

**From:** [Andrew Slater](#)  
**To:** [Dale Bramley](#)  
**Subject:** Fwd: URGENT - Deletion request  
**Date:** Friday, 26 January 2024 19:59:05

Sorry forgot to copy you - Steve is in touch twice tonight with Margie and I and the courts and our lawyers. No action needed right now.

**From:** Andrew Slater <Andrew.Slater@health.govt.nz>  
**Sent:** Friday, January 26, 2024 7:48 PM  
**To:** Peter Alsop <Peter.Alsop@health.govt.nz>; Catherine Delore <Catherine.Delore@health.govt.nz>; Mark Sleeman <Mark.Sleeman@health.govt.nz>  
**Subject:** Fwd: URGENT - Deletion request

Ummm FYI - Note this has been published now ie his letter to us.....

**From:** Steve Kirsch - 9(2)(a)  
**Sent:** Friday, January 26, 2024 7:13 PM  
**To:** 9(2)(a) 6(d)  
**Cc:** 9(2)(a) 6(d)  
 Andrew Slater <Andrew.Slater@health.govt.nz>; Margie Apa <Margie.Apa@health.govt.nz>  
**Subject:** URGENT - Deletion request

Hi 9(2)(a) 6(d)

What a pleasure to meet you!

If you want me to remove PHI, I would be happy to do so.

**Why didn't you just ask me nicely? Doing an end-run directly to my hosting provider doesn't sound like you are dealing in good faith.**

**I'm sure you want to save lives just like I do, but pulling a stunt like this is NOT HELPFUL to building a great relationship with me.**

As you know, you have **no jurisdiction** over me or my hosting provider. **So pissing me off is simply NOT a good idea.** It doesn't serve your client well to do that.

You'll have as much luck COMPELLING me to remove the data as the DOJ had with the NY Times and the Pentagon Papers. You should study that decision. It didn't end well for the DOJ. Here's a link: [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/New\\_York\\_Times\\_Co.\\_v.\\_United\\_States](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/New_York_Times_Co._v._United_States)

**I've told Margie Apa that I'd be happy to remove any MRN which discloses PHI.**

She ignored my request. I don't get it. If you want to mitigate damages, ignoring my request is stupid.

I suggest you tell them to IMMEDIATELY supply a list of offending MRNs and the NHI number which matches. Will you do that for me?

As far as I know, all the records I published are fully obfuscated so that it is impossible, even for me, to discover the PHI of any person or match the MRN with an NHI.

The records I published do not match the records of any person living or dead. Not a single one. Am I mistaken?

If Health New Zealand disagrees, kindly provide the MRN and the matching NHI identifier.

If you cannot do this, then what's the issue?

I don't want to harm anyone, and I'm happy to VOLUNTARILY redact any offending records, but you have provided NO EVIDENCE OF HARM.

I request that you IMMEDIATELY provide a list of offending MRNs and the associated NHI so that damages can be mitigated.

I can be reached at 9(2)(a) and would be DELIGHTED to have a RECORDED discussion on this matter if you have any questions. I'm in California and you can call up to 10pm PST.

Finally, I published an article:  
<https://kirschsubstack.com/p/an-open-letter-to-the-new-zealand>

Health New Zealand took NO ACTION on my request.

If they publish the FULL data, I'm happy to remove my data as it will no longer be needed. Why did they ignore that? That's another way to solve the problem.

I look forward to hearing from you.

-steve

P. S. Barry Young is a hero. These records prove that Health New Zealand has been KILLING people with these vaccines. Maybe you should ask them to look at the data that was leaked? I requested a meeting with their epidemiologists to explain to me why, if the vaccine is safe, the mortality curves between vaxxed and background diverge. THEY REFUSED TO DO THAT. Why

would they do that unless they are HIDING SOMETHING: like the fact that they are the cause of the excess deaths of THOUSANDS of people in New Zealand. **Why can't we talk about it? I'd be happy to invite YALE PROFESSOR HARVEY RISCH to the meeting; he is one of the TOP EPIDEMIOLOGISTS in the world. WHY CAN'T WE TALK ABOUT IT?**

Released under Official Information Act 1982

**From:** [Andrew Slater](#)  
**To:** [Leish Donoghue](#); [Peter Alison](#); [Catherine Delore](#)  
**Cc:** [Dale Bramley](#)  
**Subject:** Fwd: who do you think you are to\$\$ers ?  
**Date:** Saturday, 27 January 2024 07:44:39  
**Attachments:** [Luxon reply SG Screenshot 2024-01-16-11-10-16-622-edit cn.wps.moffice\\_end.jpg](#)

---

See attached. This is email contains new information than I think we have had before including the oia response from the PMs office.

