

Animal Welfare Sub-Function - Trifecta Review

In this workshop we are going to work through the draft Community Resilience section in Part A of the CDEM Plan and then look at the Community Resilience domain that are going to be interwoven into Part B of the CDEM Plan

On each slide you will see one outcome or domain that you will use sticky notes to make any comments on. We will have 5 minutes as a group per page and then we will move onto the next.

Sticky notes can be found on the side bar on the left of each page.



**test
3**

hiya

I am a sticky note :) Find me on the left side of the page!

test

Test

**test
2**

Released under the Official Information Act 1982

Issues of concern for Animal Welfare in emergencies

Issues already identified:

1) Include a definition of property in the Act which clearly includes animals in the definition. (incorporated?)

Compulsory identification of animals - microchipping! Tagging

we still struggle with recognition of animals by first responders so strongly support animals included in a definition of property or if there is any other way of reinforcing importance of animals - WR

Perhaps even definition of five domains (i.e s4 of AWA 99) to express that animal welfare is more than just preventing death.

With a mention of animal sentence

Strongly agree

2) Section 92 power to carry out inspections etc. – This gives the power to seize, secure, destroy (etc.) property and animals. Proposal is to extend this power to allow entry to a dwelling place or marae to retrieve an animal to save its life (incorporated)

Item 2. and seizing or commandeering marae should have a separate consultation. Applying Tikanga Maori, otherwise it looks like another form of confiscation - WG

And whats your disposal process?

What training or appointments if required? Is this an extension of powers from AWA 99 inspectors/ constables?

Suggest to mitigate or prevent suffering

This needs to be specific - who has the powers for accountability

Issues of concern for Animal Welfare in emergencies

Issues already identified continued...

3) Recommend that the powers in the above sections 85, 90 and 92 should also be able to be exercised in emergencies which are not declared. (this issue is part of wider work in Trifecta)

Should be some obligation on animal owners to be prepared for emergencies

4) Who pays for the provision of animal welfare services? (S.33 reimbursement provisions may consider some of this – issues also added to our issues register)

strongly supportive of funding for animal welfare services and for support agencies - WR

Support for companion and wildlife in recovery - consideration for lifestyle blocks also

Strongly support consideration for reimbursement for support agencies.

Released under the Official Information Act 1982

Issues of concern for Animal Welfare in emergencies

Issues already identified continued...

Clarify and agree roles and responsibilities across the 4Rs including industry, communities,

5) Strengthen and clarify the coordination of animal welfare agencies in an emergency response (i.e. clear control of the Controller and/or MPI as responsible agency).

consultation/collaboration with Iwi

CDEM Groups understand that MPI only coordinates the provision of services and don't understand that MPI is not an actual provider of services nor are we funded to provide services or reimburse. So should the wording

With so many moving parts AND the fact that the majority of the support is volunteer based, ensuring clarity of roles seems to be a critical task for the event controller

A general clause: Honouring Te Tiriti o Waitangi obligations across the policy and legislation. For example karakia before disposal of animal 'mauri'

Ensure there is an equal focus on rural communities and locations not just urban

Needs to be better integration across functions - for example operational AWEM teams should be brought under the ops function if doing work in the field rather than being run out of welfare function.

AWEM operational teams should be seen as a Response resource and coordinated as such by logistics

Question: Do you agree? What else is there?

Released under the Official Information Act 1982

MPI's role as 'responsible agency' for animal welfare

"At the national and CDEM Group levels, the Ministry for Primary Industries is the agency responsible for—

(a) co-ordinating the provision of the animal welfare services sub-function (including animal rescue, animal shelter, food, water, husbandry, and veterinary care and other essentials) for all animals, including companion animals, production animals, animals in research, testing, and teaching facilities zoo and circus animals, and wildlife; and

(b) co-ordinating the planning for animal welfare in emergencies; and

(c) maintaining the Government's reporting and advisory capability on animal welfare in an emergency."

