

Agenda

- Welcome back
- 2017 Recap
 - June Engagement
 - MCA
 - Interchanges
- Further Investigations Outcomes
- February Engagement
- Other business.
- NEXT MEETING

Levin Pop-up Office

Introduction


- Bathrooms
- In event of an emergency
- Purpose

I.E.A.SE.D. UNDER THIE

Welcome Back

Out of scope Out of scope Out of scope

June 2017 Engagement



June 2017 Engagement

FEEDBACK SUMMARY



Route suggestions

Some people talked about routes to the east of Levin, others would rather see a route to the west of Levin. It is important to find a route that minimises the impact on residential and agricultural land.

Suggestions about continuing the project further north.



Bypass

Strong support for the need to bypass Levin and other townships/ villages. Some concern about removing passing traffic and potential trade from Levin, but the majority recognising the need to reduce congestion and have heavy vehicles out of town.




Safety

Positive comments about the recent safety improvements, but many more comments highlighting other concerns, like dangerous intersections, the narrow bridges and lack of safe passing opportunities.



Values


There are a number of features unique to the Horowhenua District: highly productive soils, village character; marae; rural lifestyle; spiritual connection between Lake Horowhenua and the Tararua Ranges; heritage buildings.



MCA

Workshop


- 23 options
- 2x 1 day workshop
- Follow-up Meeting
- 12 Criteria
- 50+ people; incl. project team, specialist, community, iwi and stakeholders
- Scoring and weighting
- Analysis
- Worst performing options discarded



MCA

Workshop Outcome

- 10 shortlisted options
- 2 Western options
- 8 Eastern options
- Further Investigation
 - Traffic Modelling
 - Constructability
 - Tangata Whenua




Interchanges

Potential Locations

Descriptor

- 1 connection into SH1 north
- 2 Northern Connection / SH1
- 3 Eastern Options / Northern Connection
- 4 Queen Street
- 5 Hokio Beach Road
- 6 Levin South (Tararua Kimberley)
- 7 Ohau (potential bifurcation)
- 8 Manakau
- 9 Forest Lakes




Interchanges

East of Existing SH1 Locations

Descriptor

- 1 connection into SH1 north
- 2 Northern Connection / SH1
- 3 Eastern Options / Northern Connection
- 4 Queen Street
- 5 Hokio Beach Road
- 6 Levin South (Tararua Kimberley)
- Ohau (potential bifurcation)
- 8 Manakau
- 9 Forest Lakes




Interchanges

West of Existing SH1 Locations

Descriptor

- 1 connection into SH1 north
- 2 Northern Connection / SH1
- 3 Eastern Options / Northern Connection
- 4 Queen Street
- 5 Hokio Beach Road
- 6 Levin South (Tararua Kimberley)
- 7 Ohau (potential bifurcation)
- 8 Manakau
- 9 Forest Lakes



Further Investigation

Outcomes

Traffic Modelling

- 10 options modelled
- Assesses the effects on the transport network
- Compares relative transport performance of the options against the relevant Project Objectives
- Width of blue line indicates traffic volume
- Modelling completed for various interchange layout
- Shows movements from south to north only










Traffic Modelling - Results


Eastern Options (excl. N8)

- Overall the majority of traffic used the new express but varied slightly between options
- Good time saving to north and east
- Slight time decrease to Levin



Traffic Modelling - Results

- 1/3 of total traffic movements
- Traffic travelling north of Levin use new road
- 2/3 using existing network exiting at Manakau



Traffic Modelling - Results

Far Eastern Options (N8)

- Those travelling north or east use the road (2/3 of traffic)
- Those travelling to Levin use existing road from Manakau
- Good time saving to east



Traffic Modelling - Shortlisted Options

- Journey time savings are important as they are directly indicative of how well used an option will be
- If no or marginal journey time savings are likely traffic will not use the new road and will stay on the existing network.
- Journey time reflects directly on the safety benefits of the options and is highly indicative of the potential economic benefits.

The traffic modelling results demonstrates the following:

- When considering the project objectives the western options and far eastern option (N8) do not generally perform well as compared with eastern options in respect of safety and reducing travel times across the three key routes.
- The western options do not provide good access to potential economic growth locations or connectivity to Levin.

Traffic Modelling - Shortlisted Options

The traffic modelling results demonstrates the following:

- Options that use S6 provide the best journey time saving options (and thus will have the best safety and economic benefits).
- The eastern options are much better performing options from a transport performance perspective and provide much better opportunities to fit with the economic growth objectives of the District Council.

