

Message

From: Trish Cleland [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=9798E1D3772F4C98B0CF0F488BABA042-DD8E2841-B5]
Sent: 12/05/2023 10:38:47 a.m.
To: John Gandy [John.Gandy@comcom.govt.nz]
Subject: FW: For ACTION: Output Description for RCS Dataset
Attachments: Output Description - Dataset Definition (4684426.1).doc.nrl

Hi John – see below. I’m helping Ben with the Output Description for the Dataset, now somewhat retrospectively. Anyway – see below and we can discuss when we chat this avo.

Ngā mihi nui,
Trish

From: Ben Oakley <Ben.Oakley@comcom.govt.nz>
Sent: Thursday, 11 May 2023 5:23 pm
To: Trish Cleland <Trish.Cleland2@comcom.govt.nz>
Cc: Kate Robinson <Kate.Robinson2@comcom.govt.nz>
Subject: RE: For ACTION: Output Description for RCS Dataset

Hi Trish

This looks already looks pretty good. Before adding any more detail I just wanted to clarify a few things.

I think we have three docs at the moment:

- The list of existing data (and powers used to collect) for Hannah to review whether we can use for the RCS.
- The data definition doc that Jordan put together.
- The data “map” that we were sketching out in powerpoint in the meeting the other day.

They all have slightly different purposes, but there’s no reason they couldn’t be combined into a single spreadsheet.

Hannah’s doc is for existing data so probably won’t inform the information requests – but indirectly may help us identify data gaps if we can’t use the data. This data could be captured on a separate sheet in the spreadsheet.

Jordan’s definitions are very useful and could be added as a separate sheet in spreadsheet, but would clutter the visual element of the map if we tried to include the definitions there.

The map should show what data we are going to use for analysis in each of the “data area” columns (availability, price, customer experience, etc). How we describe the data should be clear, but we could also assign it an identifier so that it could link to a more detailed definition (Jordan’s sheet). The map should also distinguish between data we need to collect from telcos, data we need to generate from surveys, data that needs to be collected from websites etc. Ideally all the data that needs to be collected from telcos then shows the specific telcos that we want to collect it from.

Further “nice to haves” would a column detailing what the file we receive might look like e.g. file format (which should be checked by Martin and Mags) so that we know that it will be suitable, where we will store it and how we will analyse it. It could also have columns for key contacts and dates that requests are sent/received – but that might be best left for another tracking document.

Let me know if this does/doesn’t make sense!

Ngā mihi
Ben



Ben Oakley ([he/him](#))

Manager, Telecommunications

Commerce Commission | *Te Komihana Tauhokohoko*

44 The Terrace | PO Box 2351 | Wellington 6140 | New Zealand

DDI +64 04 924 3710 | ben.oakley@comcom.govt.nz

www.comcom.govt.nz

From: Trish Cleland <Trish.Cleland2@comcom.govt.nz>

Sent: Tuesday, 9 May 2023 1:22 pm

To: Ben Oakley <Ben.Oakley@comcom.govt.nz>

Cc: Kate Robinson <Kate.Robinson2@comcom.govt.nz>

Subject: For ACTION: Output Description for RCS Dataset

Hi Ben – as discussed, here is a ‘starter’ output description for the data being sought for this study. I thought we would require an output description for the Info Requests, but really, we need it for the dataset itself. This should help everyone get on the same page.

Kind regards

Trish



Trish Cleland

Project Manager

Commerce Commission | *Te Komihana Tauhokohoko*

44 The Terrace | PO Box 2351 | Wellington 6140 | New Zealand

Mob 021 562 193 | trish.cleland2@comcom.govt.nz

www.comcom.govt.nz

Released Under Official Information Act 1982