From: <u>Veer</u>
To: <u>Ripul</u>

Subject: FW: Twilight Road - Consent Conditions - Signs

Date: Wednesday, 15 February 2017 15:55:56

Attachments: <u>image001.png</u>

From: Veer Sent: Wednesday, 21 December 2016 9:09 a.m.

To: Kevin

Cc: 'Samir'; Douglas

Subject: RE: Twilight Road - Consent Conditions - Signs

Hi Kevin

Have you addressed the issues listed on 25/11:

- 1 Trucks on road carrying "material" to the landfill
- 2 Road Width measurements are even as low as 5.6m at places.
- What is the governing speed limit and is there any proposal to reduce it
- 4 What is happening about the engine breaking noise
- 5 Has the channel been set out in accordance with the approved plans
- 6 Road corner visibility at Jill's corner?
- 7 Are trucks allowed only between 7a.m-5.30p.m?
- 8 Channel needs clean up and can it be extended (to near Jill's corner)
- 9 Big tree near Jill's property is to be removed; Council has asked the developer to proceed from the road side if 'right of entry' issue is not resolved.
- 10 What about other trees
- 11 Can there be any house stability issues for Jill after the tree is removed
- Arborists report may be needed to ascertain any damage to the native trees on Jill's property due to road works
- Rock support may be required around chainage 650-680m
- What is the difference between the URS and AECOM drawings
- 15 The distance from the seal to the road edge is not 500mm at all places
- Dead tree near chainage 780 needs to be cut and the property owner is happy to allow access
- 17 Side batters are a matter of concern near chainage 850m 990
- 18 Electric wires seem to be low at chainage 1000m

- 19 Catchpit leads near Ch:1100?
- 20 Geotech advice for the Tree near Ch:1150?
- There are steep slopes at places that may need retaining. Has the Geotech engineer looked at the downhill side slopes (like near Leane's property)
- 22 Power poles close to the seal area
- 23 Can there be speed cameras to monitor speeds
- Why is the road widening undertaken only in a small stretch and not for the full length from Kimpton Rd to the landfill access point
- 25 Visibility from 314 Twilight Road (turning right)
- There are trucks going towards Clevedon from landfill (turning right)
- 27 Caution Signs?
- Downhill slope failure near 70m short of the landfill entrance
- 29 Any tracking curves
- 30 Road safety audit

Thanks

Veer

From: Kevin
Sent: Wednesday, 21 December 2016 7:47 a.m.

To: Douglas

Cc: Veer ; Sami

Subject: Twilight Road - Consent Conditions - Signs

Hi Doug

The cleanfill operation at Twilight Road is anticipated to commence operations in early 2017. The two consent conditions as stated below require Auckland Transport approval for the erection of signage and we now seek these approvals so that there is no delays in opening the cleanfill. The relevant conditions are:

Condition 42

Requires Auckland Transport Approval to erect advisory signage in close proximity to Brookby School with a message requesting all truck drivers to observe a 'courtesy' or voluntary 40km/hour speed limit when passing the school while the active school zone warning signs are illuminated.

Condition 43

Auckland Transport approval to erect appropriate warning signage to inform drivers of the narrow width at Kimptons Bridge (on Brookby Road). These signs appear to be installed already.

Email Signature		
	?	

Can you advise if these approvals come through you or who the relevant person is to contact for the

approvals.

When an earthquake happens don't wait, move to higher ground.				

CAUTION: This email message and any attachments contain information that may be confidential and may be LEGALLY PRIVILEGED. If you are not the intended recipient, any use, disclosure or copying of this message or attachments is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email message in error please notify us immediately and erase all copies of the message and attachments. We do not accept responsibility for any viruses or similar carried with our email, or any effects our email may have on the recipient computer system or network. Any views expressed in this email may be those of the individual sender and may not necessarily reflect the views of Council.