Withheld under section 9(2)(a)	Date: Attachments:	RE: IVS data for environmental reporti Thursday, 15 June 2017 10:13:35 a.m mage001.jpg mage002.png mage003.png mage004.png	•		
Withheld under section 9(2)(a)	Whenever I'm outnumbered, I'll come to you. When/if we meet with you guys could come over here.				
	That time is good			- St	
Withheld under section 9(2)(a)	Cheers			Ct NOC	
Withheld under section 9(2)(a)	To: Cc:	5 June 2017 10:01 AM		sn Ac	
Withheld under section 9(2)(a)	How does 11am s	ound? Are you working at M	IfE on Friday or do you want	me to come to Stats?	
Withheld under section 9(2)(a)	To: Cc:	5 June 2017 9:29 a.m. ; data for environmental report	estats.govt.nz]		
Withheld under section 9(2)(a)		ings	ted out my day for QA and a	voiding all	
Withheld under section 9(2)(a)	Cheers				
Withheld under section 9(2)(a)	To: Cc:	5 June 2017 8:43 AM		@mfe.govt.nz>	
Withheld under section 9(2)(a)	Hi		-		

Are either of you free to discuss this in person on Friday?

- IVS it looks like there is consensus that this is a no go. If this is the case, is it worth contacting MBIE to get data customisation? Or should we rather go down the visitor arrivals/regional ports track. If what is currently output via NZ.Stat is a no go (and it isn't really given the purpose for which it was compiled), there may be little point in contacting MBIE for further customisation unless we can be sure to request and receive data that is fit for our purposes. And I worry about how much time this would take.
- Body of Evidence would the IVS info even make the cut as BOE? I thought it was strictly peer-reviewed articles at this stage? Interesting question. The IVS data that Stats has used and output as part of Tourism Satellite Account is peer reviewed in a sense (i.e. Stats has put it thru a QA for the purpose of using it as an input into the Tourism Satellite Account). What we may get from MBIE or its third party might not be peer reviewed and require cleaning.
- Withheld under section 9(2)(a)

3. DOC data – great that is working with DOC. It'd be good to get an update from his meeting yesterday. The concession data and hut bookings data is what we were envisioning to get out of the indicator "use of conservation land" which is assigned to

@stats.govt.nd

atio

Withheld under section 9(2)(a)

Withheld under section 9(2)(a)

From:

Sent: Wednesday, 14 June 2017 10:58 a.m. To: Cc:

Subject: RE: IVS data for environmental reporting

Just to clarify, MBIE regularly engage with the contracted survey provider so negotiations wouldn't be needed. It would be more a case of if MBIE can't provide the granularity or customisation around this they would ask the third party to do so.

Yes DOC has done work using NS but I'm steering them back to their own data collection and getting that in a shape and format that could be used for both their purposes and the wider govt/industry.

I'm meeting them again this afternoon and have been privy to concessionaire visitation data (domestic and international combined). Also, the data they have from their online portal (*<u>https://booking.doc.govt.nz/</u>) might be a good starting point given it collects the number of people booking great walks, huts etc..and has a split between international and domestic visitors

*Not all information collected is visible from the landing page.

В

Withheld under section 9(2)(a)

From:				
Sent: Wea	dnesday, 14 June 2017 10:46	AM		
To:	(0	<u>@stats.govt.nz</u> >		
Cc:	@mfe.govt.nz;	@mfe.govt.nz;	@mfe.govt.nz	

Subject: RE: IVS data for environmental reporting

Withheld under	Hi
section 9(2)(a)	п

Thanks for this info. I tend to agree. You not being a fan of the IVS for our measure/purpose Withheld under section 9(2)(a) 's advice to us. aligns with We are short on time for this forthcoming report, and if MBIE contracted the survey collection to a third party, I can't imagine negotiations will occur quickly. Our first port of call would have been DOC data, but it seems DOC itself is only disseminating national park visits using IVS data. As you say, administrative data (e.g. visitor arrivals and regional port) would provide for more robust estimates, but provide a somewhat indirect measure of tourist pressures on the land environment It sounds like something might eventuate from your work with DOC (as we know they collect the info) and joining data insights that could enable us (the ER programme) to develop a better indicator over time and incorporating time series. But for immediate purposes, we might be best to use the IVS information as part of our 'body of evidence' approach that we use to help measure a topic where robust, high quality data is not yet available. incial Inform Withheld under , how do you want to take this forward? section 9(2)(a) Cheers Withheld under section 9(2)(a) From: Sent: Tuesday, 13 June 2017 8:12 PM Withheld under @stats.govt.nz> To: section 9(2)(a) @mfe.govt.nz Cc: Subject: RE: IVS data for environmental reporting Withheld under Hi section 9(2)(a) In relation to the \overline{VS} it may well be worth approaching MBIE (I have a contact if you'd like) to

In relation to the IVS, it may well be worth approaching MBIE (I have a contact if you'd like) to see if they/there third party data collector could offer any additional insights or customisation. That said, IVP not a huge fan of the IVS for such a measure/purpose and would favour administrative based data for a more robust estimate.

