

From: John Greenwood <John@greenwoodroche.com>
Sent: Monday, 16 October 2023 11:37 am
To: Geoff McLay <geoff.mclay@vuw.ac.nz>
Cc: Law Examinations <law-examinations@vuw.ac.nz>
Subject: Re: Victoria University of Wellington equity exam

Geoff yes you did send with bolded answers apart from question 16-in any event it is always difficult to do multi-questions with choices some of which could involve fine judgement calls.

One other university also presented a multi-choice question but added at the end that at least for 2 of the questions the students elaborate with providing detailed reasons which I thought was a more compelling case to justify a multi-choice question. I am not suggesting you change course appreciating just how difficult it is to provide credible choices. But maybe next time round you could consider the added feature of seeking justification for answers given in 2 or more questions provided.

The only other matter is for the answer to question 2 is it right to add at the end after reference to the TPA is the answer because of odd jobs rather than the promise to give Eru the house albeit supported by helping out with odd jobs.

Geoff I am happy to approve the exam paper and because the paper send has the bolded answers I suggest I hold on my file rather send back a signed off paper-so assume all is ready to go.

Best wishes with the exam results

Kind regards

John Greenwood | Consultant

GreenwoodRoche
PROJECT LAWYERS

CLICK HERE
to find out more about

The GreenPrint

Our blueprint to shape greater client value at the centre of people, place and law

This email is confidential and may be subject to legal privilege. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, please notify us immediately and then delete this email. We do not accept any responsibility for any computer viruses.

From: Geoff McLay <xxxxx.xxxxx@xxx.xx.xx>
Sent: Friday, 13 October 2023 10:10 AM
To: John Greenwood
Cc: Law Examinations
Subject: Re: Victoria University of Wellington equity exam

Hi John

I thought I had sent you a version with the correct mutlicheice answer bolded - let me know if I didn't

The fiduciary question is really asking for a comparison with Chrinside v Fay and the variation Boardman v Phipps .

Is that enough? Once again if I sent you the wrong version

Geoff

On 13 Oct 2023 at 7:47 AM +1300, John Greenwood <xxxx@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx.xxx>, wrote:

Hi Geoff-will get to this later today-is there an answer sheet? Regards John

From: Geoff McLay <xxxxx.xxxxx@xxx.xx.xx>
Sent: Friday, 13 October 2023 3:52 AM
To: John Greenwood
Cc: Law Examinations
Subject: Victoria University of Wellington equity exam

Hi John

Sorry for delay . Here is the draft exam. It is worth 60 of the final grade. The students have just done an opinion on whether the the Supreme Court should allow the appeal in Cooper v Pinney., which was worth 40 per cent.

You will see that we are trying multichoice to focus on particular things in the course. We are still playing around with the wording - and these kinds of questions scare me a bit, but I think they are sufficiently développed enough to show you the range of things we are asking

Any questions please just call [REDACTED]

I'm sorry this has taken me so long

Geoff