

# **DECISIONS DOCUMENT PROJECT B** BALANC edundendi Alignment

Organisation Central Distri

# December 2016

WHY W	te de la companya de	TĀ TĀTOU ((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((	UMANGA		E DO IT
CUR PURPOSE BE SAFE FEEL SAFE How we police MAKES PEOPLE FEEL SAFE WHAT WE DO KEEPS PEOPLE SAFE	OUR MISSION	PREVENTION RESPONSE INVESTIGATION RESOLUTION	KEY STRATEGIES PREVENTION FIRST PREVENTION FIRST PREVENTION AT THE FROM VICTIMS AT THE HEART POCUVER BETTER PUBLIC SERVICES	OUR PEOPLE ARE: VICTIM FOCUSED EQUIPPED AND ENABLED SAFE AND FEEL SAFE HIGH PERFORMING	OUR VALUES
OUR VISION TO HAVE THE TRUST AND CONFIDENCE OF ALL	<ul> <li>OUR GOALS</li> <li>TO REDUCE:</li> <li>CRIME AND VICTIMISATION</li> <li>DEATH AND SERIOUS INJURIES ON OUR ROADS</li> <li>SOCIAL HARM IN OUR COMMUNITIES</li> </ul>	BY 2017 BY 2017 BY 2017 BO% HIGH OR VERY HIGH TRUET AND CONFIDENCE EV 2017 VIOLENT CRIME REDUCTION OF 20% BY 2018 TOTAL CRIME REDUCTION OF 20%	TURNING OF THE TIDE BETTER OUTCOMES FOR NZ BY WORKING IN PARTNERSHIP WITH IWI OUTCOMES FOR NZ BY WORKING IN PARTNERSHIP WITH IWI OUTCOMES FOR SAFER JOURNEYS REDUCING AND PREVENTING ROAD RELATED TRAUMA	OUR TRANSFORMATION PROGRAMME POLICING EXCELLENCE THE FUTURE SAFER FAMILIES & IWI PARTNERSHIPS EVIDENCE-BASED POLICING SERVICE DELIVERY MODEL	RESPECT INTEGRITY COMMITMENT TO MÃORI & THE TREATY EMPATHY VALUING DIVERSITY

IN CONFIDENCE

#### OVERVIEW

- 1. This document outlines the revised organisational structure for Central District, following a round of consultation with staff conducted in the second half of November 2016.
- 2. The impetus for Project Balance includes:
  - Police like all public sector organisations must manage within means.
  - The current organisation structure is not sustainable in that it identifies more positions than the provision to Central District.
  - The District needs to ensure that its resources are in the right place to meet demand and deliver Policing services across a network of locations and communities.
- 3. There are five underlying objectives for Project Balance outlined in the consultation document.
  - manage the number of positions to RAT. Project Balance begins with <u>673</u> and ends with <u>673</u>
     Constabulary positions.
  - recognise the fundamental transformation of Policing through the Policing Excellence (PE)<sup>1</sup> change programme by aligning the District structure to the National Police structure.
  - respond to existing and emerging demand and risks so that resources are best deployed to meet the needs across communities that we serve.
  - is evidence based in terms of requirements for Policing service delivery and provide equitable and fair distribution across communities.
  - reflects the strategic priorities of the Police; our mission to be the safest country requires the commitment of Police to ensure that our people are having the greatest impact they can to make New Zealand the safest country.
- 4. Central District is responsible for the delivery of Policing services in line with *Our Business* (inside cover) which outlines our aspirations to deliver outstanding results for the people of New Zealand.
- 5. In achieving our mission to be the safest country, Police need to identify and plan for challenges and opportunities in our operating environment. Project Balance is vitally important in terms of managing within baseline and also addressing emerging challenges and the evolving nature of crime, victimisation and social harm.

<sup>1</sup> 

http://www.police.govt.nz/about-us/publication/policing-excellence-overview-30-june-2013

This document is the property of New Zealand Police. Unauthorised possession is an offence under section 50 of the Policing Act 2008, carrying a maximum penalty of 3 months imprisonment, or a fine of \$2000, or both.

#### CONSULTATION

- 6. On 14<sup>th</sup> of November a consultation document was released to staff proposing a series of changes to the structure within Central District.
- 7. The consultation document was disseminated to staff via 12 formal consultation meetings across the District where the proposed structures, potential affects, and processes were outlined to staff. In addition managers were available to discuss the proposal with staff.
- 8. The proposals in the consultation document provided an opportunity to consult with staff and gave staff an avenue to contribute to the decision-making process.
- 9. Staff submissions closed on 28<sup>th</sup> of November 2016 to central.consultation@police.govt.nz.
  - A total of 71 questions were received during the consultation phase and answers published on the District Bulletin Board in nine separate Q&A updates.
  - A subsequent update to the Q&A's for questions identified as part of the submissions or received at the close of the submission period identified an additional 8 questions and responses, was published after 28<sup>th</sup> November.
  - 75 submissions were received totalling 340 pages. Some were representative of stations or • groups, and most from individuals.
- 10. The quality of submissions was high with many detailed submissions and proposals for changes and eased white improvements to the proposals.