Can we include local or community into the definition? - WR

Welfare is everybody's responsibility right? But the wording in here seems to be interpreted by many as "HUMANS welfare is everyone's responsibility - ANIMALS welfare is up to MPI" ✓

Include animal relocation and also transport

Looks pretty good to me - keep it simple i say (KB) ✓

How are the 4 Rs being represented now that we have a national resilience plan Kate L

Agree with Kate - MPI's responsibilities should include a more definitive commitment to readiness (inc legislative advice to ensure animals are minimally affected by adverse events_

Should we really be coordinating rescue, which is an operational role, under the welfare subfunction?

subfunction is almost set now and will be largely future-proofed. It is great how inclusive MPI has been, but the level of the level of time and effort has been high, as evidenced by how many support agencies can't

It is a very large role for a single subfunction

evidenced by how many support agencies cannot attend whole meetings!! :)

Agree with MPI as Principal agency, however Iwi Māori to allow Tikanga before, during and after, Karakia and engagement - WG

Include Lifestyle block animals - different to production ✓

Should be lead support agency - MPI, not responsible

Recognition of the importance of the human-animal relationship? i.e. impact of the event may have wider psycho social effects on people as well as animals outside the scope of the AW act

Question: do you think?

Reviewing Roles and Responsibilities

The content for the new National EM Plan will start from the existing Roles and Responsibilities for each agency.

The agencies currently listed in the National CDEM Plan are:

- 1) Ministry for Primary Industries
- 2) Federated Farmers of New Zealand
- 3) ~~The New Zealand Companion Animal Council~~ Companion Animals New Zealand, please
- 4) New Zealand Veterinary Association
- 5) The Royal New Zealand Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals
- 6) Territorial Authority animal control/services
- 7) ~~World Animal Protection~~

Animal Evac NZ
HUHA NZ

Equine Health
NZ? NZTR?
NZHR?

DOC?

Do all TAs have AMOs who are members of NZIAM? If so yes, if not, could be better to list TA & NZIAM?

Some TA's subcontract animal control to security companies

NZVNA?

Rural Advisory groups?

Not all Rural Advisory Groups or Rural Support Trusts are made equal and this can cause huge issues

VERT?

Released under the Official Information Act 1982

Reviewing Roles and Responsibilities

The content for the new National EM Plan will start from the existing Roles and Responsibilities for each agency.

We want your initial thoughts on your agency's Roles and Responsibilities.

Action: Please put a post-it note next to your agency saying whether you "Want to keep it", "Want to change it", or "Need to think about it a bit more".

Reviewing Roles and Responsibilities Cont...

1) Federated Farmers of New Zealand, to provide direct support and co-ordination services to commercial livestock owners to assist in mitigating animal suffering as a result of an emergency

2) The New Zealand Companion Animal Council, to provide support to companion animal owners to assist in mitigating animal suffering as a result of an emergency and returning animals to their owners

**Companion
Animals NZ -
yes, we are
keen !! DL**

Released under the Official Information Act 1982

Reviewing Roles and Responsibilities Cont...

3) The New Zealand Veterinary Association,—

- (i) to provide advice on where veterinary treatment can be obtained; and
- (ii) to provide assistance in alleviating animal suffering through the co-ordination of the provision of advice, feeding, relocation, or sheltering of animals during and after an emergency; and
- (iii) to co-ordinate the provision of trained veterinary personnel to assist in affected areas; and
- (iv) to ensure rapid communication of relevant information to veterinary members and branches, especially to and from affected areas;

4) The Royal New Zealand Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, to provide direct support and co-ordination services to companion animal owners to assist in mitigating animal suffering as a result of an emergency;

Happy in principle -
want to check
specific wording in
context of MOU (KB)

Released under the Official Information Act 1982

Reviewing Roles and Responsibilities Cont...

5) Territorial authorities, through animal control or animal services, to provide direct support and co-ordination services to companion animal owners to assist with the care, relocation, and housing of companion animals, and other animals, in response to an emergency

6) World Animal Protection, which may provide support in the event of a national emergency, if formally requested, in the form of an animal emergency management response (which could take the form of veterinary support, help with setting up and running temporary animal shelters, and provision of animal food).

7) Additional agencies listed in The Guide may be required at the national and CDEM Group level to provide animal welfare services. These include Fonterra, Dairy NZ, Deer Industry New Zealand, NZ Pork, NZ Equine Health Association, Meat Industry Association, Poultry Industry Association of New Zealand, Egg Producers Federation of New Zealand, Road Transport Forum New Zealand, NZ Companion Animal Council, Massey University, NZ Veterinary Association, Rural Women New Zealand, Zoo and Aquarium Association, American Laboratory Animal Science, National Oiled Wildlife Response Team, Department of Conservation.

Some name changes
in here we can help
with and also we can
ensure the
commercial sector
groups are
aware/comfortable
with this sooner
rather than later Kate
L

Released under the Official Information Act 1982