



File note - Meeting

Date of Filenote 30 May 2023

Date of meeting 30 May 2023

Time: 11am to 11:33p

Location: MS Teams

Parties: John Gandy, Jordan Hamilton, Trish Cleland representing the Commerce Commission

Classification: In-Confidence - Commercial

Subject: Meeting to discuss Info Requests to inform Rural Connectivity Study

Information contained in this document should be considered as commercially sensitive

- Introductions.
- John advised embarking on study:
 - detailed look in rural areas.
 - Will start at land parcel area;
 - TOR being released tomorrow, feedback welcome
- This is a discussion about data – importance, ease of provision, ensuring ‘cost of preparing data’ matches value it provides to the study.
- Confirming we would like data for last 2 years (as offered).
- New idea – will use data to inform AMR, so will require data nationally, not just rurally. Were going to do for other parties anyway, as they don’t know where the fibre is. Financials data required that can be submitted later, as still part of AMR.
- Have defined ideal dataset and will work back from there.
 - Per connection – regulated service at L1/2 –
 - Sync speed if DSL – not for 24month, but as at 30 June.
 - EIR / CIR if fibre –
 - CMAR, country sets – if yes, how do they turn up on your list? Voice only. This might take some decoding, but can get.
 - Per cabinet basis – backhaul technology, capacity and battery standby capacity
Backhaul capacity – expected hours of operation of the cabinet, what the customers connected to that cabinet see.

Contended cabinets – could have 6 cabinets sharing a Gig (e.g.) – may inform how we ask the questions, so they can be answered.

- [REDACTED] – Chorus complete other disclosures for Backhaul, AMR, SFA – there may be overlap, and what is the sequence? Want to make this work for everyone and minimise rework.
 - Option: in terms of Chorus and others re SFA – could bring that forward to the RCS's project timeline, especially because starting point is land parcels.
- Question: Non SFA is proxy for rural – within UFB footprint, there are non-SFAs. Is an updated definition of 'rural' required?
The reason we want all the data is to enable us to get into the detail and cut it as required.
- Think about practicalities of providing this data – if TBs? Box.com – if too big for that, will be able to accommodate. Have moved from 10% to 100% of country.
- Noted that Chorus ramping up on RP2 proposal – there may be constraints.
Can stagger info provision / prioritise data accordingly.
- Will use s98 as required, but plan to start with voluntary request.
- Will share draft info request when available, including data specification.
- Noted AMR publication – expected to be released in next few weeks.

Filenote completed by Trish Cleland.