Constructability

- The remaining options (S6, S7, N4, N5 and N9) were assessed to develop a better understanding of construction risks and thus likely cost.
- Due to greater uncertainty and tack of accessibility, an aerial investigation was completed for S7 which showed it would
 - Traverse highly variable and incised terrain
 - Included a number of significant gullies
 - Cross floodplains
- In addition S7 traverses a fault line (which was already known)
- These conditions are significantly different to those that exist on the other corridor options and are reflected in the estimated cost

Constructability - S7 Aerial Images



Constructability - S7 Aerial Images



Constructability

O. Harris	Approx. Length	Est. no. of bridges	Indicative IBE cost estimates		
Options			Expected	95%	
S6-N4	24.8 km	9	\$695m	\$800m	
S6-N5	25.3 km	9	\$700m	\$800m	
S6-N9	25.4 km	9	\$700m	\$800m	
S7-N4	25.9 km	12	\$950m	\$1,100m	
S7-N5	26.3 km	12	\$960m	\$1,100m	
S7-N9	26.1 km	12	\$950m	\$1,100m	

This assessment shows that options that use S7 are approximately 35-40% more expensive (\$260m -\$300m) than those options that use S6.

Constructability - Southern Options

The MCA, constructability and traffic modelling assessments show that options that use S7:

- do not provide the same level of transport benefits as those that use S6;
- are 35-40% more expensive than options that use S6; and,
- would (as compared to \$6) minimise effects on the local community at Manakau (although it would still effect the southern part of the Manakau heights areas).

Constructability - Option S7a

- During the MCA process the community members on the PRG identified an alignment that used the southern part of S7 and then joined onto S6 to the north, once past Manakau. This was called S7A.
- It would avoid most of the settlement of Manakau (like S7) but will avoid some of the areas that cause the significant costs differences between the options that uses S7 and those that use S6.
- Accordingly, options that used S7A were decided to be reconsidered and subject to traffic modelling and constructability assessments

Constructability and traffic modelling - Option 37a

Traffic modelling and constructability analysis of options that use S7A shows that these options would:

- provide less transport benefits than option S7 (and S6). This is because these options are 2km longer than options that use S6 and 1 km longer than those that use S7.
- cost 22% more than options that use S6 (i.e. \$155m-\$180m more than S6 options) (options that use S7A are \$105m-\$120m cheaper than S7)

Constructability - Northern Options

- All three northern options achieve the project objectives
- No Constructability concerns identified
- When assessed there were only minor differences in terms of scale effects

Constructability

	Length of option	Approximate journey time saving from Ōtaki			Estimated reduction in	
		to Foxton	to Levin Town Centre	to Palmerston North	deaths and serious injuries	Estimated Cost
56 - N4	24.8km	6½ minutes	1¼ minutes	5¾ minutes	28-30	\$700m-\$800m
S6 - N5	25.3km					
56 - N9	25.4km					
S7 - N4	26.0km		50 seconds	5½ minutes	26-28	\$950m-\$1.1bn
S7 - N5	26.3km	6 minutes				
S7 - N9	26.1km					
57A - N4	26.9km	4	15 seconds	5 minutes	24	\$850m-\$980m
57A - N5	27.4km	5½ minutes				
57A - N9	27.1km					

Tangata Whenua

- Additional hui have been held with Ngati Raukawa and Muaupoko regarding the O2NL project
- The information and opinion provided at the MCA workshops was repeated and reinforced
- Confirmed that options to the west of SH1 and Levin traverse and effect areas of cultural significance, including urupa, marae and land holdings
- Concerns about options located to the east of SH1 (and Levin), were also expressed. However, they advise that as compared with the western options, these issues will be able to be addressed through design and mitigation.



February 2018 Engagement

Purpose

The purpose of this round of engagement is

- To update the community and stakeholders on what they told us during the consultation round June/July 2017 and how this information has been used by the project team in developing options;
- To outline the options investigated as part of the MCA process;
- Explain the MCA process and the outcomes;
- Obtain feedback on the short listed options to help us make a decision on the recommended option;
- Provide information on next steps for the project; and
- Highlight that 36 is currently technically best performing.

February 2018 Engagement

How

Similar approach from last time, including:

- Contact individual landowners
- Newsletter
- Community Information Days
- Community and Stakeholder Troup Meetings
- Project Pop-up Shop, Levin
- Online

February 2018 Engagement

Community Information Days

Manakau information session: Saturday 10 February, 10am-2pm

Manakau Community Hall, 12 Mokena Kohere Street, Manakau

Koputaroa information session: Wednesday 14 February, 2pm-4pm

Koputaroa Community Hall, 399 Koputaroa Road, Koputaroa

Levin information session: Saturday 17 February, 10am-2pm

O2NL Project Pop-up shop, 183 Oxford Street, Levin

Öhau information session: Thursday 22 February, 3pm-7pm

Ōhau Public Hall, Muhunoa Road West, Ōhau

Family Fun Day information session: Saturday 24 February, 10am-4pm

Levin Adventure Park, 93 Oxford Street, Levin

Levin information session: Thursday 1 March, 4pm-6pm

Waiopehu College, 74 Bartholomew Road, Levin

Poroutawhao information session: Wednesday 7 March, 2pm-4pm

Poroutawhao Community Hall, 800 State Highway 1, Poroutawhao

Levin information session: Friday 9 March, 4pm-8pm

O2NL Project Pop-up shop, 183 Oxford Street, Levin

Other Business.....?

RELEASED UNDER THE OFFICIAL INFORMATION ACT

Meeting Closeout

- Information Sensitivity
- Status of information
- Involvement in Community Information Days
- Next Meeting