

10 July 2023

M. Spalding

[fyi-request-23098-37736f55@requests.fyi.org.nz](mailto:fyi-request-23098-37736f55@requests.fyi.org.nz)

Dear M. Spalding

Thank you for your FYI request of 12 June 2023 to Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities requesting the following information under the Official Information Act (1982):

- *I am aware that local residents were invited to provide feedback and feedback was given. Can you advise why this information (with redactions) has not been included in the initial response?*
- *You note that one document has not been supplied as it is 'out of scope', can you advise why this document is considered out of scope?*
- *In addition, as the project was placed on hold, I would expect that there has been a report completed to the decision maker and/or email correspondence within Kainga Ora detailing those reasons. Can you please advise why this has not been included in the response?*

During our scoping of your original request we were unaware of the letter that had been sent to residents, inviting them to provide any feedback or queries they may have had. This was an oversight and I apologise for this. This feedback has now been provided to you in the attached PDF. Please note that personal information has been redacted under section 9(2)(a) of the Official Information Act, in order to ‘...protect the privacy of natural persons ...’ who supplied feedback.

The feedback was received, acknowledged and reviewed by Kāinga Ora’s engagement and project teams. Concerns raised in the feedback largely addressed privacy, landscaping, parking, vehicle access, flooding and sewerage. Our plans aim to incorporate feedback from neighbours, where possible, which will be reflected in the design elements if or when the project is resumed. The development is currently on hold while we consider options for the site.

Some information was withheld from the Redevelopment Work Order that was provided to you as it was not in scope of your request. This is because it captured information regarding properties that were unrelated to your request.

A copy of the architects email which led to the pausing of the development has been provided to you, with 9(2)(a) redactions.

Regards,



Rachel Kelly  
**Manager, Government Relations**