As an aside, I am currently working with DOC to assist them in trying to value international tourist spend in national parks. In the process I am learning about what data DOC has on visitors to huts, camp sites and great walks as well as visitation to concessionaires (operators) who run businesses on the DOC estate as well as DOC visitor centres.

I think it would be worthwhile such an avenue is explored ahead of the IVS as DOC's data collection is set to be transformed with recent budget wins. I'm looking to influence that by way of ensuring their various data insights are joined up, as opposed to operating in silos, and are collecting both volume and value measures.

Happy to meet to discuss and look to broker some DOC engagement.

Withheld under section 9(2)(a)						
	From:					
Withheld under	Sent: Monday, 12 June 2017 4:48 PM					
section 9(2)(a)	To: <u>@stats.govt.nz</u> > Cc: <u>@mfe.govt.nz;</u> <u>@mfe.govt.nz</u>					
	Subject: IVS data for environmental reporting					
Withheld under section 9(2)(a)	Hi					
Withheld under section 9(2)(a)	Can you help? (has pointed me in your direction)					
	The Land team for environment reporting is proposing to develop an indicator for fourist activities, as a pressure measure on the condition of the land environment. The pressure topic we are reporting to is the wonderfully narrow 'resource use and management and other human activities'.					
	We are thinking, despite some data quality reservations, that the IVS could be used to:					
	 Show the top attractions/activities (e.g. a national park, glacier, marae) that international visitors visited, in a single year and/or a comparison over years We would want to breakdown, for example, the national park visits to individual national parks (if cell counts permit) Show the number of international visitors who visited a national park per year and, if possible, per quarter to show seasonal effects 					
	Being familiar with this survey, what is your advice? What is possible given survey design and sample limitations? We don't have to reach Tier 1 status with this.					
	We've had a look at what is available via NZ Stats, but these tables don't contain all the breakdowns we think we might need. Therefore, do we need to approach MBIE, as the data supplier, or do you guys have access to the underlying dataset?					
	Attached is our measure assessment template, and an email trail is below.					
Withheld under section 9(2)(a)	Cheers Cheers					
Withheld under section 9(2)(a)	Okthanks .					
	I'll wait to hear back from you re. what StatsNZ can provide. I'm happy to contact MBIE if we need to.					
	From a scientific perspective it would be good to explore the data for the time series available (even if the methodology changed), but from a time constraint perspective I revised the initial					

measure-assessment template to just include the 2016 snapshot.

But it would be good to have as much data as possible, and then we have the option of analysing

it as a snapshot or for a longer time series.

Withheld under section 9(2)(a)

Withheld under section 9(2)(a)

Hi

I've attached the <u>draft</u> measure assessment template.

I've had a look on the MBIE site, and on their 'data download' page they have all the microdata available to download as a ZIP file: <u>http://www.mbie.govt.nz/info-services/sectors-industries/tourism/tourism-research-data/ivs/data-download</u> (these are too big to send via email)

- They have a activities csv which has the breakdown by individual national parks, but it's hard to interpret as they don't have it split by year (the same way as on the stats portal), they only sort by "Survey Response ID"
- There is another csv called IVSSurveyMainHeaders which break down the SurveyResponseID by year perhaps we could cross reference the "SurveyResponseID" for the 2016 data, and then use it to filter the activities csv

What do you think?

If you could follow up with StatsNZ to see if their portal has a national park breakdown, that'd be much easier.

There is also a shared MBIE mailbox where we could ask for the data? I.e All 2016 data for activities participated in, including the sub groups (e.g. individual national parks).

Happy to hear your thoughts.

Cheers Withheld under section 9(2)(a)

Withheld under section 9(2)(a)

Environment Reporting - Land / Purongo Taiao Whenua

Stats NZ Tatauranga Aotearoa DDI +64 4 | stats.govt.nz

			2	
?	?	?		

Please Note: The information contained in this e-mail message and any attached files may be confid*ential information, and may also be the subject of legal professional privilege. It is not necessarily the official view of the Ministry for the Environment. If you are not the intended recipient, any use, disclosure or copying of this e-mail is unauthorised. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify us immediately by reply e-mail and delete the original. Thank you.

Released under the Official Information Act 1982