

## Nick Ross

---

**From:** Rob Campbell  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 5 February 2019 12:11 PM  
**To:** 'Aileen Lawrie'  
**Cc:** Ari Erickson  
**Subject:** RE: Opotiki Bridge

Hi Aileen,  
I haven't had any contact from Ari, you indicated he had some ideas?

At this point the work remains unfunded. The NLTP is fully allocated so the ability to find new funding at this time is very limited, I have been advised that this will not be possible at this time. We have an annual review of national expenditure in Feb which will inform us if any projects are not proceeding, are under budget or if contingency may be available. There are no projects like this in the BoP so we are reliant on another region being in this category.

Have you explored the possibility of a local contribution? As any funding will be assessed against essential maintenance projects it would be most helpful to be able to demonstrate the value that the community / council places on the aesthetic issues.

I look forward to discussing ideas with Ari,

Regards

**Rob Campbell** / Manager System Management Bay of Plenty

System Design and Delivery

9(2)(a)

E [rob.campbell@nzta.govt.nz](mailto:rob.campbell@nzta.govt.nz) / W [nzta.govt.nz](http://nzta.govt.nz)

---

**From:** Aileen Lawrie 9(2)(a)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 5 February 2019 11:20 AM  
**To:** Rob Campbell <[Rob.Campbell@nzta.govt.nz](mailto:Rob.Campbell@nzta.govt.nz)>  
**Cc:** Ari Erickson 9(2)(a)  
**Subject:** RE: Opotiki Bridge

Hi

Have we got any progress on this? The bridge is not getting any cleaner and I am getting questioned around town about it.

Aileen Lawrie  
Chief Executive

---

**From:** Rob Campbell [<mailto:Rob.Campbell@nzta.govt.nz>]

**Sent:** Wednesday, 5 December 2018 4:15 p.m.

**To:** Aileen Lawrie

**Cc:** Parekawhia McLean; Ari Erickson

**Subject:** Re: Opotiki Bridge

Hi Aileen,  
It is a very large figure but about what I expected I'm afraid. The cost to carry out this type of work is a large part of why bridge cleaning is not a high priority in a financially constrained environment. It is broadly comparable to the cost of the recent and similar work.  
It is based on existing resource consents and we will revisit this with Regional Council but I've made a few enquires with our resource consent experts who aren't overly optimistic about getting major changes on this.

Happy to explore ideas and options with Ari, if we can come up with something innovative that would be great.

Regards  
Rob

Sent from my iPhone

On 5/12/2018, at 9:45 AM, Aileen Lawrie 9(2)(a) wrote:

Thank you. It is eye wateringly large.

Is this on the basis of the existing resource consent? Does that add significant cost to it?

Have copied in Ari who has some potential ideas.

Aileen Lawrie  
Chief Executive

---

**From:** Rob Campbell [<mailto:Rob.Campbell@nzta.govt.nz>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 5 December 2018 8:43 a.m.  
**To:** Aileen Lawrie  
**Cc:** Parekawhia McLean  
**Subject:** FW: Opotiki Bridge

Hi Aileen,

I have now received the ROC for the options to clean and paint the Opotiki bridge. These came in yesterday so I have not yet had a chance to do any analysis or discuss funding options within NZTA, but in the interest of sharing all information with Council please see the attached. I'd appreciate if you could treat the detail as confidential at this point, if funding can be found we will need to further negotiate or possibly tender this work and we don't want to compromise that process. I don't see a problem with sharing a figures of say \$340k and \$480k as engineers estimates with elected members, to enable discussions to progress.

As discussed previously we do not currently have funding for this work, the next step will be for me to explore possible sources for these estimates. I will discuss this with our national structures team to explore possibilities, we will be competing for funding against essential maintenance and strengthening projects across the country but I will make the case for the community benefits and expectations. It would be most useful to understand Councils appetite and ability to contribute in anyway as this would bolster the case I can present.

Happy to discuss further, I'm keen to progress this and the closer we can work together on this this better chance of a successful outcome.

Regards

Rob

---

**From:** 9(2)(a) (Higgins) 9(2)(a)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 4 December 2018 5:15 PM  
**To:** Rob Campbell <[Rob.Campbell@nzta.govt.nz](mailto:Rob.Campbell@nzta.govt.nz)>  
**Cc:** Graeme Withington <[Graeme.Withington@nzta.govt.nz](mailto:Graeme.Withington@nzta.govt.nz)>  
**Subject:** Opotiki Bridge

Rob, Graeme,

The first attachment is the schedule delivering the work over a 55 day duration, this is a reduction from the original 112 days. As you know we met with Cantec this morning and discussed resources, scheduling and general issues. It was a result of this meeting that we were able to come up with the 55 day, we were able to confirm additional resources from both the scaffolders and Cantec and sequence the works to gain efficiencies.

The main reason behind reducing durations was to realise efficiencies with regards to both Traffic Management and Project Management costs and just as importantly the disruption to the public.

We were asked to produce costs / schedule for the two scenarios,

1. Water Blasting Only
2. Water Blasting and Painting

The ROC on option one using the 55 day duration is \$333,096 and on option two \$470,597.

Regards,

9(2)(a)

Bay of Plenty East NOC Manager

---

**Higgins** *showing the way*

Higgins Contractors Limited

1/334 Te Ngae Rd  
PO Box 10167  
Rotorua 3046

9(2)(a)

[www.higgins.co.nz](http://www.higgins.co.nz)

---

This e-mail is privileged and confidential. If you are not the intended recipient please delete the message and notify the sender. Any views or opinions presented are solely those of the author. Higgins Group accepts no liability for any loss caused either directly or indirectly by a virus arising from the use of this message or any attached file.

Find the latest transport news, information, and advice on our website:

[www.nzta.govt.nz](http://www.nzta.govt.nz)

---

This email is only intended to be read by the named recipient. It may contain information which is confidential, proprietary or the subject of legal privilege. If you are not the intended recipient you must delete this email and may not use any information contained in it. Legal privilege is not waived because you have read this email.

---

Find the latest transport news, information, and advice on our website:

[www.nzta.govt.nz](http://www.nzta.govt.nz)

---

This email is only intended to be read by the named recipient. It may contain information which is confidential, proprietary or the subject of legal privilege. If you are not the intended recipient you must delete this email and may not use any information contained in it. Legal privilege is not waived because you have read this email.

---

Released under the Official Information Act 1982