#### Consideration

- 11. There were a wide range of issues raised in submissions and these were considered by the District Governance Group. The District Governance group meet to consider the submissions on the 1st December 2016 and again on the 8<sup>th</sup> of December 2016.
- 12. As a direct result of the submissions a number of changes were made to the proposal and this document sets out the revised organisational structure.

### Central District

- 13. The changes are reflected in the requirement for an additional <u>10</u> positions above the <u>673</u> positions in the RAT for Central.
- 14. Table 1 sets out the identified additional positions for Central District which offset originally proposed reductions as a result of consultation.

TABLE 1				
ADDITIONAL RAT POSITIONS				
LOCATION/POSITION	RAT			
Waitara	+3			
Dannevirke	+2			
Pahiatua	+1			
Taumarunui Rural	+1			
Raetihi	+1			
Woodville	+1			
Bulls	+1			
District	10			

- 15. These additional positions should not be considered as any more or less "secure" than the positons that are nominated as falling within RAT. The identification of this set of positions is somewhat arbitrary and could equally be described in any number of other sets of positions.
- 16. If Central District is required to reduce constabulary positions to RAT at any time in the future, all positions will need to be revaluated at the time, taking into consideration the operating environment and the current strategic direction of Police.

#### **GENERAL THEMES**

17. The following sections identify general submission themes.

#### Living within Means

- 18. Many submitters identified the value that particular positions have or suggestions which would require (significant) additional positions across the District.
- 19. The decision to disestablish a position does not mean that the contribution that position makes to the organisation is not valuable. In each and every case there are cogent and persuasive submissions in support of positions. Ideally, these positions could be in the organisational chart; and we can think of many other positions that we would like to have.
- 20. However, we have to establish a structure with the number of positions that we have; we cannot exceed that number.
- 21. The decision to disestablish a position reflects that it has not been possible to identify funding for that position within the boundary of Constabulary RAT positions afforded to the District.
- 22. Again, if all of the desires for positions were totalled, or if all our communities were resourced at the level of the most favourably resourced communities, this would far exceed the available capacity of Constabulary RAT positions. Every community deserves the best service we can provide, however, not at the expense of others.
- 23. This inevitably means that difficult decisions have to be made about where staffing resources are placed for the best overall benefit of the District.
- 24. The District acknowledges that the structures outlined in this decision document create challenges for individuals and for some areas of our business which may result in changes to the way that various groups currently work.

#### **Public Consultation**

- 25. In the main we propose minimal changes with the potential to impact communities and service delivery therefore we are not proposing to consult externally.
- 26. A common theme in both the Q&A's submitted and in the final submissions was in regard for the need for Police to consult with communities and other stakeholders.
- 27. As identified in the Project Balance Proposal document, Constabulary numbers for resourcing for Areas (and Stations) is likely to attract considerable community and stakeholder scrutiny.
- 28. Whilst there is an internal and external inclination to focus on Constabulary resources assigned directly to a Station this poorly reflects modern Policing. Approached from the perspective of a single community or station has the potential to fail to address the basic premise that every victim deserves equitable access to Policing services.
- 29. Many of the initial proposals are revised as a direct consequence of feedback from our staff.

#### **Police Model**

- 30. Submitters also frequently identified that some positons were more valuable than others (e.g., that Road Policing should be reduced in favour of PST, or that Prevention resourcing should be increased).
- 31. The Police model recognises that Police make investment decisions across a range of functions including prevention, response, investigation and resolution. Broadly, these reflect the investment levels that Government make in Police (i.e., as represented in Vote:Police appropriations).
- 32. An important component of Project Balance is that the investment in a particular component of the Police model cannot be at the detriment of other parts of the Police model.



#### SPECIFIC CONSIDERATIONS

Theme	Changes made as	a result of subm	issions	
<ul> <li>1/2/3 Stations</li> <li>A number of submissions were made in regard to proposed</li> <li>1/2/3 person station sizes. These identified: <ul> <li>a) the need to maintain</li> <li>resources in many of the</li> <li>locations where reductions</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The appropriate size additional 3 position maintain current de Bulls +1</li> <li>Rural Communicover wider the Woodville +1</li> </ul>	ons are identified eployment. ity Constable ba	d as required to	
were proposed;	Station	Proposed	Confirmed	
b) potential reductions, usually	Bulls	1	2	
to support positions in	Eketahuna	1	1	
another location.	Eltham	2	<b>x</b> 2	
	Foxton Beach <sup>(1)</sup>		1 (CPC)	
	Hunterville		1	
	Inglewood	2	2	
	Kimbolton	4	1	
	Manaia <sup>(=)</sup>	$\downarrow$ $\bigcirc$ $\Box$	1	
	Mokau	1	1	
	National Park	2	2	
	Norsewood	1	1	
	- Ohura <sup>(3)</sup>	0	1	
	Okato	1	1	
	Opunake	2	2	
	Patea	2	2	
	Pongaroa Daotibi	1	1	
	Raetihi	1	1	
	Shannon Waiouru	1 2	1	
Release	Waverley	1	1	
	Woodville	1	2	
O	(1) Foxton Beach desig and deployed as pa	nated as a CPC and the rt of a Foxton / Foxtor	e position is retained Beach sub-area.	
		i is identified as Manai punake station has res		
		ura is transferred into additional resource for ui.		•