However more importantly it also indicates that Steve K may have the raw data given he is asking us for NHI to unwind the identifier so he can remove the identifying data that Clyde and Co have asked him too.

One for Monday.

Andrew

---

**From:** 9(2)(a)  
**Sent:** Friday, January 26, 2024 11:01 PM  
**To:** 9(2)(a) 6(d)  
9(2)(a) 6(d) Andrew Slater  
<Andrew.Slater@health.govt.nz>; Margie Apa <Margie.Apa@health.govt.nz>  
**Subject:** who do you think you are to\$\$ers ?

Dear 9(2)(a) 6(d),

Who the heck do you think you are  
You have no right over this jurisdiction and no right to censor New Zealand's PUBLIC anonymised records  
You know full well that there has been no breach of privacy information records  
As per the PM Chris Luxon's Chief of Staff statement attached.  
You are not going to silence the public outcry over this whistleblower breach, so please do not bother trying to lie to the public  
We see through your misrepresentation and attempt to censor the truth.

The public now want to know  
And eventually you will be brought to account !  
As will be disclosed in the Court case hearing at the end of 2024 / early 2025 !  
Along with the New Covid Response Inquiry.

Yours faithfully

9(2)(a)

9(2)(a)

Pease see :

**My email to Clyde & Co, the Australia law firm sending emails to my hosting provider to have my site deleted**

**From:** Steve Kirsch

**Sent:** Thursday, January 25, 2024 10:13 PM

**To:** 9(2)(a) 6(d)

**Cc:** ; [Andrew Slater](#); [Margie Apa](#)

**Subject:** URGENT - Deletion request

**Importance:** High

Hi 9(2)(a)  
6(d)

What a pleasure to meet you!

If you want me to remove PHI, I would be happy to do so.

**Why didn't you just ask me nicely? Doing an end-run directly to my hosting provider doesn't sound like you are dealing in good faith.**

**I'm sure you want to save lives just like I do, but pulling a stunt like this is NOT HELPFUL to building a great relationship with me.**

As you know, you have **no jurisdiction** over me or my hosting provider. **So pissing me off is simply NOT a good idea.** It doesn't serve your client well to do that.

You'll have as much luck **COMPELLING** me to remove the data as the DOJ had with the *NY Times* and the Pentagon Papers. You should study that decision. It didn't end well for the DOJ. Here's a [link](#).

**I've told Margie Apa that I'd be happy to remove any MRN which discloses PHI.**

**I also shared my offer to her publicly.**

She ignored my request. I don't get it.

If you want to mitigate damages, ignoring my request is stupid.

I suggest you tell them to IMMEDIATELY supply a list of offending MRNs and the NHI number which matches. Will you do that for me?

As far as I know, all the records I published are fully obfuscated so that it is impossible, even for me, to discover the PHI of any person or match the MRN with an NHI.

The records I published do not match the records of any person living or dead. Not a single one. Am I mistaken?

If Health New Zealand disagrees, kindly provide the MRN and the matching NHI identifier.

If you cannot do this, then what's the issue?

I don't want to harm anyone, and I'm happy to VOLUNTARILY redact any offending records, but you have provided NO EVIDENCE OF HARM.

I request that you IMMEDIATELY provide a list of offending MRNs and the associated NHI so that damages can be mitigated.

I can be reached at <redacted> and would be DELIGHTED to have a RECORDED discussion on this matter if you have any questions. I'm in California and you can call up to 10pm PST.

Finally, 2 months ago, I published [an article](#) "An offer you can't refuse."

Health New Zealand took NO ACTION on my request.

If they publish the FULL data, I'm happy to remove my data as it will no longer be needed. Why did they ignore that? That's another way to solve the problem.

I look forward to hearing from you.

-steve

P. S. Barry Young is a hero. These records prove that Health New Zealand has been KILLING people with these vaccines. Maybe you should ask them to look at the data that was leaked? **I requested a meeting with their epidemiologists to explain to me** why, if the vaccine is safe, the mortality

curves between vaxxed and background diverge. THEY REFUSED TO DO THAT. Why would they do that unless they are HIDING SOMETHING: like the fact that the vaccines are the cause of the excess deaths of THOUSANDS of people in New Zealand. **Why can't we talk about it? I'd be happy to invite YALE PROFESSOR HARVEY RISCH to the meeting; he is one of the TOP EPIDEMIOLOGISTS in the world. WHY CAN'T WE TALK ABOUT IT?**

## **My email to the New Zealand Employment Relations Authority**

To: christchurchera@era.govt.nz

Cc: 9(2)(a) 6(d) Andrew Slater; Margie Apa; 9(2)(a) 6(d)  
9(2)(a) 6(d)

**Subject:** 9(2)(a) Health New Zealand will not comply with my request to mitigate damages. They should be ordered to supply offending MRNs

**Importance:** High

Barry Young disclosed the information to me. I am a US journalist and published the obfuscated records on the Internet.

