

# Message



From: [Kaye Mcllveney-7552](#) Sent: 29/10/2010 4:35:09 p.m.  
To: [Tony Quirk-8077](#)  
Subject: RE: LGOIMA Submission

Great thanks

Kaye Mcllveney  
Solicitor  
**Marlborough District Council**  
15 Seymour Street, PO Box 443, Blenheim 7240, New Zealand  
Phone: +64 3 520 7400  
Fax: +64 3 520 7496  
[kaye.mcllveney@marlborough.govt.nz](mailto:kaye.mcllveney@marlborough.govt.nz)  
[www.marlborough.govt.nz](http://www.marlborough.govt.nz)

---

**From:** Tony Quirk-8077  
**Sent:** Friday, 29 October 2010 4:29 p.m.  
**To:** Kaye Mcllveney-7552  
**Subject:** RE: LGOIMA Submission

No I would treat it as an administrative issue with no policy aspects at all so we send out once Andrew and Dean are happy

---

**From:** Kaye Mcllveney-7552  
**Sent:** Friday, 29 October 2010 4:28 p.m.  
**To:** Tony Quirk-8077  
**Subject:** RE: LGOIMA Submission

Cool.

Do you know what process should be followed once Andrew and Dean have commented? Does it need to go to Councillors or a Council Committee? Submissions are due 10 December so there is plenty of time.

Kaye Mcllveney  
Solicitor  
**Marlborough District Council**  
15 Seymour Street, PO Box 443, Blenheim 7240, New Zealand  
Phone: +64 3 520 7400  
Fax: +64 3 520 7496  
[kaye.mcllveney@marlborough.govt.nz](mailto:kaye.mcllveney@marlborough.govt.nz)  
[www.marlborough.govt.nz](http://www.marlborough.govt.nz)

---

**From:** Michele Robins-5491 **On Behalf Of** Tony Quirk-8077  
**Sent:** Friday, 29 October 2010 3:37 p.m.  
**To:** Kaye Mcllveney-7552  
**Subject:** LGOIMA Submission

Just a couple of very brief comments - overall everything looks great.

- **Chapter 10 question 48** should we add in the point that you have made earlier which is that the starting point should be once a request is clarified?
- **Final comment page 13** I wonder whether the third sentence "there is no relation between the official information provisions and the meeting provisions" should be deleted. The relationship is usually related to the withholding grounds by cross reference so probably better not to divert the argument which is simply that the meeting provisions more properly fit in LGA.

**Tony Quirk**  
District Secretary