Theme	Changes made as a result of submissions				
CPC Designations	Designations were reviewed and the following changes				
In addition, the proposal that	made.				
some of these stations were	Proposed Confirmed				
designated as Community	Foxton Beach	CPC	CPC		
Policing Centres attracted a	Inglewood	CPC	2 Person Station		
number of submissions.	Pongaroa	CPC	1 Person		
number of submissions.	Raetihi	CPC	2 Person Station		
	The designation	of all 1/2/3 pe	rson stations may	be	
	•		positions become		
	based on deploy		•		
Waitara			odel of 1+5 for PS	T and 1	
The largest number of	Community Cons	•			
submissions were received in					
regard to the proposal to deliver	The proposed inv	vestment of de	edicated prevention	on	
response services for Waitara as	-		ion Sgt, 2 Commι	inity	
•	Constables, 1 Yo	uth Aid Officer	) is retracted.		
part of the PST for New					
Plymouth.	X	<u> </u>	Y		
Sgt Stations	The proposed siz	mg of stations	were reviewed a	nd:	
Submissions were made in regard	Additional fu	nding sought f	or 3 positions		
to the inconsistency of resourcing		+2, Pahiatua +			
across similar demand Sgt			SCO position at N	/larton	
Stations.	be disestablis	hed and reinv	ested in Marton a	as a PST	
	position.				
, C	<ul> <li>The proposal</li> </ul>	to pool Waita	ra PST with New		
2	Plymouth PS	Γ is retracted (	discussed above).		
			1		
	Station	Proposed	Confirmed	_	
	Dannevirke Foxton <sup>(1)</sup>	1+4	<b>1+6</b> 1+6		
	Otaki	1+6	1+6		
	Marton	1+5	1+6		
$\bigcirc$	Ohakune	1+5	1+5		
	Taihape	1+5	1+5		
	Pahiatua	1+3	1+4		
	Waitara	Included with			
		provision fo			
		PST for Nev	v		
	Plymouth Beach position.				
	(1) Including Foxton	position			

Theme	Changes made as a result of submissions
<ul> <li>Feilding</li> <li>A number of submissions expressed concern about         <ul> <li>the loss of services to Feilding through the proposal to pool response resources with Palmerston North PST in a Manawatu North PST pool.</li> <li>Workability of resourcing</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	The proposal is redrawn to make clear that the level of resourcing for PST staffing at Feilding is unchanged. The pre-existing model for Feilding had three sections of 4 PST staff (12 PST staff) and this level of resourcing is retained in the proposed structure. The other affects for Feilding are reporting line changes for Investigations, STU, and Youth which now report to supervision in other locations which does not directly
level District Coordinator SAR A large number of submissions were received in opposition to the disestablishment of the position and the devolvement of responsibility to portfolio holding NCOs in Areas.	impact the service delivery for Feilding. It was originally proposed that this role be disestablished and the responsibility be devolved to Area based portfolio holders. In response to the feedback, it is proposed that this responsibility (along with the responsibility for other specialist squads) be transferred to the existing S/Sgt role reporting to District Manager Operations. This may require the devolvement of administrative and project responsibilities currently held by this role (to DCC, Area S/Sgts)
<ul> <li>AHRO</li> <li>Submissions were received identifying : <ul> <li>a) inconsistency of approach across Areas (different portfolio holders in Whanganui and Farenaki, and an identified position for Manawatu)</li> <li>b) the desirability of identified positions in all Areas</li> <li>c) the possibility of supporting the administration of the role centrally (e.g., in the CASE group)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	The inconsistency across Areas reflects the different capacities in each Area to identify a consistent approach. The intent of the proposed structure is to clearly assign the responsibility for the AHRO portfolio. It is an Area decision how the work is shared across a number of roles (including Community, Custody, and TAG).