I told the chief executive of Health New Zealand I'd be happy to **VOLUNTARILY** remove any record which "would likely have significant and irreparable adverse consequences for individuals and their whanau."

**They have refused to comply with my request.**

**I request the ERA order them to supply me with the offending records** (using the MRN record identifier) and explain how it is possible for the record to be matched to any person, dead or alive, in a way that harms that person.

All the records were obfuscated using a ONE WAY randomization algorithm so that the data for a given person cannot be identified. Therefore it is IMPOSSIBLE for any person to identify someone else's record.

**So a breach of PHI is IMPOSSIBLE. No one has contacted me to remove their record because nobody can find their record because it is unfindable.**

They need to provide proof to the ERA that there is at least one of the 4M records published on my S3 site that could reveal PHI or could have SIGNIFICANT or IRREPARABLE consequences.

**Where is that proof?**

They CANNOT meet that burden.

**I said I would remove any such record from my website voluntarily.**

**They REFUSED to identify the MRN(s) of any such records!!!**

They should be ordered by the ERA to identify the MRNs of these records IMMEDIATELY to mitigate damages.

If they fail to do that, they should be held accountable for any such harm that their inaction has caused.

I can be reached at <redacted> and would be DELIGHTED to have a RECORDED discussion on this matter if you have any questions.

They refuse to engage in dialog to settle this matter.

**I have NO desire to harm people, but they refuse to provide any evidence of harm.**

**Where is the list of MRNs to be removed and the description of the irreparable harm for each of the records requested?**

**They will not provide this voluntarily. THEY SHOULD BE ORDERED TO PRODUCE THIS LIST IMMEDIATELY SO THAT ANY DAMAGES CAN BE MITIGATED.**

Steve Kirsch  
US journalist

**Summary**

Health New Zealand is trying to enforce an order which was obtained under false pretenses claiming that there are “significant and irreparable adverse consequences for individuals and their families” through the publication of the obfuscated records.

This is blatantly false.



They cannot identify a single record in the data that I publicly released that can cause “significant and irreparable adverse consequences for individuals and their families.” Not a single one.

When I asked them to identify the MRN of any offending record so that I can voluntarily remove it, they refused to respond to my request.

What they want is to have the entire database taken down to cover their crime.

They’ve been killing people and they are unable to explain their own data.

Even top New Zealand experts can’t explain away the New Zealand data leaked by Barry Young. Epic fail every time. It’s ludicrous. For example, Janine Paynter got so pissed that I wasn’t falling for her bullshit handwaving arguments that she blocked me. Way to resolve conflict Janine! Maybe try data next time instead of nonsensical bullshit arguments?

Health New Zealand wants the public health data censored so **the public won’t have the evidence to convict them of negligent homicide**. It’s as simple as that.

Sorry, but I’m not going to be a party to the cover up of the crime scene.

Released under Official Information Act 1982



16 January 2024

Sue Grey

9(2)(a)

Ref: PMO 032-2023-24

Dear Sue

**Official Information Act request: briefings and advice relating to Barry Young and the leaked health data.**

Thank you for your Official Information Act 1982 (the Act) request, received on 18 December 2023. You requested:

*"Please provide copies of all briefing papers you have received in the Barry Young "health data/ whistleblower" case. Please include copies of all information you relied on to make your media statement that no private information was released and any information you have since received. <https://www.newshub.co.nz/home/new-zealand/2023/12/former-te-whatu-ora-worker-barry-young-pleads-not-guilty-to-leaking-vaccine-data.html>"*

I can confirm that no documentation exists in relation to your request and is therefore refused under section 18(e) of the Act.

A verbal discussion occurred among officials between 2 and 3 December 2023 in which the breach was discussed.

A summary of that discussion is that the breach was still being analysed, however it appeared that no personal identifying information (for example names) had been released and the information that had been released was anonymised.

You have the right to ask the Ombudsman to investigate and review my decision under section 28(3) of the Act.

Yours sincerely

Cameron Burrows  
Chief of Staff