CANSEC 2014 Trade Show Report

CANSEC

□ CANSEC is Canada's premier annual defence trade show. Put on by the Canadian Association of Defence and Security Industries (CADSI), it is the largest of its kind in Canada, featured more than 10,000 registrants, over 330 companies exhibiting products and services, over 120,00 square feet of display space, and 31 international delegations from around the globe. Interesting ally, it was announced that president of p

NZ Delegation

A New Zealand delegation attended CANSEC with the objective distrengthening NZ's defence relations with Canada and engaging with Lockheed Martin Canada (MC) and the Canadian Forces over New Zealand's ANZAC Frigate System Hogra (CC).

The delegation consisted of

programme of meetings for the NZ delegation with Canadian defence compar contractors and with senior military officials within the Canadian For ces.

- The NZ Delegation was a collaboration of 'NS Inc.' is others including NZTE, the NZ Ministr y of Defence (MoD), the NZ Defence Advisor to Canada based in Washington DC, and MFAT (

) e.g. was invited, along with NZTE, to lunch events hos all by LMC and the Canadian DND.

 It was learned that (Roys NF Navy) will be arriving in in ; will either share NZDA responsibilities for Canada with or could become NZDF Airforce Advisor for the US this will be 's decision to make.
- Along with the rest of the NZ telegation to CANSEC, one of NZTE's key objectives was to promote NZ marine capability and gather intelligence to improve the knowledge and position of NZ firms interested in bid and on Canadian Navy, Coast Guard and other commercial workboat opportunities in the Canadian market.

Outcomes

NZDF disc ssel requirements for the work to gin i 2010, including key points of contact, responsibilities, RNZN's expectations, timing, use resources, etc. These discussions will form the basis of a more OU to be drafted between will take lead) and . Some concern expressed by and about resources as will be involved in There appears to be commitment from to use NZ content in the indicated strong interest in . In addition to other NZ companies to provide preinstallation work and through-life-support that will take place in New Zealand.

Some information on this page has been redacted under section 9(2)(a) to protect the privacy of natural persons, and the person who supplied or who is the subject of the information.

In confidence, MoD and NZDF report some key points summarised below:

The selection of as prime contractor is for risk reduction. Specifically, to avoid challenges encountered with the

Of the

- After installation a further small number of classified components will be sourced directly by
- Capital limit is \$ m (up from m) and m contingency, so \$ m
- Several NZ marine companies have been identified as potential suppliers to & on or other shipbuilding programs. The Cabinet report mentions (drawn from Industry Day):
- (Note that , and were not on list nor mentioned by , although , had indicated written interest).
 We discussed NZ capability with and , and both mentioned: mentioned were more cost competitive than anything
 - they have been able to source in North America)
 () and both mentioned problems in

communicating with finding them unresponsive and that things stalled after was asked to sign a NDA. was a bit less clear about and thought there was another small company involved that sounded like . to follow up with .

- Note: still some scope definition going on
- Interest confirmed from the visit NZ and attend the and NZDF could be involved in helping organise the programme with NZ company involvement. With was more positive about a visit but , the of who would be making the visit was less committal this was reflected in a follow up meeting that had with . While not ruled out, budget appears to be an issue. The looming could also take over priority.
- Positive discussions with

who are involved in the

In addition to meetings with

, the NZ delegation met with and others. :

- Other Observations:
 - Good example of how 'NZ Inc' collaboration can work in the defence sector with NZTE, ICN, MoD, NZDA, NZDF and MFAT working together with the shared objective

Some information on this page has been redacted under section 9(2)(a) to protect the privacy of natural persons, and section 9(2)(a) to protect the privacy of natural persons, and section 9(2)(a) to protect the privacy of natural persons, and section 9(2)(a) to protect the privacy of natural persons, and section 9(2)(a) to protect the privacy of natural persons, and section 9(2)(a) to protect the privacy of natural persons, and section 9(2)(a) to protect the privacy of natural persons, and section 9(2)(a) to protect the privacy of natural persons, and section 9(2)(a) to protect the privacy of natural persons, and section 9(2)(a) to protect the privacy of natural persons, and section 9(2)(a) to protect the privacy of natural persons, and section 9(2)(a) to protect the privacy of natural persons, and section 9(2)(a) to protect the privacy of natural persons, and section 9(2)(a) to protect the privacy of natural persons, and section 9(2)(a) to protect the privacy of natural persons of 2 the person who supplied or who is the subject of the information.

of promoting NZ defence companies with innovative technologies capable of supplying products or services to major Canadian defence programmes.

Good example of leveraging a potentially gain access to a much larger value

project (

) to

program).

It also demonstrates that NZ innovative marine technologies can attract the interest of large defence integrators. like

With both New Zealand and Canada operating and maintaining similar defence assets the mission was also effective in discussing each country's experience with common platforms. The NZ delegation gained valuable insights into Canada's military experience with its operation of

, and other shared platforms.

Some information on this page has been redacted under section 9(2)(a) to protect the privacy of natural persons, and section 9(2)(b)(ii) as to release this information would be likely unreasonably to prejudice the commercial position of the person who supplied or who is the subject of the information.