The	eme	Changes mad	de as a res	ult of subm	issions		
STI	J	The District organisational chart identifies 98 specialist					
Submissions were received		road policing positions, of which, 36 are STU positions.					
identifying that:							
a) STU should report directly to		In the propos	sal, the exp	olicit resour	cing of the	ese as	
the Road Policing group		dedicated sp	ecialist po	sitions is aff	irmed but	no	
b) different area based		changes are	proposed a	at this time	in terms o	f repo	rting
allocations, which then would		lines.					
	free up positions for other						
	Policing duties						
YA	s/sco	Additional re	-analysis o	of data supp	orts the p	ropose	ed
Sul	omissions were received that	distributions	of YAS/SC	O Officers, a	although a	chang	ge is
ide	ntified	identified for	the Marto	on SCO/YAS	position.		
a)	in-equality in the	sco					
	distribution of positions	Area	Schools	Enrolled <sup>(1)</sup>	Decile	Propo	osed
	between (and across) Areas		(1)		1-3 <sup>(1)</sup>		
b)	The value of additional	Manawatu	114	27,380	6,843	5	
	positions	Whanganui	86	12,387	5,676	4	
c)		Taranaki	95	20,253	2,146	3	
	jurisdiction			ounts govt.nz/st	atistics/schoo	ling/stuc	dent-
d)	. , .	<u>number.</u>	<u>/6028</u>	$\mathbf{O}$			
	Manawatu North supervisor	YAS	<u> </u>				
		Area	YA5	Decile	Drono		
		Aitea			Propo	sea	
			CASES	<b>1-3</b> <sup>(1)</sup>			
	2	Manawatu Whanganui			2+8 1+5	3	
		Manawatu	<b>CASES</b> 447	<b>1-3</b> <sup>(1)</sup> 6,843	2+8	3	
	sec	Manawatu Whanga iui	CASES 447 286 377	1-3 <sup>(1)</sup> 6,843           5,676           2,146	2+8 1+5 1+7	3	
	eased	Manawatu Whanga nui Taranaki • Niarton Y	CASES 447 286 377 AS/SCO po	1-3 <sup>(1)</sup> 6,843           5,676           2,146	2+8 1+5 1+7 osal for	3 5 7	
	eled set	Manawatu Whanga iui Taranaki • Marton Y disestabl	CASES 447 286 377 (AS/SCO po ishment (r	1-3 <sup>(1)</sup> 6,843           5,676           2,146           osition prop	2+8 1+5 1+7 osal for o Marton F	3 5 7 2 ST)	
	Released	Manawatu Whanga iui Taranaki Marton Y disestabl Taihape Y	CASES 447 286 377 (AS/SCO po ishment (r (AS positio	1-3 <sup>(1)</sup> 6,843 5,676 2,146 osition prop einvested in	2+8 1+5 0sal for Marton F esponsibili	3 5 7 2 ST)	
	Released	Manawatu Whanga nui Taranaki • Marton Y disestabl • Taihape Y Rangitike	CASES 447 286 377 (AS/SCO po ishment (r (AS positic i and Ohal	1-3 <sup>(1)</sup> 6,843 5,676 2,146 osition prop einvested ir on to have re	2+8 1+5 0sal for Marton F esponsibilit atters.	3 5 7 PST) ity for	
	Released	Manawatu Whanga nui Taranaki • Marton Y disestabl • Taihape Y Rangitike • Whangar	CASES 447 286 377 (AS/SCO po ishment (r (AS positic i and Ohal	1-3 <sup>(1)</sup> 6,843 5,676 2,146 Distion prop einvested ir on to have resp o have resp	2+8 1+5 0sal for Marton F esponsibilit atters.	3 5 7 PST) ity for	
	Released	Manawatu Whanga uu Taranaki Marton Y disestabl Taihape Y Rangitike Whangar Rangitike	CASES 447 286 377 (AS/SCO po ishment (r (AS positio i and Ohal nui SCO's to i SCO deliv	1-3 <sup>(1)</sup> 6,843 5,676 2,146 osition prop einvested ir on to have re kune YAS mo o have resp very.	2+8 1+5 0sal for Marton F esponsibili atters. onsibility f	PST)	
	Released	Manawatu Whanga iui Taranaki Niarton Y disestabl Taihape Y Rangitike Whangar Rangitike The Govern	CASES 447 286 377 (AS/SCO po ishment (r (AS positio ishment (r (AS positio i and Ohal nui SCO's to i SCO deliv ment decis	1-3 <sup>(1)</sup> 6,843 5,676 2,146 Disition prop einvested ir on to have resp con to have resp very.	2+8 1+5 0sal for Marton F esponsibili atters. onsibility f	2ST) ity for for	ds
	Released	Manawatu Whanga iui Taranaki Marton Y disestabl Taihape Rangitike Whangar Rangitike The Govern jurisdiction	CASES 447 286 377 CAS/SCO po ishment (r CAS position ishment (r CAS position ishment (r CAS position ishment (r CASES ishment (r CASES) control (r CASES) co	1-3 <sup>(1)</sup> 6,843 5,676 2,146 osition prop einvested ir on to have resp cune YAS m o have resp yery. sion to incre Court to incre	2+8 1+5 0sal for Marton F esponsibili atters. onsibility f ease the ap lude 17 ye	2ST) ity for for ge of ears old	
	Released	Manawatu Whanga uu Taranaki Marton Y disestabl Taihape Y Rangitike Whangar Rangitike The Govern jurisdiction from 2019 y	CASES 447 286 377 (AS/SCO po ishment (r (AS positic ishment (r (AS positic (r))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))	1-3 <sup>(1)</sup> 6,843 5,676 2,146 osition prop einvested ir on to have re kune YAS mo o have resp very. sion to incre Court to incre sited when	2+8 1+5 0sal for Marton F esponsibilit atters. onsibility f ease the ap lude 17 ye this is imp	PST) ity for for ge of ears old	nted.
	Released	Manawatu Whanga iui Taranaki Marton Y disestabl Taihape Rangitike Whangar Rangitike The Govern jurisdiction	CASES 447 286 377 AS/SCO po ishment (r (AS positio ishment (r (AS positio ishment (r (AS positio ishment (r (AS positio ishment (r ishment decision) for Youth will be revisio require a	1-3 <sup>(1)</sup> 6,843 5,676 2,146 0 sition prop einvested ir on to have resp very. sion to incre Court to incre sited when a detailed ur	2+8 1+5 0sal for Marton F esponsibili atters. onsibility f ease the ap lude 17 ye this is imp nderstand	PST) ity for for ge of ears old	nted.
	Released	Manawatu Whanga uu Taranaki Marton Y disestabl Taihape Y Rangitike Whangar Rangitike The Govern jurisdiction from 2019 y This will also impact on y	CASES 447 286 377 (AS/SCO polishment (r (AS position ishment (r (AS position) ishment (r (AS position)) ishment (r (AS position) ishment (r (AS position)) ishment (r	1-3(1)6,8435,6762,146osition properiorested in on to have responseon to have responseo have responsecourt to increation to increationcourt to increationsited when o detailed undetailed undetailed undetailed	2+8 1+5 0sal for Marton F esponsibilit atters. onsibility f ease the ap lude 17 ye this is imp nderstand e.	2ST) ity for for ears old lemen ing of t	ited. the
	Released	Manawatu Whanga uu Taranaki Narton Y disestabl Taihape Y Rangitike Whangar Rangitike The Govern jurisdiction from 2019 y This will also impact on y	CASES 447 286 377 (AS/SCO polishment (r (AS position ishment decise for Youth ispection ispect	1-3 <sup>(1)</sup> 6,843 5,676 2,146 0 sition prop einvested ir on to have resp very. sion to incre Court to incre sited when a detailed ur f this chang	2+8 1+5 0sal for Marton F esponsibili atters. onsibility f ease the ap lude 17 ye this is imp nderstand e. to the pre	2ST) ity for for ge of ears old lemen ing of t	ited. the on
	Released	Manawatu Whanga uu Taranaki Marton Y disestabl Taihape Y Rangitike Whangar Rangitike The Govern jurisdiction from 2019 y This will also impact on y SCO's in Ma supervisor i	CASES 447 286 377 AS/SCO po ishment (r (AS positio ishment decis for Youth will be revi o require a vorkload o inawatu ar n Feilding	1-3(1)6,8435,6762,146osition properiorested iron to have responseon to have responsecourt YAS may be a server to the server to	2+8 1+5 0sal for Marton F esponsibility f ease the ap lude 17 ye this is imp nderstand e. to the pre-	2ST) ity for for ge of ears old lemen ing of t	nted. the on rect
	Released	Manawatu Whanga uu Taranaki Narton Y disestabl Taihape Y Rangitike Whangar Rangitike The Govern jurisdiction from 2019 y This will also impact on y	CASES 447 286 377 (AS/SCO polishment (r (AS position ishment decisi for Youth will be revi or require a vorkload o innawatu ar n Feilding the Manav	1-3(1)         6,843         5,676         2,146         osition properiorested in the second seco	2+8 1+5 0sal for Marton F esponsibility f ease the ap lude 17 ye this is imp nderstand e. to the pre-	2ST) ity for for ge of ears old lemen ing of t	nted. the on rect

