be applied.

## Speaking Notes

The Department did instruct Serco to tetain the Prisoner pending a response from the Court. The Court did acknowledge that Itimay be a case of time served without clarifying the JPD. It wasn't until a higher court intervened upon submission of the Habeus Corpus that the matter received formal guidance.

Key statement is on pg 3 of Serco's response: (counsel for Mr invited the Court to resentence the prisone) and take the lead offence as unlawful taking of the m/v but Judge was nestlant to go down that track because he was unsure of his judisdiction to do that when there was nothing that had been done incorrectly at sentencing

