

From: Ripul [REDACTED]
To: Group Services CRT (AT)
Subject: Fwd: 261 twilight Rd - Clean-fill
Date: Friday, 10 March 2017 12:24:15

From: Douglas [REDACTED]

Date: 8 March 2017 at 9:39:48 AM NZDT

To: "Ripul [REDACTED] Veer [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Cc: "Kimdon [REDACTED] "Dayal [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] "Sreevidya [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Subject: RE: 261 twilight Rd - Clean-fill

Hi Ripul,

Items 2 – 5 are included in Veer's list for them to respond to.

Although I acknowledge Item 1, it is up to the applicant to demonstrate whether a lesser width could be considered acceptable. Needless to say, a safety report will need to be provided with this.

Regards

Doug [REDACTED]

Senior Development Engineer

NATURAL RESOURCES & SPECIALIST INPUT

[REDACTED]

From: Ripul [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, 7 March 2017 5:34 p.m.

To: Douglas [REDACTED] Veer [REDACTED]

Cc: Kimdon [REDACTED] Dayal [REDACTED] Sreevidya [REDACTED]

Subject: 261 twilight Rd - Clean-fill

Good Evening all,

After thorough consultation with all the various AT teams, this is the information we request from the applicant on top of the list already given by Veer to the applicants.

Road Safety

- 1.) AT Road Safety has concluded that it is not acceptable as the current width does not align with the requirement of a minimum width of 7.0 m, with a 0.5 m shoulder. It is necessary to have the road widened to the required width due to the current alignment, geometry and the unforgiving nature of the road.

Traffic Engineering

- 2.) The road widening to 7m is to accommodate the heavy vehicles generated from the clean-fill activity, hence the consent was granted based on the road widening condition. The current works have not fulfilled this consent condition hence not acceptable to AT.
- 3.) Road shoulder has not been provided either. When the road is widened the developer has removed the existing shoulder however did not replace with a new one. A minimum of 500mm shoulder should be provided to comply with Council/AT standards.
- 4.) Several power poles are right against the edge line after the road widening,

which become road side safety hazards and should be relocated to achieve suitable clearance.

AT maintenance

- 5.) The road will be carrying heavy truck loads and as a result good edge support is required to the road pavement. Otherwise the road will fail, hence the road should be constructed as per the Resource Consent requirements.

Thanks

Regards,

Ripul

WARNING This email may contain information which is **CONFIDENTIAL** and may be subject to **LEGAL PRIVILEGE**. If you are not the intended recipient, you must not peruse, use, disseminate, distribute or copy this email or attachments. If you have received this in error, please notify us immediately by return email, facsimile or telephone (call us collect) and delete this email. Thank you. Phone: +64 9 355 3553 or Fax: +64 9 355 3550. **AUCKLAND TRANSPORT ACCEPTS NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR CHANGES MADE TO THIS EMAIL OR TO ANY ATTACHMENTS AFTER TRANSMISSION FROM AUCKLAND TRANSPORT.** Nothing in this email designates an information system for the purposes of section 11(a) of the New Zealand Electronic Transaction Act 2002, unless expressly stated otherwise.



CAUTION: This email message and any attachments contain information that may be confidential and may be **LEGALLY PRIVILEGED**. If you are not the intended recipient, any use, disclosure or copying of this message or attachments is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email message in error please notify us immediately and erase all copies of the message and attachments. We do not accept responsibility for any viruses or similar carried with our email, or any effects our email may have on the recipient computer system or network. Any views expressed in this email may be those of the individual sender and may not necessarily reflect the views of Council.