Inquests	
induce to	The feedback was considered, and it is noted that
Submissions were received	advocacy responsibility is identified as formally being
identifying the need to retain	with PPS.
capacity in Areas and the need	The successite measure Consultation without ante
for local liaison with Coroners.	The proposal to manage Coronial requirements centrally is unchanged.
Case (CJSU/ISU/FMC)	Two CJSU sections are drawn, with ISU remaining as a
Submissions were received	separate team.
identifying different structures	
than the three section model	Functional splitting of responsibilities for JAT,
proposed.	Disclosure, OIA, and Coronial across CJSU teams, and
SGT Position Taumarunui	rostering, is a management decision. A Prevention Sgt position is drawn on the organisation
Submissions were received	chart funded from a reduction in the proposed increase
identifying the need to retain a	in the court section at Whanganui.
Sergeant position at Taumarunui.	×
0	<u> </u>
Custody	A Position of Custody/Court Manager is proposed in
Submissions identified that:	Levin.
a) Custody at Levin needs to be formally recognised and	This also responds to submissions regarding the
staffed	desirability for an C/C Station positon / existing
b) Custody in Palmerston	Operational support Sgt position at Levin, with Court
North should be managed	managed out of existing resources.
by a NCO	
c) Lack of clarity over the	The proceed to manage Custody at Palmerston North is
distinction between Sourt	that this be done within the existing PST capacity, replicating the model at New Plymouth and Whanganui.
and Custody sections	reprisating the model at New Fiymouth and Whangandi.
Court Orderly	With proposed changes at New Plymouth (from a
d) Desirability of identified	Custody section to a Court Section), the model will be
court liaison role	aligned across Palmerston North, Whanganui and New
	Plymouth with each location running a Court section.
-	Respective organisation charts have been labelled to
	reflect this. With AVL there may be greater capacity for
	staff in these sections to provide more coverage into Police custody rather than Courts although this will
	require benefits to flow from AVL technology.
	Responsibility for Court Liaison is recognised within the Court section.

Theme	Changes made as a result of submissions
TCU/OCU Supervisor	The Detective resource previously identified in the
Submissions were received	TCU/OCU units is moved to report to the general squad
identifying:	provision for Whanganui and Taranaki Areas. Areas will
a) Desire for the supervisor to	resource OCU activity out of this capacity as required.
be a D/Sgt rather than the	
identified Sgt or D/Sgt	Decision as to the designation of the supervisor role will
b) Size of investment	be determined at the time of advertising.
,	
Investigator Distribution	• 1+4 identified for South Taranaki (from 1+3).
Submissions were received	<ul> <li>Identified capacity for OCU increased to 3 (from 2)</li> </ul>
identifying different distributions	<ul> <li>The effect in the General Squad is then to decrease</li> </ul>
of Investigators within the	from 2+12 to 2+10 (-1 OCU, -1 to South Taranaki).
Taranaki organisation chart.	<ul> <li>ASA team is reduced to 1+3 (-1) and the CPT team</li> </ul>
	increased to 1+6 (+1).
	×
Safer Whanau	No additional capacity has been able to be identified,
Submissions were received in	although it is noted that a Police Employee is assigned
response to proposals identifying	to this work stream in Ruapehu.
resources under Safer Whanau	
a) Size of investment	
b) Need to formalise rotational	
positions (Manawatu)	
c) Span of influence for NCO positions (Taranaki)	
d) Additional resource for	
Ruapehu	X
Reporting line Changes	a) National Park reporting to Taumarunui
Submissions were received	b) Waiouru reporting to Taihape
identifying different reporting	C) Levin Community position reporting line moved to
line arrangements.	NPT (consistent with approach in Whanganui)
a) National Park, Waiouru.	
b) Levin Community position	
$\sim$	

#### **CONFIRMED DECISIONS**

33. Initial proposals confirmed as part of Project Balance.

Cubication Details	All Countrals James and the set (Countrals In Cat. D. Cat. Co. 1990)
Subject to Rotation	All Constabulary positions (Constable, Sgt, D/Sgt, Senior Sgt, D/S/Sgt, Inspector, D/Inspector) are established as being subject to rotation, albeit, within the constraints placed on a geographically disperse District
	This places out of scope any changes that require by definition a change of location.
	The Policy Movements overarches and ensures consultation with employees, the Police Association and other appropriate service organisation representatives.
Consistent Position Descriptions	Reconfirmation process will ensure that similar positions have consistent position descriptions. Where it is appropriate, generic organisation position descriptions will be preferred.
Scale B	All Constabulary positions are proposed to be Scale B. This provides the appropriate mechanism for staff to be deployed to rostered hours of work after appropriate consultation. This change affects two staff below the rank of inspector.
Rele	and two start per une rained wide cont

#### CHANGE PROTOCOL

- 34. This protocol sets out the overall approach to managing the people transition process.
- 35. This protocol does not supersede the policy for <u>People and Restructuring</u> and prevailing applicable conditions of employment agreements.
- 36. There is no option of redundancy for Constabulary members. The objective is to place all Constabulary members into the new organisational structure.
- 37. The change process is designed to:
  - ensure a fair and transparent process
  - maximise the placement of staff into available positions
  - minimise uncertainty for staff
  - ensure minimum disruption to 'business as usual'
  - observe the restructuring provisions contained in the relevant employment agreements and policy.
- 38. In summary the process is:

#### Reconfirmation

If the duties of a position in the new structure are the same, or nearly the same as an employee's current position, the person will be reconfirmed in their role on their current terms and conditions. (Subject to their being sufficient uncontested positions).

The criteria for reconfirmation is :

- The job profile of the position in the new structure is the same (or nearly the same) as what the employee currently does;
- Salary for the position in the new structure is the same;
- The position has terms and conditions of employment (including career prospects) which are no less favourable, and
- Location is the same. If the new position is in a location where transfer expenses would be paid then the positions are different.

#### **Restricted reconfirmation pool**

If the analysis indicates that the position is the same or substantially the same, but there is more than one clear candidate because there are fewer positions, then Managers will consult with staff and Service organisations and one of the following options will apply:

• agreement reached amongst the employees concerned on who will take up the position.

Or

• the position will be advertised to these employees only, with appointment made as per the skills matching process for reassignment outlined in policy.

Employees not appointed by this process will be ABR and entitled to seek reassignment to new, vacant, and alternative positions.

#### Affected by Restructuring

Any staff member who is not reconfirmed into a position (i.e., their position is not in the finalised structure or there are insufficient positions for the number of candidates) is "Affected by restructure" (ABR) and eligible for reassignment to alternative positions.

Subject to applicable procedures, candidates have priority rights for jobs at the same band or lower, subject to processes outlined in Policy. Where an employee does not register an interest in a position, the employee may be reassigned.

Because there are a number of procedural steps set out for dealing with those subject to ABR provisions this will mean that there is a graduated approach to filling positions in the structure and it may take some time to implement the structure.

39. Reconfirmation and reassignment opportunities occur from an employee's appointed position. Usually this is the one that they were appointed to as a result of a formal vacancy process (or initially posted to). Many people are on secondment, EOI, or rotation so it is important to understand that the effect is on appointed position. Staff who are on temporary deployment to a position outside of their substantive role do not have priority rights to the temporary position they are deployed to.

#### **IMPLEMENTATION TIMELINE**

40. The timeline to implement changes is as follows:

Date	Stage
14 November 2016	Consultation Document released
28 November 2016	Consultation closes
28 November -12 December 2016	
15 December 2016	Decisions document released
January 2017	Confirmation, reassignment and redeployment process commences
	Completion of reassignment and redeployment process
1 July 2017	New organisational structure fully implemented

- 41. Implementation will be progressive starting in January. Reconfirmation processes, especially where there are restricted pools and multiple contested positions may mean that a number of successive rounds are required to place individuals into positions hence a more specific date cannot be identified for full implementation.
- 42. Because this document icentifies one new proposal (at para 47), a period of consultation is identified for this new proposals, closing 21 January 2017. Submissions on this should be made to central.consultation@police\_govt.nz

#### SUPPORT FOR STAFF

- 43. Change proposals can be unsettling.
- 44. People wellbeing is of primary importance, and the District is committed to ensuring all staff have the support they need throughout the entire change process. Questions about the change or support in dealing with the impact the change may have on specific position can be directed to: central.consultation@police.govt.nz, or one of the following people or groups will be able to support you or ensure you get the appropriate support:
  - Managers
  - EAP 0800 327669 (EAP NOW)
  - Human Resources representatives or Welfare Officer
  - Police Association/Police Managers Guild representatives
- eeeticalin 45. Assistance and guidance may also be found in the policy for people and restructuring, located on the intranet > Tools and Resources > Human Resources > Employment Conditions. You can also refer to your employment agreement which is also located as above.

#### IMPACT OF PROPOSED CHANGES ON CURRENT ROLES

46. Note, this section is substantially revised to reflect the impacts from consultation with staff on the original proposals.

#### **New Proposals**

47. The following table identifies <u>additional</u> positions that are affected as a result of proposed changes made in response to the staff consultation phase.

Area	Station/Group	Position	Impact
Whanganui	Marton	YAS/SCO Constable	Disestablished
Whanganui	Whanganui	SCO Constables	Role to incorporate Rangitikei SCO delivery
Whanganui	Taihape	YAS Constable	Role to incorporate Rangitikei YAS delivery

#### **Disestablished Positions**

48. The following table identifies positions as being proposed for disestablishment. These roles have incumbents.

Area	Station/Group	Position	Impact	# of People Affected
DHQ	Prevention	Insp Community & Youth	Disestablished	(1)
DHQ	Operation:	District SAR Coordinator	Disestablished	(1)
MANAWATU	Feilding	Youth Aid Constable	Disestablish 1 position	(2)
MANAWATU	Levin	NPT Constables resizing (4 to 3 Constable positions)	Disestablish 1 position	(4)
MANAWATU	Pahiatua	Youth Aid Constable	Disestablished	(1)
MANAWATU	Feilding	Feilding PST Sergeants	3 Positions Disestablished. (1 Position currently vacant)	(2)
MANAWATU	Feilding	O/C CIB	Disestablished	(1)
MANAWATU	Foxton	Community Constable - Problem Solver	Disestablished	(1)
TARANAKI	New Plymouth	Community Constable New Plymouth	Disestablish 1 position	(4)

Area	Station/Group	Position	Impact	# of People Affected
TARANAKI	New Plymouth	Senior Sergeants	Reduction reflecting the disestablishment of S/Sgt Waitara	(4)
TARANAKI	New Plymouth	AHRO Sgt	Disestablished	(1)
TARANAKI	New Plymouth	CIB Organised Crime Unit D/Sgt	Disestablished	(1)
WHANGANUI	Whanganui	Watchhouse Keeper	Disestablished	(1)
WHANGANUI	Whanganui	Community / IWI Constable	Disestablished	(1)
WHANGANUI	Whanganui	Admin Sergeant	Disestablished, Reduction in Sergeant positions in the station by 1	(11)

X

49. The following table identifies remaining proposals to disestablish vacant positions.

Area	Station/Group	Position	Impact
DHQ	Prevention	FIO Levin	Disestablished
MANAWATU	Shannon	Shannon (resizing)	Change from 2 person station to 1 person station
MANAWATU	Otaki	Community Constable Otaki	Disestablished.
MANAWATU	Dannevirke	D/Sgt Tararua	Disestablished
MANAWATU	Feilding	Area Manager Response	Disestablished
MANAWATU	Dannevirke	PST Constable	Station resized from 7 to 6 PST Constables
MANAWATU	Pahiatua	PST Constable	Station resized from 5 to 4 PST Constables
TARANAKI	Opunake	Opunake (resizing)	Position disestablished (Station maintained at 3 by Manaia position)
TARANAKI	Inglewood	Inglewood (resizing)	Disestablish 1 Position
WHANGANUI	Waiouru	Waiouru	Station resized from 3 to 2 person station.
WHANGANUI	Waverley	2 Person Station Constable	Station resized from 2 to 1 person station.
WHANGANUI	Whanganui	NPT resizing (5 to 3 Constable positions)	Disestablish 2 positions

## Central District

#### **New Positions**

50. The following table identifies proposed new positions.

Area	Station/Group	Position	
DHQ	Operations	Sgt CJSU (Formalise second NCO position)	
DHQ	New Plymouth	Inspector Community and Youth	
MANAWATU	Levin	Safer Whanau Constables (x2)	
MANAWATU	Levin	S/Sgt Prevention	
MANAWATU	Feilding	Sgt Prevention	X
MANAWATU	Palmerston North	Safer Whanau Constable	
MANAWATU	Palmerston North	AHRO	
MANAWATU	Levin	O/C Tactical Chime Unit	
TARANAKI	South Taranaki	Safer Witanau Const able	
TARANAKI	North Taranaki	Safer Whanau Constable (x2)	
WHANGANUI	Whanganui	Safer V/hanau Constable (x2)	
	2.7		

51. The following table identifies positions affected by administrative changes to the position (e.g., change in reporting line, position title, role scope).

Area	Station/Group	Position	Impact
DHQ	Prevention, DHQ, Palmerston North	S/Sgts	Change in roles to enhance DCC operations
MANAWATU	Levin	Area Manager Response Levin	Reflecting division of role into Response and Prevention Horowhenua
MANAWATU	Levin	Operational Support Sergeant	Renamed to Custody Manager
MANAWATU	Palmerston North	S/Sgt Community and Youth	Renamed to S/Sgt Prevention North
MANAWATU	Palmerston North	Custody S/Sgt Palmerston North	Renamed to S/Sgt Response
MANAWATU	Palmerston North	Coronial Inquests Officer	Change in reporting line to DHQ
MANAWATU	Feilding	Detectives	Charge in reporting line
MANAWATU	Dannevirke	Detectives	Change in reporting line
MANAWATU	Feilding	STU	Change in reporting line
MANAWATU	Palmerston North	PST Sgts	Roles extended to included Feilding supervision and coverage
MANAWATU	Palmerston North	PST Constables	Roles extended to operate within Manawatu North deployment pool
MANAWATU	Feilding	PST Constables	Roles extended to operate within Manawatu North deployment pool
MANAWATU	Foxton Beach	Eoxton Beach	Designation as a CPC, Role extended to include Foxton responsibility
MANAWATU	Foxton	PST Constable	Role extended to include Foxton Beach responsibility
TARANAKI	Hawera	Hawera PST	Change in role to enable deployment pool between Hawera / Stratford
TARANAKI	Stratford	Stratford PST	Change in roles to enable deployment pool between Hawera / Stratford
TARANAKI	Opunake	3 Person Station Constable	Change in role to reflect responsibility for Manaia and Opunake
TARANAKI	Manaia	Manaia (redesignation to CPC)	Designation to CPC (Position assigned to Opunake and deployment covered by Opunake)
TARANAKI	New Plymouth	Community Sgt	Change in role to include AHRO portfolio

Area	Station/Group	Position	Impact
TARANAKI	Waitara	STU Officer	Change in reporting line
TARANAKI	South Taranaki	Senior Sergeants (x2)	Reflecting division of role into Response and Prevention South Taranaki
TARANAKI	New Plymouth	Inquests Officer	Disestablish portfolio
WHANGANUI	Whanganui	Inquests Officer	Disestablish portfolio
WHANGANUI	Ohura	Ohura	Position formalised as Rural Community Policing resource
WHANGANUI	Whanganui	Dog Section Whanganui	Reduce 4 General Dog Handler roles to 3 and add 1 Sergeant. Managed when a position within the existing team becomes vacant.
WHANGANUI	Whanganui	Custody Sgt	Change in role to include AHRO portfolio

Lustody Sgt Change portfolio Heleased under the the team back the team b